

Treatment and complications of pulmonary embolism



**ANDREW SULLIVAN. M.D.
BOZEMAN HEALTH GROUP**

Introduction



- 200,000 cases of pulmonary embolism diagnosed annually in US
- Acute mortality > 15% in patients with shock or cardiac arrest
- Mortality rates vary widely: approximately 10% of all pt with acute PE die within 3 mo of diagnosis

Complications



- Death
- Bleeding
- Recurrent veno-thrombotic events/PE
- Disability (pulmonary hypertension, CVA)

Treatment options



- Anticoagulation/antithrombotic therapy
- Thrombolysis/Fibrinolysis
- Embolectomy
- Inferior vena cava filter
- Monitoring without intervention

Patient Evaluation



- Risk of death or disability
- Risk of bleeding

Patient Evaluation



- **High risk** (“massive”)
 - SBP < 90 for > 15 minutes
 - Need for vasopressors
 - Clear evidence of shock
- **Intermediate risk** (“submassive”)
 - Lack high risk criteria
 - Signs of RV dysfunction (RV/LV ratio > 1, RV abn on echo, elevated trop)
- **Low risk**
 - None of the above

Treatment of “high risk” PE patients



- Mortality is high
 - studies from the 1960-1970s have a 30-50% mortality at two weeks (pre-anticoagulation?)
 - Currently acute mortality = 15%
- Therefore, rapid systemic thrombolysis is recommended

Treatment of “high risk” PE patients

Evaluate for contraindications to thrombolytic therapy



Absolute

- Prior ICH
- Cerebral aneurysm
- Malig intracranial neoplasm
- Isch CVA within prev 3 mo
- aortic dissection
- active bleeding/diathesis
- closed head/facial trauma prev 3 mo

Relative

- Hx of chronic, severe, poorly controlled HTN
- SBP > 180, DBP > 110
- Isch CVA > 3 mo ago
- Major surgery < 3 week prev
- CPR > 10 min
- Non compressible vasc puncture
- Recent invasive procedure
- Pregnancy
- Active peptic ulcer disease
- Pericarditis/pericardial fluid
- Current use of anticoag (INR > 1.7)
- Age > 75
- Diabetic retinopathy

Treatment of “high risk” PE patients

No contraindication to systemic fibrinolytics



- Support with oxygen/ventilation, IV crystalloid fluids, vasopressors
- Systemic fibrinolytics:
 - alteplase (TPA) 100 mg over 2 hours
 - tenecteplase 30-50 (TNK) mg (weight based) given over 5 -10 seconds
- Weight based IV heparin can be started immediately after fibrinolytic infusion

Treatment of high risk PE patients

Contraindication to systemic fibrinolytics



- Support with oxygen/ventilation, IV crystalloid fluids, vasopressors
- Catheter based intervention (ultrasound-assisted thrombolysis, Rheolytic embolectomy, rotational embolectomy, suction embolectomy)
- Surgical embolectomy

Treatment of “intermediate risk” PE patients



THE NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL OF MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Fibrinolysis for Patients with Intermediate-Risk Pulmonary Embolism

Guy Meyer, M.D., Eric Vicaut, M.D., Thierry Danays, M.D., Giancarlo Agnelli, M.D., Cecilia Becattini, M.D., Jan Beyer-Westendorf, M.D., Erich Bluhmki, M.D., Ph.D., Helene Bouvaist, M.D., Benjamin Brenner, M.D., Francis Couturaud, M.D., Ph.D., Claudia Dellas, M.D., Klaus Empen, M.D., Ana Franca, M.D., Nazzareno Galiè, M.D., Annette Geibel, M.D., Samuel Z. Goldhaber, M.D., David Jimenez, M.D., Ph.D., Matija Kozak, M.D., Christian Kupatt, M.D., Nils Kucher, M.D., Irene M. Lang, M.D., Mareike Lankeit, M.D., Nicolas Meneveau, M.D., Ph.D., Gerard Pacouret, M.D., Massimiliano Palazzini, M.D., Antoniu Petris, M.D., Ph.D., Piotr Pruszczyk, M.D., Matteo Rugolotto, M.D., Aldo Salvi, M.D., Sebastian Schellong, M.D., Mustapha Sebbane, M.D., Bozena Sobkowicz, M.D., Branislav S. Stefanovic, M.D., Ph.D., Holger Thiele, M.D., Adam Torbicki, M.D., Franck Verschuren, M.D., Ph.D., and Stavros V. Konstantinides, M.D., for the PEITHO Investigators*

Treatment of “intermediate risk” PE patients



- Randomized , double blind trial
- Tenecteplase (TNK) plus heparin versus heparin alone
- n = 1006
- Patients: ≥ 18 yo, confirmed PE within 15 days, normotensive, RV dysfunction, elevated troponin
- Primary outcome: Death or hemodynamic compromise during first 7 days

Table 3. Efficacy Outcomes.*

| Outcome | Tenecteplase (N = 506) | Placebo (N = 499) | Odds Ratio (95% CI) | P Value |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Primary outcome — no. (%) | 13 (2.6) | 28 (5.6) | 0.44 (0.23–0.87) | 0.02 |
| Death from any cause | 6 (1.2) | 9 (1.8) | 0.65 (0.23–1.85) | 0.42 |
| Hemodynamic decompensation | 8 (1.6) | 25 (5.0) | 0.30 (0.14–0.68) | 0.002 |
| Time between randomization and primary efficacy outcome — days | 1.54±1.71 | 1.79±1.60 | | |
| Recurrent pulmonary embolism between randomization and day 7 — no. (%) | 1 (0.2) | 5 (1.0) | 0.20 (0.02–1.68) | 0.12 |
| Fatal | 0 | 3 (0.6) | | |
| Nonfatal | 1 (0.2) | 2 (0.4) | | |
| Other in-hospital complications and procedures — no. (%) | | | | |
| Mechanical ventilation | 8 (1.6) | 15 (3.0) | | |
| Surgical embolectomy | 1 (0.2) | 2 (0.4) | | |
| Catheter thrombus fragmentation | 1 (0.2) | 0 (0.0) | | |
| Vena cava interruption | 5 (1.0) | 1 (0.2) | | |
| Thrombolytic treatment other than study medication | 4 (0.8) | 23 (4.6) | | |
| Death from any cause between randomization and day 30 — no. (%) | 12 (2.4) | 16 (3.2) | 0.73 (0.34–1.57) | 0.42 |
| Patient still hospitalized at day 30 — no. (%) | 59 (11.7) | 50 (10.0) | | |
| Rehospitalization between randomization and day 30 — no. (%) | 22 (4.4) | 15 (3.0) | | |

* Plus-minus values are means ±SD. Odds ratios and P values are provided for efficacy outcomes that were prespecified in the trial protocol.

Table 4. Safety Outcomes in the Intention-to-Treat Population.*

| Outcome | Tenecteplase (N = 506) <i>no. (%)</i> | Placebo (N = 499) | Odds Ratio (95% CI) | P Value |
|--|---|----------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Bleeding between randomization and day 7 | | | | |
| Major extracranial bleeding | 32 (6.3) | 6 (1.2) | 5.55 (2.3–13.39) | <0.001 |
| Minor bleeding | 165 (32.6) | 43 (8.6) | | |
| Major bleeding† | 58 (11.5) | 12 (2.4) | | |
| Stroke between randomization and day 7 | 12 (2.4) | 1 (0.2) | 12.10 (1.57–93.39) | 0.003 |
| Ischemic stroke | 2 (0.4) | 0 | | |
| Hemorrhagic stroke‡ | 10 (2.0) | 1 (0.2) | | |
| Serious adverse events between randomization and day 30 | 55 (10.9) | 59 (11.8) | 0.91 (0.62–1.34) | 0.63 |

* Odds ratios and P values are provided for efficacy and safety outcomes that were prespecified in the trial protocol.

† Major bleeding was defined according to the criteria of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis.

‡ Hemorrhagic stroke included hemorrhagic conversion of ischemic stroke.

Treatment of “intermediate risk” PE patients

Long-term outcomes



- 709 of 1006 patients participated
- Median f/u was 37.8 mo
- Mortality: TNK 20.3% versus placebo 18.0% *($p = 0.43$)
- Dyspnea/functional limitation: TNK 36% vs 30.1% placebo ($p = 0.23$). Mostly mild dyspnea reported
- Echo: available in 290 patients with no diff in RV function
- CTEPH: TNK 2.1% versus placebo 3.2% ($p=0.79$)

Treatment of “intermediate risk” PE patients

Ultrasound-assisted Catheter-directed Thrombolysis



- ULTIMA Trial: Circulation, 2013
- Randomized, controlled trial
- n=59, acute main or LLL PA PE, RV/LV ≥ 1 .
- Randomized to USAT 10/20 mg TPA over 15 hr vs hep IV
- Primary outcome: RV/LV ratio baseline to 24 hours
- Safety outcomes at 90 days: death, major and minor bleeding and recurrent VTE events

Table 2. Echocardiographic Core Laboratory Data

| | Baseline | | 24 h | | 90 days | | Difference: Baseline vs 24 h | | Difference: Baseline vs 90 d | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | USAT | Heparin | USAT | Heparin | USAT | Heparin | USAT | Heparin | USAT | Heparin |
| RV/LV ratio, mean±SD | 1.28±0.19 | 1.20±0.14 | 0.99±0.17 | 1.17±0.20 | 0.92±0.15 | 0.96±0.16 | 0.30±0.19 | 0.03±0.16 | 0.35±0.22 | 0.24±0.19 |
| n | 26 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 26 | 27 | 25 | 28 | 23 | 27 |
| Between-group comparison | P=0.07 | | P=0.001 | | P=0.36 | | P<0.001 | | P=0.07 | |
| Within-group comparison | NA | | NA | | NA | | P<0.001 | P=0.31 | P<0.001 | P<0.001 |
| RV systolic dysfunction, n | | | | | | | | | | |
| None/mild/moderate/severe | 0/4/5/16 | 0/5/11/13 | 5/10/10/2 | 1/9/7/11 | 19/5/0/0 | 10/15/1/1 | 1.1±0.8* | 0.3±0.4* | 2.2±0.9* | 1.5±0.9* |
| Between-group comparison | P=0.37 | | P=0.01 | | P=0.003 | | P<0.001 | | P=0.01 | |
| Within-group comparison | NA | | NA | | NA | | P<0.001 | P=0.02 | P<0.001 | P<0.001 |
| TAPSE, mean±SD, mm | 15.7±3.8 | 19.9±5.8 | 18.6±4.3 | 19.4±5.0 | 21.4±4.6 | 23.0±3.6 | -3.1±4.4 | 0.9±4.9 | -6.1±4.6 | -3.4±5.4 |
| n | 20 | 20 | 20 | 23 | 19 | 23 | 16 | 18 | 13 | 18 |
| Between-group comparison | P=0.01 | | P=0.56 | | P=0.21 | | P=0.02 | | P=0.16 | |
| Within-group comparison | NA | | NA | | NA | | P=0.014 | P=0.43 | P<0.001 | P=0.02 |
| RV/RA pressure gradient, mean±SD, mmHg | 42.8±16.6 | 42.2±16.3 | 33.9±13.2 | 40.2±13.3 | 33.1±13.1 | 29.9±17.7 | 9.9±9.9 | 0.3±10.9 | 12.3±12.8 | 11.6±15.1 |
| n | 17 | 22 | 14 | 21 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 17 | 10 | 11 |
| Between-group comparison | P=0.91 | | P=0.18 | | P=0.62 | | P=0.03 | | P=0.91 | |
| Within-group comparison | NA | | NA | | NA | | P=0.01 | P=0.91 | P=0.01 | P=0.03 |
| Minimum IVC diameter, mean±SD, mm | 15.5±6.2 | 14.4±4.3 | 10.8±3.4 | 17.4±6.8 | 9.4±3.6 | 9.9±5.2 | 7.2±3.9 | 0.7±5.0 | 4.0±9.1 | 6.1±5.4 |
| n | 10 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 7 |
| Between-group comparison | P=0.65 | | P=0.02 | | P=0.80 | | P=0.02 | | P=0.69 | |
| Within-group comparison | NA | | NA | | NA | | P=0.01 | P=0.72 | P=0.44 | P=0.02 |

IVC indicates inferior vena cava; NA, not applicable; RV/LV, right ventricular to left ventricular; RV/RA, right ventricular to right atrial; TAPSE, tricuspid annular systolic excursion; and USAT, ultrasound-assisted catheter-directed thrombolysis.

*Differences between neighboring categories of right ventricular systolic dysfunction were scored as 1.

Treatment of “intermediate risk” PE patients

Ultrasound-assisted Catheter-directed Thrombolysis



Safety at 90 days:

- No HD decompensation in either group
- No recurrent VTE in either group
- Mortality: USAT 0% vs Hep IV 3% ($p = 1$)
- No major bleeding in either group
- Minor bleeding: USAT 10% vs Hep IV 3% ($p = 0.6$)

Treatment of “intermediate risk” PE patients

Ultrasound-assisted Catheter-directed Thrombolysis



Seattle II study: JACC, 2015

- single arm
- 150 patients (119 int risk, 31 high risk)
- 24mg TPA for 12 or 24 hrs
- At 48 hrs: RV/LV ratio from 1.55 to 1.13 at 48 hr, m PASP 51 to 37 mmHg, mod Miller score 22.5 to 15.8
- One GUSTO severe bleed, 15 moderate bleeds, 0 ICH

Treatment of “intermediate risk” PE patients

Ultrasound-assisted Catheter-directed Thrombolysis



OPTALYSE PE, JACC 2018

- four arms
- n=101
- RV/LV ratio at 48 hrs
- mMiller score
- Bleeding

Cohorts

- 1: 2hr 4/8 mg TPA
- 2: 4hr 4/8 mg TPA
- 3: 6hr 6/12 mg TPA
- 4: 6hr 12/24 mg TPA

Treatment of “intermediate risk” PE patients

Ultrasound-assisted Catheter-directed Thrombolysis



- Similar decrease in reduction of RV/LV ratio (can get away with shorter time and lower TPA)
- mMiller score all reduced but more so in higher TPA arms
- Major bleeds: 5%
- Major Bleeds by cohort:
 - 1: 0
 - 2: 2 (one ICH)
 - 3: 1
 - 4: 2 (one ICH)

Thrombolysis for Acute PE

ACCP Guidelines



- Systemic thrombolysis is indicated for PE patients with hypotension/shock and do not have a high risk of bleeding (Grade 2B)
- Do not use systemic thrombolysis in low or intermediate risk patients (Grade 1B)
- In selected PE patient who deteriorate after anticoagulation, systemic thrombolysis should be given (2C)

Thrombolysis for Acute PE

ACCP Guidelines



- In patients with acute PE who are treated with a thrombolytic agent, systemic thrombolysis is preferred (Grade 2C)
- In PE patients with hypotension/shock with: a) high bleed risk, b) failed systemic thrombolysis, c) lifethreatening shock that cannot wait for systemic thrombolysis to work, catheter based therapy is advised if appropriate expertise/resources are available (Grade 2C)

Anticoagulation for treatment of PE

Rate of VTE Recurrence w/o anticoagulation



| VTE type | First year | Annual rate after first year |
|---|------------|------------------------------|
| First episode of unprovoked | 10 % | 5 % |
| Second episode of unprovoked | 15 % | 7.5% |
| First episode provoked by surgery | 1 % | 0.5 % |
| First episode by non-surgical risk factor | 5 % | 2.5% |

Anticoagulation for treatment of PE


Risk factors for Bleeding



- Age >65 y
- Age >75 y
- Previous bleeding
- Cancer
- Metastatic cancer
- Renal failure
- Liver failure
- Thrombocytopenia
- Previous stroke
- Diabetes
- Anemia
- Antiplatelet therapy
- Poor anticoagulant control
- Comorbidity and reduced functional capacity
- Recent surgery
- Frequent falls
- Alcohol abuse
- Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug

Anticoagulation for treatment of PE

Estimate of risk of major bleeding



| | Low Risk ^a (0 Risk Factors) | Moderate Risk ^a (1 Risk Factor) | High Risk ^a (≥2 Risk Factors) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Anticoagulation 0-3 mo^f | | | |
| Baseline risk (%) | 0.6 | 1.2 | 4.8 |
| Increased risk (%) | 1.0 | 2.0 | 8.0 |
| Total risk (%) | 1.6 ^g | 3.2 | 12.8 ^h |
| Anticoagulation after first 3 mo^f | | | |
| Baseline risk (%/y) | 0.3 ⁱ | 0.6 | ≥2.5 |
| Increased risk (%/y) | 0.5 | 1.0 | ≥4.0 |
| Total risk (%/y) | 0.8 ^j | 1.6 ^j | ≥6.5 |

Anticoagulation for treatment of PE



Agent selection

- ease of administration
- cost
- pharmacokinetics
- effectiveness
- reduced risk of bleeding

Length of treatment

- provoked versus idiopathic
- balance of risk of VTE recurrence versus bleed
- "long term" (3-6 mo) versus indefinite/extended (forever unless complication)

Anticoagulation for treatment of PE

TABLE 6] Factors That May Influence Which Anticoagulant Is Chosen for Initial and Long-Term Treatment of VTE

| Factor | Preferred Anticoagulant | Qualifying Remarks |
|---|--|--|
| Cancer | LMWH | More so if: just diagnosed, extensive VTE, metastatic cancer, very symptomatic; vomiting; on cancer chemotherapy. |
| Parenteral therapy to be avoided | Rivaroxaban; apixaban | VKA, dabigatran, and edoxaban require initial parenteral therapy. |
| Once daily oral therapy preferred | Rivaroxaban; edoxaban; VKA | |
| Liver disease and coagulopathy | LMWH | NOACs contraindicated if INR raised because of liver disease; VKA difficult to control and INR may not reflect antithrombotic effect. |
| Renal disease and creatinine clearance <30 mL/min | VKA | NOACs and LMWH contraindicated with severe renal impairment. Dosing of NOACs with levels of renal impairment differ with the NOAC and among jurisdictions. |
| Coronary artery disease | VKA, rivaroxaban, apixaban, edoxaban | Coronary artery events appear to occur more often with dabigatran than with VKA. This has not been seen with the other NOACs, and they have demonstrated efficacy for coronary artery disease. Antiplatelet therapy should be avoided if possible in patients on anticoagulants because of increased bleeding. |
| Dyspepsia or history of GI bleeding | VKA, apixaban | Dabigatran increased dyspepsia. Dabigatran, rivaroxaban, and edoxaban may be associated with more GI bleeding than VKA. |
| Poor compliance | VKA | INR monitoring can help to detect problems. However, some patients may be more compliant with a NOAC because it is less complex. |
| Thrombolytic therapy use | UFH infusion | Greater experience with its use in patients treated with thrombolytic therapy |
| Reversal agent needed | VKA, UFH | |
| Pregnancy or pregnancy risk | LMWH | Potential for other agents to cross the placenta |
| Cost, coverage, licensing | Varies among regions and with individual circumstances | |

INR = International Normalized Ratio; NOAC = non-vitamin K oral coagulant. See [Table 1](#) legend for expansion of other abbreviations.

Anticoagulation for treatment of PE

Agent selection (ACCP Guidelines)



- For non-cancer patients, DOACs are preferred to vitamin K antagonists (Grade 2B)
- For cancer patients, long term LMWH is recommended over DOACs (Grade 2C) and VKAs (Grade 2B)

Anticoagulation for treatment of PE

Length of Treatment (ACCP guidelines)



- All Patients with PE/proximal DVT are recommended to have 3 months of therapy minimum (Grade 1B)
- If surgical or medical reversible risk factor, 3 months is sufficient (Grade 1B)
- If unprovoked PE/proximal DVT, 3 months for high risk bleed patients (Grade 1B) and indefinite/extended for low/medium risk patients (Grade 2B)

Anticoagulation for treatment of PE

Length of Treatment (ACCP guidelines)



- All Patients with PE/proximal DVT are recommended to have 3 months of therapy minimum (Grade 1B)
- If surgical or medical reversible risk factor, 3 months is sufficient (Grade 1B)
- If unprovoked PE/proximal DVT, 3 months for high risk bleed patients (Grade 1B) and indefinite/extended for low/medium risk patients (Grade 2B)

Aspirin Therapy for Long term prevention of PE



- Less effective than anticoagulants
- Nevertheless, decreases recurrent VTE by approximately 30%
- Major bleed incidence is statistically equal
- ACCP guidelines recommend ASA for patients with a history of unprovoked PE/prox DVT who are not on anticoagulation and can take ASA

Summary



- Thrombolysis for PE is reserved for a subset of patients with hypotension/shock
- Systemic thrombolysis is preferred to catheter directed therapy save patients with high risk of catastrophic bleeding
- 3 months anticoagulation is preferred to other limited times frames of treatment but some patients should receive indefinite/extended therapy

Summary



- ASA should be recommended to patients with unprovoked VTE who stop anticoagulation and can take ASA