

INSTRUCTIONS FOR RESEARCH POSTER PRESENTERS - 2024

Thank you for your participation in the Maryland ACP Chapter Residents meeting. Your hard work and willingness to share your case with colleagues and faculty is greatly appreciated. Please review the following instructions:

THE RESEARCH POSTERS WILL BE LOCATED in the Board Room, which is on the 1st floor near the Alagia Auditorium, and the research area has been posted so that residents can easily find the locale. You have been ASSIGNED A NUMBER and we ask that you place your poster in the designated area. This number has been sent to your Program Director and we ask that you be sure that you have your poster locale at registration. Please bring pins to the meeting.

- **8:20 – 8:30 AM REGISTRATION at the Alagia Auditorium.** You will be assigned a poster board.
- **8:45 AM** – The research contest will begin.
- Late arrivals are encouraged to join in but may not have their poster judged until all other on-time posters have been reviewed by all resident judges.
- We are continuing our system from last year which works as follows:
 - 11 research posters will be judged at this competition.
 - There will be 2/3 judges assigned to this portion of the competition.
 - Following the 8-minute presentation to their colleagues, each of the resident presenters in the group evaluates the presentation on the assigned score sheet.
 - The group spends 10 minutes writing evaluations and giving feedback to each other. Then the individual presenters in the group select (by vote) one poster to advance to the second round. Presenters hand their written vote to the Judge/moderator.
 - **10:00 AM** – The competition should be complete.
 - The awards will be presented at the end of the afternoon session. There will be a first and 2nd awarded for this competition.
 - Please take this time before lunch to review the CV posters that are being judged today.
 - **Posters will be judged according to the following qualities:**
 - Originality of the concept presented
 - Clinical significance
 - Organization and clarity of the poster
 - Comprehensiveness and rigor—is there a literature review?
 - Methodology, if research poster
 - Visual impact; easy to understand
 - Interview
 - Please note that a colorful printed, glossy poster is not necessary. The judges have been instructed not to be biased toward a commercially produced poster or against a poster with typed pages.

• **Research Poster Event – Maryland ACP 2024**
Scoring Sheet

**Please score the poster and presenter in each of the following categories.
 Complete both side of this form and return to the Physician Judge.**

Enter a scoring number for each criteria in the appropriate box
 1 = not performed, inadequate; 2 = attempted, poor performance; 3 = adequate job;
 4 = good job; 5 = excellent job

Judging Criteria Leave your column blank	Poster Number						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Significance. How clinically relevant to the General Internist is the poster? The poster should increase the understanding of a disease process, its diagnosis or its treatment.							
Originality. How original are the concepts in this poster? Is a new problem identified? Or, does the poster describe a novel approach to understanding an old problem?							
Methods. How suitable is the research design to the stated objectives? How clearly written and logical is the text?							
Contribution. What work did the presenter do in designing, organizing, gathering data, analyzing this project?							
Visual impact. How effective is the poster visually? There should be an appropriate balance between words and images, avoiding wordiness and irrelevant images.							
Interview. How knowledgeable and conversant is the author with the work and its implications? The presentation should take between three and five minutes. Throughout “poster rounds”, does the author of this poster facilitate discussion in a collegial and professional manner?							
Poster Scoring Totals: circle your 1st Choice (not your own)							

POSTER RESEARCH ABSTRACTS 2024

Dr. Sammie Zakaria – Poster Judge – 1-4

Drs. Hameem Kawsar & Ellen Marciniak – 5-11

	NAME	ABSTRACT TITLE	PROGRAM	JUDGE	
R-1	Tugba Kaplan	Factors Affecting Marijuana Use Among Elderly People: Results from a Nationally Representative Study	AAMC	Zakaria	
R-2	Soo Young Hwang	Paradoxical Vomiting as a Side Effect of Medical Cannabinoid: Analysis of the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System Database	UMMC Midtown	Zakaria	
R – 3	Joel Manuel Alejandro	Guideline Directed Medical Therapy Prescriptions for Chronic Systolic Heart Failure in Maryland	UMMC Midtown	Zakaria	
R-4	Heyue Du	Trends of Global Burden Attributable to Cocaine Use Disorders from 1990 to 2019: a Global Burden of Disease Study Analysis	Tidalhealth	Zakaria	

R – 5	Giorgi Sabakhtarishvili	Racial Differences in Lung Cancer Screening Rates & Stages of Presentation	Luminis AAMC	Kawsar/Marciniak	
R -6	Boniface Mensah	Medicaid Expansion Increases Early Lung Cancer Diagnosis Among Black Americans: Surveillance Epidemiology and END Result (SEER) Program	MHIM	Kawsar/Marciniak	
R-7	Mariah Malak Bilalaga	Changes in Adherence to Cervical Cancer Screening Guidelines Before and After the COVID-19 Pandemic Among American Adults	MHIM	Kawsar/Marciniak	
R-8	Jehan Mousa	RASGRP2 Variant and the Platelet Paradox: A Deep Dive into a Rare Inherited Platelet Function Disorder	MHIM	Kawsar/Marciniak	
R-9	Muhammad Eltony	The Use of IVUS-Guided PCI Over Angiography-Guided PCI: A Study Comparing Effectiveness and Outcomes	MHIM	Kawsar/Marciniak	
R-10	Anurag Marasini	Understanding the Perception and Usage of MedStar Patient Portal Among an Underserved Patient Population	MHIM	Kawsar/Marciniak	
R-11	Muhammed Rahim	Decreased Risk of Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events (MACE) in Patients with Obesity/Overweight Prescribed Phentermine	Hopkins/Osler	Kawsar/Marciniak	