INSTRUCTIONS FOR RESEARCH POSTER PRESENTERS - 2022

Thank you for your participation in the Maryland ACP Chapter Residents meeting. Your hard work and willingness to share your case with colleagues and faculty is greatly appreciated. Please review the following instructions:

THE RESEARCH POSTERS WILL BE LOCATED in the Board Room, which is on the 1st floor near the Alagia Auditorium, and the research area has been posted so that residents can easily find the locale. You have been ASSIGNED A NUMBER and we ask that you place your poster in the designated area. This number has been sent to your Program Director and we ask that you be sure that you have your poster locale at registration. Please bring pins to the meeting.

THIS YEAR, we will also ask that you bring your poster on a laptop as we will be trying to see if using laptop viewing would be acceptable in the future!

• 8:20 – 8:30 AM REGISTRATION at the Alagia Auditorium. You will be assigned a poster board.
• 8:45 AM – The research contest will begin. Late arrivals are encouraged to join in, but may not have their poster judged until all other on-time posters have been reviewed by all resident judges. We are continuing our system from last year which works as follows:
  o 6 research posters will be judged at this competition.
  o There will be 1 judge assigned to this portion of the competition.
  o Following the 8-minute presentation to their colleagues, each of the resident presenters in the group evaluates the presentation on the assigned score sheet.
  o The group spends 10 minutes writing evaluations and giving feedback to each other. Then the individual presenters in the group select (by vote) one poster to advance to the second round. Presenters hand their written vote to the Judge/moderator.
  o 10:00 AM – The competition should be complete.
  o The awards will be presented at the end of the afternoon session. There will be a first and 2nd awarded for this competition.
  o Please take this time before lunch to review the CV posters that are being judged today.
• Posters will be judged according to the following qualities:
  • Originality of the concept presented
  • Clinical significance
  • Organization and clarity of the poster
  • Comprehensiveness and rigor—is there a literature review?
  • Methodology, if research poster
  • Visual impact; easy to understand
  • Interview
• Please note that a colorful printed, glossy poster is not necessary. The judges have been instructed not to be biased toward a commercially produced poster or against a poster with typed pages.
Please score the poster and presenter in each of the following categories.
Complete both side of this form and return to the Physician Judge.

Enter a scoring number for each criteria in the appropriate box
1 = not performed, inadequate; 2 = attempted, poor performance; 3 = adequate job;
4 = good job; 5 = excellent job

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Judging Criteria</th>
<th>Leave your column blank</th>
<th>Poster Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Significance.</strong> How clinically relevant to the General Internist is the poster? The poster should increase the understanding of a disease process, its diagnosis or its treatment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Originality.</strong> How original are the concepts in this poster? Is a new problem identified? Or, does the poster describe a novel approach to understanding an old problem?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Methods.</strong> How suitable is the research design to the stated objectives? How clearly written and logical is the text?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contribution.</strong> What work did the presenter do in designing, organizing, gathering data, analyzing this project?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visual impact.</strong> How effective is the poster visually? There should be an appropriate balance between words and images, avoiding wordiness and irrelevant images.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interview.</strong> How knowledgeable and conversant is the author with the work and its implications? The presentation should take between three and five minutes. Throughout “poster rounds”, does the author of this poster facilitate discussion in a collegial and professional manner?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Poster Scoring Totals: circle your 1st Choice (not your own)