

SC ACP Abstract Competition 2025
Audio Poster Instructions
Submission Information

Students, Residents/Fellows

OPENS JULY 1st
CLOSES AUGUST 17th

STEP ONE: Creating a PowerPoint with a Voiceover

Instructions:

Please create a PowerPoint presentation of your poster. The use of a slide template from your organization is highly encouraged. Please limit your presentation to 1 slide.

Next, proceed to creating an audio voiceover recording for your poster slide in PowerPoint. Open your PowerPoint presentation. Please make sure to proofread your slide and preview as a slideshow before continuing.

Click the "Slide Show" tab, then click the down arrow on the "Record Slide Show" button and select "Start Recording from Beginning..."

Make sure both checkmarks are checked in the "Record Slide Show" pop-up, then click "Start Recording".

Your PowerPoint will open in presentation mode and will begin recording your audio narration. Please limit to 5 minutes of total audio recording time. When you are finished narrating, click the "X" in the "Recording" pop-up. Go to "File" □ "Save As" and enter poster title.

STEP TWO: Uploading to the SC ACP ABSTRACT HUB

<https://www.scacpabstract.com/>

This is the platform in which the competition will be judged. Please register for the hub with the link. If you have previously registered in last year's competition you may use your same log in information. **This hub is not linked to the ACP website; therefore you have to register for this hub separately.** *This hub is NOT mobile friendly so please use a desktop for your submission entry.*

Once you register, log in using your credentials. After logging in you will have access to submit your abstract. Follow the prompts to upload your written abstract and voiceover poster presentation. Please notify us via scacpabstract@gmail.com if you have any questions, concerns, or technical difficulties.

Ethics

ACP Original Research policy copied from <https://www.acpjournals.org/journal/aim/authors5>

Research that involves human participants also includes investigations that use only human blood, tissue, or medical records. The authors must confirm review of the study by the appropriate institutional review board or affirm that the protocol is consistent with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki (www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects/). ...If the study was exempt from review, the authors must state that such exemption complied with the policy of their local institutional review board. They should affirm that study participants gave their informed consent or state that an institutional review board approved conduct of the research without explicit consent from the participants.

ACP Clinical Cases policy copied from <https://www.acpjournals.org/journal/aimcc/authors5>

Authors must attest at submission that all patients/guardians have signed informed consent to publish their medical information and/or images in the abstract. While steps can be taken to de-identify material in the case (e.g., removing patient name/date of birth on imaging studies included in the report), authors should not change patient demographic or clinical details. To maintain confidentiality, authors should not submit the permission forms to the journal but must keep them on record and be able to provide them without delay should SC ACP require proof of permission.

Please Note: Given that the winning abstracts are expected to be submitted to the National Abstract competition, SC ACP Abstracts must also comply with the National Abstract competition guidelines: <https://www.acponline.org/membership/residents/competitions-awards/acp-national-abstract-competitions/acp-national-abstract-competition-faqs>

Cancellations: Any oral or poster cancellations must be communicated to scacpabstract@gmail.com immediately so that waitlisted participants can be included.

Scoring rubric:

Significance: How significant is the abstract relative to its subject area? Does it increase understanding of the pathophysiology, improve the diagnosis, or affect the care process?

Originality: How original is the concept presented in the abstract, or how original is the new approach to an old problem?

Relevance: How relevant is the subject of the abstract for the field of internal medicine today?

Scientific Reasoning: Is the approach to studying or addressing the subject of the abstract logical and well-reasoned?

Overall Presentation Quality: What is the overall quality of the abstract presentation in terms of organization, ability to engage the reviewer, hold interest, and convey conclusions in a meaningful way?

Methods (if clinical vignette score 0 otherwise follow the prompt below): How appropriate is the research design used to address the stated research objectives (including the validity and application of statistical measures used, if applicable)?