

Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (Fi Hanny	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Al-Samkari	3. Date 20-May-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?		Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan
5. Manuscript Title International Gu		osis and Management of H	lereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia
6. Manuscript Ider M20-1443	ntifying Number (if you	know it)	_

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution **at any time** receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?

🖌 No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? \checkmark Yes

If yes, please fill out the appropriate information below.

Name of Entity	Grant?	Personal Fees	Non-Financial Support?	Other?	Comments	
Agios	\checkmark	\checkmark			Consultancy, research funding to institution	
Dova	\checkmark	\checkmark			Consultancy, research funding to institution	
Amgen	\checkmark				Research funding to institution	



Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? Yes Ves

Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Al-Samkari reports grants and personal fees from Agios, grants and personal fees from Dova, grants from Amgen, outside the submitted work; .

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1. Identifying Inform	nation				
1. Given Name (First Name)	2. Surname (Last Name)	3. Date			
Elisabetta	Buscarini	03-August	-2020		
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name			
5. Manuscript Title International Guidelines for the diagnosis and Management of hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia					
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you k M20-1443	now it)	_			
Section 2. The Work Under C	Consideration for Publi	ation			
Did you or your institution at any time reco any aspect of the submitted work (includin statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of inter	g but not limited to grants, da				

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?		Yes	\checkmark	No
---	--	-----	--------------	----

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work?	Yes	\checkmark	No



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Buscarini has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Povalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to you



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (Fi Murali	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Chakinala	3. Date 28-July-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?		Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan
5. Manuscript Title International Gu		osis and Management of H	lereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia
6. Manuscript Ide M20-1443	ntifying Number (if you	know it)	

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution **at any time** receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? \checkmark Yes \square No

If yes, please fill out the appropriate information below. If you have more than one entity press the "ADD" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by pressing the "X" button.

Name of Institution/Company	Grant?	Personal Fees	Non-Financial Support?	Other?	Comments	
Cure HHT Foundation	\checkmark				Participated in multi-center clinical trial in HHT sponsored by the Foundation. Monies went to my institution.	
Glaxo-Smith-Klein	\checkmark				Participated in multi-center clincial trial for HHT-related bleeding. Monies went to my institution.	

Section 3.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Yes

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?

🖌 No



Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? Yes Ves

Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Chakinala reports grants from Cure HHT Foundation, grants from Glaxo-Smith-Klein, during the conduct of the study; .

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1. Identifying Inform	nation		
1. Given Name (First Name) Mark	2. Surname (Last Name) Chesnutt		3. Date 19-May-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Na Faughnan	me
5. Manuscript Title International Guidelines for the Diagno	osis and Management of H	ereditary Hemorrhagic Tela	ngiectasia
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you ki	now it)		
		_	
Section 2. The Work Under C	onsideration for Publi	cation	
Did you or your institution at any time rece any aspect of the submitted work (including statistical analysis, etc.)?	g but not limited to grants, da		
Are there any relevant conflicts of inter	est? Yes ✔ No		
Section 3. Relevant financial	activities outside the	submitted work.	
Place a check in the appropriate boxes of compensation) with entities as descr clicking the "Add +" box. You should re	ibed in the instructions. U	se one line for each entity;	add as many lines as you need by
Are there any relevant conflicts of inter-	est? Yes 🖌 No		

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the wor	?	Yes	🖌 🗸 📈	0
--	---	-----	-------	---



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Chesnutt has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not

ICMJE INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE of MEDICAL JOURNAL EDITORS

1. Given Name (First Name) Marianne	2. Surname (Last Name) Clancy	3. Date July 21, 2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?	X Yes No	
5. Manuscript Title		
"International Guidelines for the I	Diagnosis and Management of Heredita	ary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia"
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you	know it)	
M20-1443		
		government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for
statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of inte	ing but not limited to grants, data monitoring erest? Yes X No	board, study design, manuscript preparation,
statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of inte ction 3. Place a check in the appropriate boxe of compensation) with entities as des clicking the "Add +" box. You should	erest? Yes X No es in the table to indicate whether you have scribed in the instructions. Use one line for report relationships that were present d	board, study design, manuscript preparation, ve financial relationships (regardless of amount or each entity; add as many lines as you need by luring the 36 months prior to publication .
statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of inte ction 3. Place a check in the appropriate boxe of compensation) with entities as des	erest? Yes X No es in the table to indicate whether you have scribed in the instructions. Use one line for report relationships that were present d	ve financial relationships (regardless of amount or each entity; add as many lines as you need by



Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

X No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.



Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

ienerate Disclosure Statemen

aluation and Feedback

Please visit <u>integration memory of any recuback</u> to provide recuback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1.							
	Identifying Inforn	nation					
1. Given Name (Fin Miles	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Nam Conrad	e)	3. Date 18-May-2020			
4. Are you the corresponding author?		Yes 🖌 No Corresponding Author's Na Marie Faughnan		ame			
	5. Manuscript Title Second International Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of HHT						
6. Manuscript Ider	6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you know it)						
	l						
Section 2.	The Work Under C	onsideration for Pu	blication				
any aspect of the s statistical analysis,	ubmitted work (including	g but not limited to grant	s, data monitoring board, study de	ommercial, private foundation, etc.) for esign, manuscript preparation,			

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?	Yes	\checkmark	No
---	-----	--------------	----

Do you have any patents, whether planned, penuing of issued, broadly relevant to the work? res \mathbf{v} no	e any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to	the work?	Yes	🖌 No
--	--	-----------	-----	------



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Conrad has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1				
Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation		
1. Given Name (Fi Daniel	irst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Cortes		3. Date 06-June-2020
4. Are you the cor	rresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Na	me
5. Manuscript Titl International Gu		osis and Management of H	ereditary Hemorrhagic Tela	ngiectasia
6. Manuscript Ide M20-1443	ntifying Number (if you l	know it)	_	
Costion 2				
Section 2.	The Work Under (Consideration for Publi	cation	
	submitted work (includir		n a third party (government, con ata monitoring board, study de	mmercial, private foundation, etc.) for sign, manuscript preparation,

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

✓ No

Yes

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?	Y	'es	\checkmark	No
---	---	-----	--------------	----

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work?	Yes	🖌 No	
		•	



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Mr. Cortes has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Continue 1		
Section 1. Identifying Inform	mation	
1. Given Name (First Name) Claudia	2. Surname (Last Name) Crocione	3. Date 29-July-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?	✓ Yes No	
5. Manuscript Title International Guidelines for the Diagno	osis and Management of Hereditary Hen	norrhagic Telangiectasia
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you k M20-1443	know it)	
Section 2. The Work Under C	Consideration for Publication	
		government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for board, study design, manuscript preparation,

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?		Yes	\checkmark	No
---	--	-----	--------------	----

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? 🗌	Yes	🖌 No
--	-----	------



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Crocione has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1. Identifying Infor 1. Given Name (First Name) Jama	rmation 2. Surname (Last Name) Darling	3. Date 29-July-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan
		Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you M20-1443	know it)	

Did you or your institution **at any time** receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?

🖌 No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?		Yes
---	--	-----

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?		Yes	\checkmark	
---	--	-----	--------------	--

Do you have any patents, whether planned, penuing of issued, broadly relevant to the work? res \mathbf{v} no	e any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to	the work?	Yes	🖌 No
--	--	-----------	-----	------



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Darling has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Povalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to you



Section 1.	Identifying Inform	mation			
1. Given Name (First Name) Els		2. Surname (Last Name) de Gussem	3. Date 19-May-2020		
4. Are you the corresponding author?		Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Dr Faughnan		
5. Manuscript Title "International Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia"					
6. Manuscript Ider M20-1443	ntifying Number (if you k	know it)			
Section 2.	The Work Under O	Consideration for Pub	lication		
Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation,					

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

√ No

Yes

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?		Yes	\checkmark	No
---	--	-----	--------------	----

statistical analysis, etc.)?

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the wo	rk?	Yes	🖌 N	о
---	-----	-----	-----	---



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. de Gussem has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Section 1. Identifying Inform	mation					
1. Given Name (First Name) Carol	2. Surname (Last Name) Derksen	3. Date 28-July-2020				
4. Are you the corresponding author?	✓ Yes No					
5. Manuscript Title International Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia						
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you know it) M20-1443						
Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes No						
Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.						
Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to publication . Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes Yes No						
Section 4. Intellectual Prope	erty – Patents & Copyrights					
Do you have any patents, whether pla	nned, pending or issued, broadly r	elevant to the work? Yes 🖌 No				



Section 5. Relat

Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6.

Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. Theworkunderconsiderationforpublication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

5.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Povalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to you



Section 1.	Identifying Information				
1. Given Name (First Name)		2. Surname (Last Name)		3. Date	
4. Are you the corresponding author?		Yes No			
5. Manuscript Title					
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you know it)					
Section 2.	The Work Under C	onsideration for Pul	olication		
Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes No					
Section 3.	Relevant financial	activities outside th	e submitted work.		
Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to publication . Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?					
				ADD	
Section 4.	Intellectual Proper	ty Patents & Copy	vrights		

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work?



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Generate Disclosure Statement

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Penalties: Funds are coming in to you eryour institution due to you



1. Given Name (Fi Sophie	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name Dupuis-Girod) 3. Date 21-May-2020
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Dr. M.E. Faughnan
		ne Diagnosis and Manage know it)	ement of HHT

Did you or your institution **at any time** receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?

🖌 No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?	Yes
---	-----

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?		Yes	\checkmark
---	--	-----	--------------

Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? 🗌 Yes 🖌 No



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Dupuis-Girod has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation					
1. Given Name (Fi Marie	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Faughnan	3. Date 28-July-2020				
4. Are you the corresponding author? ✓ Yes No							
5. Manuscript Title Second Internati		ne Diagnosis and Management of Heredi	itary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia				
6. Manuscript Ider M20-1443	ntifying Number (if you	know it)					
Section 2.	The Work Under	Consideration for Publication					

Did you or your institution **at any time** receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?

🖌 No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?	Yes
---	-----

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?		Yes	\checkmark	No
---	--	-----	--------------	----

Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

by you have any patents, whether planned, pending of issued, broadly relevant to the work: res	Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? $\; [$	Yes	🖌 No
--	---	-----	------



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Faughnan has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Identifying Info	mation		
1. Given Name (First Name) Patrick	2. Surname (Last Name) Foy	3. Dat 29-Ju	te ıly-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan	
i. Manuscript Title nternational Guidelines for the Diagi	nosis and Management of H	lereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiecta	asia
. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you	know it)		

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution **at any time** receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?

🖌 No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes

If yes, please fill out the appropriate information below.

Name of Entity	Grant?	Personal Fees	Non-Financial Support?	Other?	Comments	
Alexion		\checkmark				
Incyte		\checkmark				

Section 4.

Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? Yes 🗸 No



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Foy reports personal fees from Alexion, personal fees from Incyte, outside the submitted work; .

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1.									
	Identifying Informa	ation							
1. Given Name (Fin Urban	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Nar Geisthoff	me)		3. Date 19-May-2020				
4. Are you the corresponding author? Yes 🖌 No Corresponding Author's Name									
5. Manuscript Title International Gu	e idelines for the Diagnosi	is and Management	of Hereditary Her	norrhagic T	Felangiectasia				
6. Manuscript Ider M20-1443	ntifying Number (if you kno	ow it)							
Section 2.	The Work Under Co	nsideration for P	ublication						
Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes No									
	out the appropriate infor be removed by pressing		u have more than	one entity	press the "ADD" button to add a row.				
Name of Institut	ion/Company	Grant? Personal	Non-Financial	Other?	Comments				

Name of Institution/Company	Grant?	Personal Fees?	Non-Financial Support	Other?	Comments	
CureHHT	\checkmark				Travel grant for the consensus conference	

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? \Box Yes \checkmark No

Section 4.	Intellectual Property Patents & Copyrights	
Do you have any	v patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? [] Yes	🖌 No



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

✓ Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

UG is member of the board of directors of the German HHT self-help group (M. Osler-Selbsthilfe) and president of the board of trustees of the German HHT Foundation (Osler-Stiftung)

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6.

Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Geisthoff reports grants from CureHHT, during the conduct of the study; and UG is member of the board of directors of the German HHT self-help group (M. Osler-Selbsthilfe) and president of the board of trustees of the German HHT Foundation (Osler-Stiftung).

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (Fi James	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Gossage	3. Date 24-July-2020
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan
5. Manuscript Title International Gu		osis and Management of I	Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia
6. Manuscript Ider M20-1443	ntifying Number (if you l	know it)	
Section 2.	The Work Under (Consideration for Pub	ication

Did you or your institution **at any time** receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?	\checkmark	Yes		No
---	--------------	-----	--	----

If yes, please fill out the appropriate information below. If you have more than one entity press the "ADD" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by pressing the "X" button.

Name of Institution/Company	Grant?	Personal Fees [?]	Non-Financial Support <mark>?</mark>	Other?	Comments	
Cure HHT Foundation					Travel reimbursement to attend the guidelines conference; not a conflict of interest.	

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes

5 🖌 No

Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? Yes

🖌 No



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Gossage reports other from Cure HHT Foundation, during the conduct of the study; .

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

3.

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically.

Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

patent

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency LiThe patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning

royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your

Hammill

5.



Section 1. Identifying Inform	ation			
1. Given Name (First Name) Adrienne	2. Surname (Last Name) Hammill	3. Date 07/23/2020		
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan		
5. Manuscript Title International Guidelines for the Diagno:	sis and Management of H	ereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia		
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you kn M20-1443	low it)	_		
Section 2. The Work Under Co	onsideration for Publi	cation		
Did you or your institution at any time				
Are there any relevant conflicts of intere	est? Yes 🖌 No			
Section 3. Relevant financial	activities outside the	submitted work.		
Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to publication				
Are there any relevant conflicts of intere				
If yes, please fill out the appropriate info	ormation below.			

Name of Entity	Grant?	Personal Fees	Non-Financial Support <mark>?</mark>	Other?	Comments	





Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Section 5.

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Hammill has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit <u>http://w ww.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback</u>



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1. Identifying Inform	nation	
1. Given Name (First Name) Ketil	2. Surname (Last Name) Heimdal	3. Date 23-July-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name
5. Manuscript Title International Guidelines for the Diagno	sis and Management of H	ereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you kr	now it)	
Section 2. The Work Under Co	onsideration for Publi	cation
any aspect of the submitted work (including statistical analysis, etc.)?	but not limited to grants, da	a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for ata monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation,
Are there any relevant conflicts of intere	est? Yes ✔ No	
Continue D		
Section 3. Relevant financial	activities outside the	submitted work.
of compensation) with entities as descr	ibed in the instructions. U port relationships that we	ether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount se one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by re present during the 36 months prior to publication .

Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant	to the work?	Yes	🖌 No	
---	--------------	-----	------	--



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Heimdal has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Povalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to you



Section 1.	Identifying Inform	nation	
1. Given Name (Fi Katharine		2. Surname (Last M Henderson	Name) 3. Date 18-May-2020
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes 🖌 No	o Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan
5. Manuscript Title International Gu		osis and Manageme	ent of Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia
6. Manuscript Ide M20-1443	ntifying Number (if you k	now it)	
	l		
Section 2.	The Work Under C	Consideration for	Publication
Did you or your ins	stitution at any time reco	eive payment or servi	ces from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for

any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)? 🖌 No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?		Yes
---	--	-----

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?		Yes	\checkmark	No
---	--	-----	--------------	----

Section 4. **Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights**

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? 🗌 Yes 🛛 🗸 No	Do you have any	patents, whethe	r planned, pending	g or issued, broa	adly relevant to	the work? 🗌	Yes	🖌 No	2
---	-----------------	-----------------	--------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------	-----	------	---



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Ms. Henderson has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1. Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (First Name) Steven	2. Surname (Last Name) Hetts	3. Date 19-May-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Faughnan
5. Manuscript Title HHT Guidelines		

6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you know it)

Section 2. The Work Un

The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution **at any time** receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?

🖌 No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes

If yes, please fill out the appropriate information below.

Name of Entity	Grant?	Personal Fees ?	Non-Financial Support	Other?	Comments	
National Institutes of Health: NCI and NIBIB	\checkmark				Medical device research	
Siemens Healthineers	\checkmark				Angiography system research	
Stryker Neurovascular				\checkmark	Core Angiography Lab for Clinical Trial	
MicroVention Terumo		\checkmark			DSMB Member for Clinical Trial	
Route 92 Medical		\checkmark		\checkmark	CEC Adjudicator for Clinical Trial	
ThrombX Medical				V	Stock Ownership in Stroke Device Startup Company	
Imperative		\checkmark			CEC Chair for Clinical Trial	



Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? Yes Ves

Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Hetts reports grants from National Institutes of Health: NCI and NIBIB, grants from Siemens Healthineers, other from Stryker Neurovascular, personal fees from MicroVention Terumo, personal fees and other from Route 92 Medical, other from ThrombX Medical, personal fees from Imperative, outside the submitted work; .

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (Fi Vivek	irst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) lyer	3. Date 18-May-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?		Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan
5. Manuscript Titl "nternational Gu		nosis and Management of H	Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia
6. Manuscript Ide M20-1443	ntifying Number (if you	know it)	
Section 2.			
Section 2.	The Work Under	Consideration for Publ	ication
	submitted work (includi		n a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for lata monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation,

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Yes

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

✓ No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?	Ye	es 🗸	/	No
---	----	------	---	----

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?

Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work?	\square	í es	🗸 N(0
	1 1			



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Iyer has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (Fi Raj	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Kasthuri	3. Date 18-May-2020
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan
5. Manuscript Title International Gu		osis and Management of	Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia
6. Manuscript Ide M20-1443	ntifying Number (if you	know it)	
Section 2.	The Work Under	Consideration for Pub	lication
	•		m a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation,

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

🖌 No

Yes

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?	Ye	es 🗸	/	No
---	----	------	---	----

statistical analysis, etc.)?

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?

Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work?		Yes	↓	No
--	--	-----	----------	----



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Kasthuri has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation			
1. Given Name (First Name) Anette D.		2. Surname (Last Name) Kjeldsen	3. Date 20-May-2020		
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name		
			lereditary Hemorrhagic Telar	ngiectasia	
			_		
Section 2.	The Work Under	Consideration for Publi	cation		
any aspect of the s statistical analysis,	ubmitted work (includi	ng but not limited to grants, d	n a third party (government, con ata monitoring board, study des	nmercial, private foundation, etc.) for sign, manuscript preparation,	

If yes, please fill out the appropriate information below. If you have more than one entity press the "ADD" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by pressing the "X" button.

Name of Institution/Company	Grant?	Personal Fees	Non-Financial Support <mark>?</mark>	Other?	Comments	
The Christopher McMahon Memorial					Private funding from an HHT patient, given to support the guideline meeting. The funding covered the meeting and the transportation.	

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? \Box Yes \checkmark No

Section 4.	
Section 4.	Intellectual Property Patents & Copyrights
	Intenetiual Fluberty Fatents & Cubynunts

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? Yes 🗸 No



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

✓ Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

Member of VASCERN, European reference network on vascular diseases.

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6.

Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Kjeldsen reports other from The Christopher McMahon Memorial, during the conduct of the study; and Member of VASCERN, European reference network on vascular diseases.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Povalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to you



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (Fi Masaki	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Komiyama	3. Date 23-July-2020
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan
5. Manuscript Title International Gu		osis and Management of	Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia
6. Manuscript Ide M20-1443	ntifying Number (if you	know it)	
Section 2.	The Work Under	Consideration for Pub	lication
	•		om a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation,

Yes

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

🖌 No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes 🗸 No

statistical analysis, etc.)?

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work?	Yes	\checkmark	No



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Komiyama has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1. Identifying Infor	mation						
1. Given Name (First Name) Kevin	2. Surname (Last Name) Korenblat	3. Date 18-May-2020					
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name					
5. Manuscript Title International Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia							
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you M20-1443	know it)						
Section 2. The Work Under	Consideration for Publi	cation					
	ng but not limited to grants, d	a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for ata monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation,					

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?		Yes	\checkmark	No
---	--	-----	--------------	----

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? 🗌 Yes	Yes 🗸	🖌 N	lo
--	-------	-----	----



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Korenblat has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Penalties: Funds are coming in to you eryour institution due to you



Contion 1				
Section 1.	Identifying Inform	nation		
1. Given Name (F Kelly	irst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name Lang-Robertson)	3. Date 22-July-2020
4. Are you the co	rresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Na M.E. Faughnan	ame
5. Manuscript Titl Second Internat		e Diagnosis and Manage	ement of HHT	
6. Manuscript Ide	ntifying Number (if you k	now it)		
Section 2.			J	
	The Work Under C	Consideration for Pub	blication	
any aspect of the statistical analysis	submitted work (including	g but not limited to grants	, data monitoring board, study d	ommercial, private foundation, etc.) for esign, manuscript preparation,
Section 3.	Relevant financial	activities outside th	e submitted work.	
	the appropriate bases	in the table to indicate .	whathar you have financial re	lationships (remaindless of emount

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?		Yes	\checkmark	No
---	--	-----	--------------	----

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work?		Yes	🗸 N	10
--	--	-----	-----	----



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Ms. Lang-Robertson has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1. Identifying Infor	mation		
1. Given Name (First Name) Andrea	2. Surname (Last Name) Lausman		3. Date 27-May-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Na Marie Faughnan	ime
5. Manuscript Title International Guidelines for the Diagr	nosis and Management of H	ereditary Hemorrhagic Tela	ingiectasia
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you	know it)		
		_	
Section 2. The Work Under			
The Work Under	Consideration for Publi	cation	
Did you or your institution at any time red any aspect of the submitted work (includi statistical analysis, etc.)?			
Are there any relevant conflicts of inte	erest? Yes 🖌 No		
Section 3. Polovant financia	al activities outside the	submitted work	
Relevant Inancia	activities outside the	Submitted WORK.	
Place a check in the appropriate boxe of compensation) with entities as deso clicking the "Add +" box. You should r Are there any relevant conflicts of inte	cribed in the instructions. U eport relationships that we	se one line for each entity;	add as many lines as you need by

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work?		Yes	√ 1	No
--	--	-----	-----	----



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Lausman has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



1. Given Name (First Name) Hans-Jurgen	2. Surname (Last Name) Mager	3. Date 19-May-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan
5. Manuscript Title International Guidelines for the Diagn	osis and Management of	Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia

Did you or your institution **at any time** receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?

🖌 No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?		Yes
---	--	-----

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?	Y	'es	\checkmark	No
---	---	-----	--------------	----

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work?	Yes	🖌 No	
		•	



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Mager has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Povalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to you



Section 1. Identifying Info	rmation	
1. Given Name (First Name) Jamie	2. Surname (Last Name) McDonald	3. Date 18-May-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name
5. Manuscript Title "International Guidelines for the Dia	gnosis and Management of	Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia"
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you M20-1443	know it)	
Section 2. The Work Under	Consideration for Publi	cation
	ing but not limited to grants, d	n a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for ata monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation,
Section 3. Relevant financi	al activities outside the	submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (rec

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?		Yes	\checkmark	No
---	--	-----	--------------	----

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? $\;[$	Yes	🖌 No	



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Povalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to you



Section 1. Identifying Inform	nation	
1. Given Name (First Name) John	2. Surname (Last Name) McMahon Jr	3. Date 31-July-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name
5. Manuscript Title International Guidelines for the Diagno	osis and Management of He	ereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you k	now it)	
		-
Section 2. The Work Under C	onsideration for Public	
Did you or your institution at any time rece any aspect of the submitted work (including statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of inter	tive payment or services from g but not limited to grants, da	a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for ta monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation,
Section 3. Relevant financial	activities outside the s	ubmitted work.
of compensation) with entities as descr	ibed in the instructions. Us port relationships that wer	ether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount se one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by se present during the 36 months prior to publication .

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? $\; [$	'	Yes	\checkmark	No
			•	



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Povalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to you



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation			
1. Given Name (Fir Justin	st Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) McWilliams	3. Date 18-May-2020		
4. Are you the corresponding author? Yes 🖌 No		Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan		
5. Manuscript Title International Gui		osis and Management of H	lereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia		
6. Manuscript Ider M20-1443	tifying Number (if you	know it)	_		

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution **at any time** receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?

✓ No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes

If yes, please fill out the appropriate information below.

Name of Entity	Grant?	Personal Fees	Non-Financial Support?	Other?	Comments	
Penumbra		\checkmark			Educational seminars	

Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? Yes



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. McWilliams reports personal fees from Penumbra, outside the submitted work; .

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1.	lentifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (First N Mary	lame)	2. Surname (Last Nam Meek	e) 3. Date 29-July-2020
4. Are you the corresp	onding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan
5. Manuscript Title International Guidel	ines for the Diagn	osis and Management o	of HHT
6. Manuscript Identify M20-1443	ing Number (if you l	know it)	

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution **at any time** receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?

🖌 No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?	Yes
---	-----

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?		Yes	\checkmark	No
---	--	-----	--------------	----

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work?	Yes	🖌 No	



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Meek has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



1. Given Name (First Name) Scott	2. Surname (Last Name) Olitsky	3. Date 25-July-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan
5. Manuscript Title		
nternational Guidelines for the Diagr		lereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia
•		lereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia

Did you or your institution **at any time** receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?

√ No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?		Yes
---	--	-----

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?		Yes	\checkmark	
---	--	-----	--------------	--

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? $\; [$	Yes	🖌 No	
---	-----	------	--



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1.	Identifying Inforn	nation	
1. Given Name (Fi Valerie	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) PALDA	3. Date 29-July-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?		Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan
5. Manuscript Title Guidelines for th	e e diagnosis and mana	gement of HHT	
6. Manuscript Idei	ntifying Number (if you ki	now it)	

M20-1443

Section 2. **The Work Under Consideration for Publication**

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? ✓ Yes No

If yes, please fill out the appropriate information below. If you have more than one entity press the "ADD" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by pressing the "X" button.

Name of Institution/Company	Grant?	Personal Fees	Non-Financial Support <mark>?</mark>	Other?	Comments	
Cure HHT Foundation		\checkmark			Honorarium for guideline facilitation.	

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes

✓ No

Section 4. **Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights**

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? Yes ✓ No



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. PALDA reports that she was paid an honorarium from the Cure HHT Foundation for her time as guideline facilitator. She did not vote on any of the recommendations.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1. Identifying Inform	mation		
1. Given Name (First Name) Sara	2. Surname (Last Name) Palmer		3. Date 29-May-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Na Marie Faughnan	me
5. Manuscript Title International Guidelines for the Diagn	osis and Management of H	lereditary Hemorrhagic Tela	ngiectasia
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you l	(now it)		
		_	
Section 2. The Work Under O	Consideration for Publi	cation	
Did you or your institution at any time rec any aspect of the submitted work (includir statistical analysis, etc.)?			•
Are there any relevant conflicts of inte	rest? Yes 🖌 No		
Section 3. Delevent financia		مى ارمى ما مى ار	
Relevant financia	l activities outside the	submitted work.	
Place a check in the appropriate boxes of compensation) with entities as desc clicking the "Add +" box. You should re Are there any relevant conflicts of inte	ribed in the instructions. U eport relationships that we	se one line for each entity; a	idd as many lines as you need by

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? 🗌 Y	Yes	I V No	
--	-----	--------	--



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Palmer has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your

patent



Section 1. Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (First Name) Rose	2. Surname (Last Name) Pantalone	3. Date 23-July-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan
5. Manuscript Title "International Guidelines for the Diag	nosis and Management of H	Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia"
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you M20-1443	know it)	
Section 2. The Work Under	Consideration for Publi	cation
		n a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for ata monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation,
Are there any relevant conflicts of inte	erest? Yes 🖌 No	
Section 3. Relevant financia	al activities outside the	submitted work.
of compensation) with entities as des	cribed in the instructions. U	nether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount se one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by re present during the 36 months prior to publication .

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes 🗸 No

Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? 🗌 Yes 🖌 No



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Pantalone has nothing to disclose

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit <u>http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback</u> to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent



Identifying Infor	mation			
1. Given Name (First Name) 2. Surname (Last Name) Jay Piccirillo		e) 3. Date 18-May-2020		
esponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan		
	nosis and Management of H	Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia		
tifying Number (if you	know it)			
	esponding author? delines for the Diagr	Piccirillo		

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution **at any time** receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?

✓ No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? \checkmark Yes

If yes, please fill out the appropriate information below.

Name of Entity	Grant?	Personal Fees ?	Non-Financial Support?	Other?	Comments	
Bind On-Demand Health Insurance		\checkmark			Consultant	

Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? 🖌 Yes

If yes, please fill out the appropriate information below. If you have more than one entity press the "ADD" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by pressing the "X" button.

No



Patent?	Pending?	Issued?	Licensed?	Royalties?	Licensee?	Comments	
NOSE-HHT	\checkmark		\checkmark		Andrew Peterson,	Patient-reported outcome measure for HHT-associated epistaxis	

Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Piccirillo reports personal fees from Bind On-Demand Health Insurance, outside the submitted work; In addition, Dr. Piccirillo has a patent NOSE-HHT licensed to Jay Piccirillo, Andrew Peterson, Dorina Kallogjeri .

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit <u>http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback</u> to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.

International Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia

Manuscript# - M20-1443

Conflicts

Are you aware that any of the authors' academic institutions or employers has any financial interest in or a financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript? - No

Employment - No

Did all authors have full access to all study data, take full responsibility for the accuracy of the data analysis, and have authority over manuscript preparation and decisions to submit the manuscript for publication? - Yes

Consulting - No

Honoraria for advice or public speaking - No

Stock ownership or options (other than mutual funds) - No

Expert testimony - No

Grants Received / Pending - No

Advisory board - No

Medical Education - No

Patents Received / Pending - No

Royalties - No

Other - No

Is this manuscript currently under consideration elsewhere (e.g., at another journal or the Cochrane Library), or has a similar version of this manuscript been published elsewhere (e.g., in another journal or the Cochrane Library)? - No

Payment for involvement in the preparation of this manuscript - No

Company Service - No

Are there any concurrently submitted or previously published papers containing content that is found in this manuscript? - No

Manuscript: M20-1443 Preprint Questions

Prior posting of a study at a preprint server does not preclude its evaluation at Annals of Internal Medicine.

Have you posted a report of this work on a preprint server?

<u>No</u>

1 Second International Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of HHT

2

3 M.E. Faughnan MDMSc1,2, J.J. Mager MD PhD3, S.Hetts MD4, V.A. Palda MD MSc5,

- 4 K. Lang-Robertson6, E. Buscarini MD7, E. Deslandres MD8, R. S. Kasthuri MD9, A.
- 5 Lausman MD10, D. Poetker MD MA11, F. Ratjen, MD12, M.S. Chesnutt MD13, M.
- 6 Clancy RDH MPA14, K.J. Whitehead MD15, H. Al-Samkari MD16, M. Chakinala MD17,
- 7 M. Conrad MD18, D. Cortes BscPhm19, C. Crocione20, J. Darling MD21, E. deGussem
- 8 MD22, C. Derksen23, S. Dupuis-Girod MD PhD24, P. Foy MD25, U. Geisthoff MD26,
- J.R. Gossage MD27, A. Hammill MD28, K. Heimdal, MD29, K. Henderson MS, CGC30,
- V. Iyer MD MPH31, A.D. Kjeldsen, MD32, M. Komiyama MD33, K. Korenblatt MD34, J.
 McDonald MS CGC35, J. McMahon36, J. McWilliams MD37, M. Meek MD38, M. Mei-
- 12 Zahav MD39, S. Olitsky, MD MBA14, S. Palmer, PhD40, R. Pantalone RN1, J.F.
- 13 Piccirillo MD41, B.Plahn RN MHA42, M.E.M. Porteous MD43, M.C. Post MD PhD44, I.
- 14 Radovanovic MD45, P. Rochon, MD46, J. Rodriguez-Lopez MD47, C. Sabba MD48, M.
- 15 Serra MD49, C. Shovlin PhD MA50, D. Sprecher, MD51, A.J. White MD52, I. Winship
- 16 MBChB MD53, R. Zarrabeitia MD54.
- 17 Author affiliations
- 18 1Toronto HHT Centre, Division of Respirology, Department of Medicine, St. Michael's
- 19 Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
- 20 2Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St. Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto,
 21 ON, Canada
- 22 3St. Antonius Hospital, Nieuwegein/Utrecht, The Netherlands
- 4Department of Neurointerventional Radiology, University of California San FranciscoUSA
- 25 5Department of Medicine and Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation,
- 26 University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
- 27 6Centre for Effective Practice, Toronto, ON, Canada
- 28 7UOC Gastroenterologia ed Endoscopia Digestiva, HHT Reference Center ERN,
- 29 Ospedale Maggiore, ASST Crema, Italy
- 30 8Department of Gastroenterology, CHUM, Hotel Dieu, Montreal, QC Canada
- 9Division of Hematology/Oncology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, Chapel
 Hill, North Carolina, USA
- 33 10Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Toronto, St. Michael's
- 34 Hospital, Toronto, ON, Canada
- 11Department of Otolaryngology, Froedtert and Medical College of Wisconsin,
- 36 Milwaukee, WI, USA
- 37 12Division of Respiratory Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Translational Medicine,
- Research Institute, The Hospital for Sick Children, University of Toronto, Toronto, ONCanada
- 40 13VA Portland Health Care System, HHT Center of Excellence, Dotter Department of
- 41 Interventional Radiology, Oregon Health & Science University, USA
- 42 14Cure HHT, Monkton, Maryland, USA
- 43 15Department of Cardiovascular Medicine and Pediatric Cardiology, University of Utah
- 44 Medical I Center, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA

- 45 16Division of Hematology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School,
- 46 Boston, Massachusetts, USA
- 47 17Department of Pulmonology and Critical Care, Washington University School of
- 48 Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA
- 49 18Department of Interventional Radiology University of California San Francisco USA
- 19Pharmacy Department, St. Michaels Hospital, Unity Health Toronto, Toronto, Canada
 20HHT Europe, Rome, Italy
- 52 21Department of Hepatology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, 53 USA
- 54 22Department of Medicine, Section of Respirology, Grace Hospital, Winnipeg, MB 55 Canada
- 56 23HHT Canada, Spruce Grove, Alberta, Canada
- 57 24Department of Genetics, Hotel-Dieu de Lyon, Lyon, France
- 25Department of Hematology, Froedtert and Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee,
 WI USA
- 60 26Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University Hospital of
- 61 Marburg, Phillips University Marburg, Marburg Germany
- 62 27Augusta University, Augusta, GA, USA
- 63 28Division of Hematology, Cancer and Blood Diseases Institute, Cincinnati Children's
- 64 Hospital, and Department of Pediatrics, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA
- 65 29Department of Genetics, Oslo University Hospital, RIkshopitalet, Oslo, Norway,
- 66 30Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA
- 67 31Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester,
- 68 Minnesota, USA
- 69 32Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, HHT-center OUH,
- 70 Vascern member Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark
- 71 33Department of Neurointervention, Osaka, Japan
- 34Department of Hepatology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO,
 USA
- 74 35Department of Pathology and Radiology, University of Utah Medical Center, Salt
- 75 Lake City, Utah, USA
- 76 36Chester, New Jersey, USA
- 37 37Department of Interventional Radiology, University of California Los Angeles,
- 78 California, USA
- 79 38Department of Interventional Radiology, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences,
- 80 Little Rock, Arkansas, USA
- 39Pulmonology Institute, Schneider Children's Medical Center of Israel, Sackler School
- 82 of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Israel
- 83 40Baltimore, Maryland, USA
- 41Department of Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery, Washington University School
- 85 of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA
- 86 42Sioux Falls, South Dakota, USA
- 43Department of Genetics University of Edinburgh, Center of Molecular Medicine,
- 88 Edinburgh, Scotland
- 44Department of Cardiology, St. Antonius Hospital, Nieuwegein/Utrecht and University
- 90 Medical Center Utrecht, The Netherlands

- 91 45Department of Neurosurgery, University Health Network, Toronto Western Hospital,
- 92 University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada
- 93 46Department of Interventional Radiology, University of Colorado Hospital, Aurora, CO,
- 94 47Department of Pulmonology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston,
- 95 Massachusetts, USA
- 96 48Department of Internal Medicine, University of Bari, Bari, Italy
- 97 49Department of Internal Medicine, Hospital Italiano de Buenos Aires, Burenos Aires,
- 98 Argentina
- 50 50 Department of Pulmonology, Hammersmith Hospital, London England
- 100 51Blue Bell, PA, USA
- 52Division of Pediatric Immunology and Rheumatology, Washington University Schoolof Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA
- 103 53Genomic Medicine, Royal Melbourne Hospital and University of Melbourne,
- 104 Melbourne, Australia
- 105 54Servicio de Medicina Interna, Unidad HHT, Hospital Sierrallana (Servicio Cántabro de
- 106 Salud), Torrelavega (Cantabria), Spain
- 107 Correspondence to: Dr. M.E. Faughnan, St. Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto,
- 108 St. Michael's Hospital, 30 Bond St, Toronto, M5B-1W8, Canada;
- 109 marie.faughnanm@unityhealth.to
- 110 Funding Sources: The Christopher McMahon Family and Cure HHT.
- 111 Financial support for MEF: Nelson Arthur Hyland Foundation, Li Ka Shing Knowledge
- 112 Institute of St Michael's Hospital.
- 113
- Role of Funding Sources: The funding sources had no role in the design, conduct or reporting of the study or in the decision to submit the results for publication. Although the funding sources were not directly involved in the generation of the recommendations, some of the participants in the guidelines process were also board members of Cure HHT, officers of Cure HHT or members of various Cure HHT committees.
- 120

121 Competing interests: VAP received an honorarium for moderating the HHT Guidelines 122 Conference, DC received an honorarium for conference participation and KLR was 123 compensated for conducting the literature search and evidence review; neither 124 participated in voting.

- Potential conflicts of interest were reported prior to the Guidelines Conference: All were classified as "no significant conflict", as per process detailed in the methods.
- 127 Contributors: All of the authors contributed to the Guidelines development and the 128 resulting manuscript.
- 129
- 130 Word Count: 14,091
- 131

132 Abbreviations:

- 133 ACVRL1 = activin A receptor like type 1
- AE = adverse events
- 135 AgNO₃ = silver nitrate
- 136 APC = argon plasma coagulation
- 137 AV = arteriovenous
- 138 AVF = arteriovenous fistula
- 139 AVM(s) = arteriovenous malformation(s)
- 140 CBC=complete blood count
- 141 CE = capsule endoscopy
- 142 CVM = capillary vascular malformation
- 143 CO₂ = carbon dioxide
- 144 CT = computed tomography
- 145 DVT = deep venous thrombosis
- 146 EGD = esophagogastroduodenoscopy
- 147 ENG = endoglin
- 148 ENT = ear nose and throat
- 149 ERCP= endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography
- 150 ESS= epistaxis severity score
- 151 GI = gastrointestinal
- 152 HHT = hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia
- 153 HHT1= hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia type 1
- 154 HHT2= hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia type 2
- 155 HOCF = high-output cardiac failure
- 156 IV = intravenous
- 157 JP-HHT = juvenile polyposis-hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia overlap
- 158 MCV = mean corpuscular volume
- 159 MELD= Model for End Stage Liver Disease
- 160 MR = magnetic resonance
- 161 MRI = magnetic resonance imaging
- 162 OLT = orthotopic liver transplant
- 163 PaO₂ = arterial partial pressure of oxygen
- 164 QOL= quality of life
- 165 RBC = red blood cell
- 166 RCT = Randomized Control Trial
- 167 SMAD4= Mothers Against Decapentaplegic homolog 4
- 168 TTCE = transthoracic contrast echocardiography
- 169 VEGF= vascular endothelial growth factor
- 170 VMs = vascular malformations
- 171 WHO = World Health Organization
- 172
- 173 Centers with recognized expertise in the diagnosis and management of HHT can be
- 174 located at https://curehht.org/, the website for Cure HHT and vascern.eu, the website for
- the European Reference Network for Rare Vascular Diseases.
- 176
- 177

178 **ABSTRACT**

Background: HHT is an autosomal dominant disease with an estimated prevalence of approximately 1 per 5,000, characterized by the presence of vascular malformations (VMs) that often result in chronic bleeding, acute hemorrhage and complications from shunting through VMs. HHT is under-diagnosed and families may be unaware of the available screening and treatment, resulting in unnecessary and severe complications such as stroke, heart failure and life-threatening hemorrhage in children and adults.

Objective: The goal of the Second International HHT Guidelines process was to develop
 evidence-based consensus guidelines for the management and prevention of HHT related symptoms and complications.

188 Methods: The guidelines were developed using the AGREE-II framework and GRADE methodology. The Guidelines expert panel included expert physicians (clinical and 189 190 genetic) in HHT from fifteen countries, guidelines methodologists, health care workers, health care administrators, patient advocacy representatives and people with HHT. 191 192 During the pre-conference process, the expert panel generated clinically relevant 193 questions in six priority topic areas: Epistaxis, Gastrointestinal Bleeding, Anemia & Iron 194 Deficiency, Liver VMs, Pediatric Care, Pregnancy & Delivery. A systematic literature 195 search was conducted, and articles meeting a priori criteria were included to generate 196 evidence tables which were used as the basis for recommendation development. The 197 expert panel subsequently convened during a guidelines conference to partake in a 198 structured consensus process, during which recommendations reaching >=80% 199 consensus were discussed and approved.

Recommendations: Six new recommendations in each of the six priority topic areas (36 recommendations in total) were generated and approved to highlight new evidence in existing topics and provide guidance in three new areas: anemia, pediatrics and pregnancy. These recommendations should facilitate implementation of key components of HHT care into clinical practice.

- 205 Word count=274
- 206 Funding Sources: The Christopher McMahon Family and Cure HHT.

Financial support for MEF: Nelson Arthur Hyland Foundation, Li Ka Shing KnowledgeInstitute of St Michael's Hospital.

209

Role of Funding Sources: The funding sources had no role in the design, conduct or reporting of the study or in the decision to submit the results for publication. Although the funding sources were not directly involved in the generation of the recommendations, some of the participants in the guidelines process were also board members of Cure HHT, officers of Cure HHT or members of various Cure HHT committees.

- 216
- 217

218 BACKGROUND

219 Hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT) is an autosomal dominant disease with an 220 estimated prevalence of approximately 1 per 5,000(1, 2). It is characterized by clinically 221 significant vascular malformations (VMs) of skin and mucous membranes of the nose 222 and gastrointestinal tract as well as the brain, lung and liver. HHT is under-diagnosed(3-223 5) and there is often a long diagnostic delay(3, 6, 7). As such, care providers and 224 families are often unaware of the available screening and treatment, resulting in serious 225 preventable complications such as stroke and life-threatening hemorrhage in children 226 and adults. The first International HHT Guidelines process in 2006 developed evidence-227 informed consensus guidelines regarding the diagnosis of HHT, the prevention of HHTrelated complications and the treatment of symptomatic disease(8). 228

229

The goal of this Second International HHT Guidelines process was to develop evidenceinformed consensus guidelines regarding the diagnosis of HHT and the prevention of HHT-related complications and treatment of symptomatic disease in areas not previously addressed by guidelines and areas where significant new literature had been published.

235 Making the diagnosis of HHT in a patient allows the appropriate screening and preventive treatment to be undertaken in the patient and their affected family members. 236 237 HHT has traditionally been diagnosed on the basis of its clinical features. The most 238 common symptom of HHT, epistaxis, has an age-related expression, as does the 239 appearance of the typical telangiectasia. The average age of onset for epistaxis is 12 years, with 90% affected by age 40 years(9-11). There are limited longitudinal natural 240 241 history studies of HHT clinical manifestations and how these vary with genotype(12). In 242 2000, consensus clinical diagnostic criteria known as the Curaçao Criteria were 243 published(13) (Table 1), and these were upheld in the first International HHT 244 Guidelines(8). Using these criteria, a diagnosis of HHT is considered 'definite' if three or 245 more Curaçao criteria are present, 'possible or suspected' if two criteria are present, 246 and 'unlikely' if 0 or 1 criterion is present.

247 Genetic testing is now also available for HHT diagnosis. Causative gene mutations for 248 HHT have been identified in these genes: Endoglin (ENG, HHT1), Activin-Receptor Like 249 kinase-1 (ACVRL1, HHT2), and Mothers Against Decapentaplegic homolog 4 (SMAD4, 250 JP-HHT). In people meeting Curaçao criteria for definite HHT, up to 97% were found to have a mutation in ENG, ACVRL1, or SMAD4(14). Additional rare genetic conditions 251 associated with HHT phenotype have also been reported, such as mutations in GDF2 252 253 (BMP9), EPHB4 and RASA1(15, 16). As such, genetic testing for HHT mutations was recommended, by the first International HHT Guidelines for asymptomatic or minimally 254 255 symptomatic people from a family with known HHT, and other select individuals, as 256 detailed in Table 2.

257

258 Several other recommendations published in the first International HHT Guidelines were 259 not re-assessed during this current process and remain currently recommended. They 260 are detailed in **Table 3**.

- 261
- 262

263

264 265 **METHODS**

266

267 The Second International HHT Guidelines process was developed using the AGREE-II 268 framework and GRADE methodology, using a systematic search strategy and literature 269 review, with incorporation of expert evidence in a structured consensus process to 270 supplement the published literature. The Guidelines Working Group included clinical 271 and genetic experts in all aspects of HHT from fifteen countries, guidelines 272 methodologists, health care workers, health care administrators, HHT clinic staff, medical trainees, patient advocacy representatives, and patients with HHT. The 273 274 Working Groups determined clinically relevant questions during the pre-conference 275 process. The literature search was conducted during May and June 2019 using the OVID MEDLINE database. The Working Group subsequently convened at the 276 Guidelines Conference in November 2019 in Toronto Canada to partake in a structured 277 278 consensus process using the evidence tables generated from the systematic searches.

279 Scope and Key Questions:

280 Priority topics for the second guidelines process were determined based on polling the 281 international community for priority areas in need of updating, based on not having been 282 previously addressed or based on presence of significant new evidence. This polling revealed that recommendations for the following topics were not prioritized for updating 283 284 at this time, and the previously established recommendations would therefore not be 285 reassessed (they are detailed in **Table 3**): Diagnosis of HHT, Brain VMs, Pulmonary AVMs. Other areas that were prioritized for updates, but also had some first 286 287 International HHT Guidelines recommendations that were not reassessed (they are 288 detailed in Table 3) are: Epistaxis, Liver VMs. One topic area from the first guidelines 289 was prioritized and fully updated in the new guidelines: GI Bleeding. New topics that 290 had not been previously addressed included: Anemia, Pediatrics, and Pregnancy. Once the six topic areas (Epistaxis, GI Bleeding, Liver VMs, Anemia, Pediatric HHT and 291 292 Pregnancy) were defined, the expert panel was selected and topic groups, each with a 293 topic leader, were established. The topic groups then developed a list of key questions, to guide evidence search and retrieval. 294

295

296 Identification of Evidence: Six sets of search strategies were developed and executed between May and June 2019 in Ovid MEDLINE by a medical librarian (KLR) with input 297 298 from the Chair. Combinations of topic-specific controlled subject headings (MeSH 299 terms) and relevant keywords were used to identify English language studies 300 addressing the key questions developed by each of the topic groups. Two reviewers 301 (MEF and KLR) reviewed the titles and abstracts of each of the 1.576 initial results, and 302 independently applied the pre-established inclusion criteria. Of these, 449 records 303 indicated by either reviewer as potentially meeting the inclusion criteria or requiring 304 additional review were retrieved in full text for further consideration. Both reviewers independently considered the full text of these papers, and where both reviewers 305 306 agreed, the study was included and progressed to the data extraction stage. Any disagreements were reviewed and resolved by electronic communication until 307

concordance was fully satisfied. A total of 221 studies met the inclusion criteria and
 were included in evidence tables. Number of results reviewed at each stage are
 illustrated in Figure 1. Additional papers identified by working group members after the
 search was conducted were also reviewed and considered for inclusion. Full search
 strategies and description of inclusion criteria are available in Appendix 1.

- 313
- 314 Data Extraction and Appraisal:

Key data from the included studies was systematically extracted and summarized into evidence tables. Due to the lack of randomized trials for most of the key questions in the area, two categories of studies were included: randomized control trials, which could be considered 'high quality' evidence if of sufficient size and quality, and other studies without blinding or randomization, considered to be 'low quality' evidence according to GRADE(17). The quality of the included RCTs was assessed (**Appendix 4**) using the structured framework of the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool(18).

322 Generation of Draft Recommendations: The guidelines chairs and methodologists oriented the full panel on GRADE methodology, during teleconference sessions. The six 323 324 topic groups met in the months preceding the conference by teleconference and/or email conversations to generate draft recommendations based on the key questions 325 326 and evidence tables. These recommendations were reviewed and edited with the panel lead (MEF) and methodologist (VP) to be consistent with GRADE formatting for levels of 327 evidence and strength of recommendation. Draft recommendations were distributed to 328 329 all panel members 2 weeks before the consensus meeting, for full review.

- 330
- 331 <u>Conflict of Interest Disclosures and Management:</u>

Prior to the conference, all conference attendees filled out a conflict of interest 332 333 disclosure. All disclosed potential conflicts were reviewed by the chair and, if necessary, 334 discussed in further detail with the attendee to determine if disclosed relationship had any potential influence on a recommendation. The disclosed conflicts were then 335 classified as: "no significant conflict", "disclose in manuscript, but not likely to affect 336 recommendation", "could potentially affect recommendation and attendee should vote 337 "abstain based on conflict of interest". Upon review, it was determined that all attendees 338 339 had no significant conflict.

340

341 <u>Consensus Conference:</u>

342 At the beginning of the conference, recommendation development methods were 343 reviewed and discussed with the attendees(panel). For each topic area, topic groups 344 met and refined draft recommendations. For each topic group, the topic leader 345 presented the draft recommendation and quality of evidence to the entire panel, with supporting details for clinical considerations, after which time was allowed for 346 347 discussion. The panel then voted anonymously on the wording of the recommendation 348 and guality of evidence, using a standard format for wording and the evidence levels 349 HIGH-MODERATE-LOW-VERY LOW (consensus). The topic leader then presented the draft strength of recommendation with justification by GRADE methodology (quality of 350 351 evidence, balance of benefits and harms, values and preferences, cost - not considered explicitly but discussed as relevant). The panel then voted on the strength of 352

353 recommendation. Consensus of 80% had to be achieved to allow the recommendation 354 to be included in the guideline. If the initial vote was less than 80% consensus, the recommendation was deferred to the second day of the conference for further 355 356 discussion and revision. Subsequent voting had also to achieve 80% consensus for the recommendation to be included. In the event that the panel did not achieve 80% 357 358 consensus for strength of recommendation, the alternate strength was voted upon 359 (STRONG/WEAK). If consensus was still not achieved, discussion continued to clarify 360 the panel's views on which factors (quality of evidence, balance of benefits and harms, 361 values and preferences, cost) were driving dissent. In this way, the panel made every 362 effort to make explicit non-evidentiary factors influencing recommendation strength. After all recommendations were discussed and voted upon, the chair reviewed next 363 364 steps, surveyed the panel regarding future research and guidelines priorities (Appendix 365 2) and the conference was adjourned.

366

367 External Review Process:

External experts and organizations relevant to the care of HHT were asked to comment on the recommendations generated during the conference. Comments were collated and addressed. Details regarding reviewers, their feedback and how it was addressed are available in **Appendix 3**.

372

373 Patient Involvement:

Patient representatives (patients with HHT and caregivers as well as Cure HHT and other patient advocacy organizations) were included at every step of the development process. Patient values were incorporated into the recommendations, during discussion and voting. Patients voted anonymously on recommendations and participated as manuscript authors.

379

380 Role of Funding Sources:

The funding sources, namely the Christopher McMahon Family and Cure HHT, the Nelson Arthur Hyland Foundation, and the Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute of St Michael's Hospital, had no role in the design, conduct or reporting of the study or in the decision to submit the results for publication. Although the funding sources were not directly involved in the generation of the recommendations, some of the participants in the guidelines process were also board members of Cure HHT, officers of Cure HHT or members of various Cure HHT committees.

- 388
- 389
- 390
- 391 392
- 393

394

- 395
- 396

397

398 399 400

401 Epistaxis Management

402 Background:

Epistaxis is the most common symptom of HHT, developing in 90% of adults with the 403 404 disease, affecting quality of life and often leading to iron deficiency and anemia. Typically, turbulent nasal airflow with breathing leads to mucosal dryness and bleeding 405 from telangiectases of the nasal mucosa. As such, replacing lost moisture to help 406 407 prevent the telangiectases from cracking and bleeding is a mainstay of epistaxis care. In 408 a randomized clinical trial comparing topical therapies to saline as placebo, saline was 409 found to significantly reduce the epistaxis severity score (ESS) at both 12 and 24 weeks 410 after therapy(19).

411

412 In many patients, additional therapies are often considered, when symptoms are 413 persistent or severe, despite moisturization. Tranexamic acid is an oral antifibrinolytic 414 agent that can stabilize clots by preventing premature clot lysis and has been shown to 415 decrease intraoperative bleeding in other conditions. Two RCTs (Table 4) of oral 416 tranexamic acid demonstrated a significant decrease in epistaxis severity(20, 21) with 417 minimal adverse events. Neither study showed a significant improvement in hemoglobin 418 but baseline levels were normal or nearly normal in both studies so the opportunity for 419 improvement may have been small. Three studies in HHT have not found an increased 420 risk of thrombosis with tranexamic acid(20-22), though there remains concern that this 421 agent should be avoided in patients at high risk for thrombosis (e.g. patients with a 422 history of arterial thrombosis or unprovoked venous thrombosis), in patients with atrial 423 fibrillation and patients with thrombophilia or elevated factor VIII.

424

425 Various ablative therapies have been studied in controlled and uncontrolled case series 426 (Table 5). Lasers, including the Argon, potassium-titanyl-phosphate (KTP), and Nd-YAG 427 lasers(23). Outcomes are variable, with at best temporary and partial improvement in 428 epistaxis. However, side effects of laser treatments overall are relatively minor. Access 429 can be limited by required laser safety precautions, local availability of specific lasers 430 and costs. Sclerotherapy with foamed sodium tetradecyl sulfate to the nasal cavity can 431 be performed in the outpatient setting under local anesthesia. Three studies using 432 foamed sodium tetradecyl sulfate, including one RCT, all from the same investigators, 433 concluded that sclerotherapy was effective and safe(24-26). The investigators found 434 that bleeding was substantially better controlled after sclerotherapy than standard 435 therapy with minimal adverse effects. Though rare, potential side effects include septal perforation, transient dizziness, blurred vision and permanent blindness(26). The 436 437 literature regarding radiofrequency and electrosurgery treatment for nasal telangiectatic 438 lesions is scarce; there are only a few studies showing efficacy of treatment. Bipolar 439 electrosurgery, is preferred over monopolar electrosurgery, given its lower risk for collateral damage, specifically septal perforation. Radiofrequency cauterizes the 440 441 telangiectasias at a lower temperature than electrocautery and reduces the risk for 442 collateral damage(27). Overall, there is evidence that ablative therapies can provide 443 temporary and partial improvement in epistaxis, and that side effects are mostly minor. 444

445 Severe epistaxis can be life threatening and devastating to QOL of HHT patients, and 446 symptoms are often not adequately controlled with moisturization and ablative 447 therapies. As such, systemic therapies and more invasive surgical management is often 448 considered. Low level of evidence studies of antiangiogenic therapies are detailed in Table 5. Bevacizumab is a humanized recombinant monoclonal antibody that inhibits 449 450 vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and has been shown to be effective in 451 several diseases characterized by increased angiogenesis. From 2006 through 2019 452 there have been 3 prospective(28-30) and 5 retrospective studies(31-35) that evaluated 453 the use of intravenous bevacizumab in HHT in 5 or more patients with HHT-related 454 bleeding (152 total patients, most with epistaxis). Objective improvements were noted in the majority of studies that reported on epistaxis severity, hemoglobin level, RBC 455 456 transfusion, and/or quality of life (QOL). The most commonly reported adverse events 457 (AE) include hypertension(31) and arthralgia(36). Some studies have noted problems 458 with wound healing, sometimes serious(29, 35). Overall, the evidence supports the 459 effectiveness of IV bevacizumab in reducing epistaxis severity and RBC need, and 460 improving anemia. However, in the absence of RCT, the magnitude of benefit and longterm safety are unclear. Of note, RCTs of topical (nasal) bevacizumab(19, 37) and 461 intranasal bevacizumab injections(38), have not shown any significant benefit (Table 4). 462 463

464 Thalidomide and several of its analogs have been shown to downregulate VEGF levels in HHT patients(39) and improve blood vessel wall integrity(40). From 2007 through 465 466 2019 there have been 4 prospective(39-42) and 2 retrospective studies(43, 44) that 467 evaluated the use of oral thalidomide in 5 or more patients with HHT-related epistaxis (67 total patients), detailed in Table 5. Objective improvements were noted in all but 468 469 one study that reported on epistaxis severity, hemoglobin level, RBC transfusion, and/or 470 QOL. Neuropathy is one of the most commonly reported side effects, often leading to 471 discontinuation of the drug(36, 42, 43), and known teratogenicity precludes its use in women with child-bearing potential. Overall, low level evidence supports effectiveness 472 473 of oral thalidomide in decreasing epistaxis severity and RBC need, and in improving 474 anemia. However, AEs are substantial and often a limiting factor with neuropathy persisting even after discontinuation of the drug in two thirds of patients (36, 43). 475

476

477 Several other antiangiogenic agents are under investigation in the treatment of HHT 478 related epistaxis. Pazopanib is a multikinase inhibitor that showed signs of efficacy in 479 one small series(45). Pomalidomide is a thalidomide analog that appears to have a 480 lesser incidence of neuropathy and is under study in a large RCT in HHT related 481 bleeding. Doxycycline is an oral metalloproteinase inhibitor that may have downstream 482 antiangiogenic effects and is under study in two small RCTs at present. The role of 483 these agents in HHT related epistaxis will await additional studies.

484

Invasive surgical procedures are also often considered when epistaxis is not adequately controlled with moisturization and ablative therapies. Low level of evidence studies of invasive surgical procedures, including septodermoplasty and nasal closure, are detailed in **Table 5**. The expert panel considered invasive surgical procedures as an equal option to the systemic therapies, and that this decision requires extensive consultation with the patient. In addition, comorbid disease, such as atrial fibrillation, 491 can limit the use of prothrombotic drugs and require even aggressive anticoagulation or 492 antiplatelet therapy instead. In these cases the invasive surgical measures(46-51) may be more appropriate as they could allow use of indicated anticoagulation or antiplatelet 493 494 treatment. Several studies have evaluated septodermoplasty with the largest study(46) in which eighty-six percent of followed patients reported improved QOL, after mean 495 496 follow-up of 3.75 years. Complications included worsening sinus infections (30%), 497 decreased sense of smell, (58%) and frequent minor side effects, such as crusting and 498 nasal airflow obstruction. Richer and colleagues(48) reported a series of 43 patients 499 undergoing nasal closure, 83% reporting complete cessation of bleeding and no 500 patients requesting reversal of the procedure. The largest study(50) includes 100 patients that underwent nasal closure with 50 of them having pre and post procedure 501 502 data; ninety-four percent reported complete cessation of the bleeding. A number of 503 surgical variations have been described for both nasal closure and septodermoplasty, 504 though these have not been compared, and therefore clinical decision making should 505 involve a rhinologic surgeon with expertise in these techniques.

506

507 **Recommendations:**

508 **ER1:** The expert panel recommends that patients with HHT-related epistaxis use 509 moisturizing topical therapies that humidify the nasal mucosa to reduce epistaxis. 510 **Quality of Evidence: Moderate (Agreement 98%)**

510 Quality of Evidence: Moderate (Agreement 98%)

- 511 Strength of Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 100%)
- 512 <u>Clinical Considerations:</u> Saline nasal spray or saline gels are typically used twice daily 513 for moisturization.
- 514
- 515 **ER2:** The expert panel recommends that clinicians consider the use of oral tranexamic 516 acid for the management of epistaxis that does not respond to moisturizing topical
- 517 therapies. Quality of Evidence: High (Agreement 92%)
- 518 Strength of Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 94%)
- 519 <u>Clinical Considerations:</u> Typically, tranexamic acid is started at a low dose and 520 increased progressively at 3-4 times per day, to a total dose of approximately 4000-521 4500mg per day. Oral antifibrinolytics can be administered concurrently with systemic 522 anti-angiogenic therapy, where indicated. Though low risk, oral antifibrinolytics should 523 be withheld in patients with a recent history of DVT or arterial thrombosis and some 524 experts recommend against their use in patients with atrial fibrillation or in patients with 525 thrombophilia or procoagulant tendencies (e.g. elevated factor VIII).
- 526
- 527 **ER3:** The expert panel recommends that clinicians should consider ablative therapies 528 for nasal telangiectasias including laser treatment, radiofrequency, electrosurgery, and 529 sclerotherapy in patients that have failed to respond to moisturizing topical therapies.
- 530 Quality of Evidence: Moderate (Agreement 83%)

531 Strength of Recommendation: Weak (Agreement 94%)

532 <u>Clinical Considerations:</u> Clinicians should consider destruction of telangiectasias as a 533 temporizing method to decrease the frequency and severity of epistaxis. The specific 534 method used to destroy the lesions is dependent upon the surgeon's preference and 535 skillset. Great care must be taken to avoid perforation of the nasal septum, a known 536 complication of all techniques. It is crucial that the clinician performing the therapy be

- 537 appropriately trained and that patients are involved in the decision-making after being 538 fully informed of the risks and expected benefits of the procedure.
- 539

540 541 **ER4:** The expert panel recommends that clinicians consider the use of systemic 542 antiangiogenic agents for the management of epistaxis that has failed to respond to 543 moisturizing topical therapies, ablative therapies and/or tranexamic acid. **Quality of** 544 **Evidence: Mederate (Agreement 92%)**

544 Evidence: Moderate (Agreement 92%)

545 Strength of Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 82%)

Clinical Considerations: Epistaxis can be devastating for the quality of life of HHT 546 patients and in some, can be life threatening. Anti-angiogenic therapy may be especially 547 beneficial in reducing epistaxis and may even be life-saving in some patients. 548 549 Intravenous bevacizumab has a favorable risk-benefit ratio in the short term, though 550 long-term data is lacking. The standard initial dosing regimen for bevacizumab is 5 mg/kg intravenous (IV) every 2 weeks for 4-6 doses. Maintenance dosing of 551 bevacizumab is being used routinely in HHT patients (31, 33)although dosing intervals 552 vary amongst centers from every 1-3 months, and the long-term safety has not been 553 studied. Patients on bevacizumab should be routinely monitored for hypertension and 554 other potential complications. Oral thalidomide can also be considered, though side 555 effects often limit long term use. Risks, and benefits of anti-angiogenic medications 556 557 should be considered, as well as alternatives, such as septodermoplasty and nasal 558 closure, in these patients. Shared decision making with patients is crucial.

559

560 **ER5:** The expert panel recommends that clinicians consider a septodermoplasty for 561 patients whose epistaxis has failed to respond sufficiently to moisturizing topical 562 therapies, ablative therapies, and/or tranexamic acid. **Quality of Evidence: Low** 563 **(Agreement 92%)**

564 Strength of Recommendation: Weak (Agreement 88%)

565 <u>Clinical Considerations:</u> Epistaxis can be devastating for the QOL of HHT patients and 566 in some, can be life threatening. More invasive surgical measures can be especially 567 beneficial in reducing epistaxis and may even be life-saving in some patients. Risks and 568 benefits of septodermoplasty should be considered, as well as alternatives to 569 septodermoplasty, including nasal closure and anti-angiogenic medications. Shared 570 decision making with patients is crucial.

571

572 **ER6:** The expert panel recommends that clinicians consider a nasal closure for patients 573 whose epistaxis has failed to respond sufficiently to moisturizing topical therapies, 574 ablative therapies, and/or tranexamic acid. **Quality of Evidence: Moderate** 575 **(Agreement 86%)**

576 Strength of Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 82%)

577 <u>Clinical Considerations:</u> Epistaxis can be devastating for the QOL of HHT patients and 578 in some, can be life threatening. More invasive surgical measures can be especially 579 beneficial in reducing epistaxis and may even be life-saving in some patients. Risks and 580 benefits of nasal closure should be considered, as well as alternatives such as 581 septodermoplasty and anti-angiogenic medications. Shared decision making with 582 patients is crucial.

583

- 584
- 585
- 586

587 Gastrointestinal Bleeding Management

588 Background:

589 HHT-related GI bleeding develops in approximately 30% of HHT patients, typically 590 manifesting in the 5th-6th decades(52-57). Though most symptomatic patients have GI 591 telangiectases in the stomach (46-75%) and the small bowel (56-91%), up to 30% also 592 have telangiectases in the colon(53-55, 58, 59). The prevalence of GI telangiectases 593 and HHT-related GI bleeding increases with age, varying by the population studied 594 (unselected HHT vs. those with suspected GI bleeding(53-55, 58, 59)), and by 595 genotype(12).

596

597 The cardinal manifestation of GI tract involvement is anemia from occult GI bleeding. 598 Clinically overt bleeding (melena, hematemesis) is less common. Anemia occurs in 599 approximately half of HHT patients(58, 60, 61), with epistaxis often a significant 600 contributor, and this anemia is severe in up to 25% of patients(60). Severe anemia has 601 a considerable effect on QOL(57, 62-64) and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. 602 Bleeding related complications are also the most common cause for hospitalization amongst HHT patients(65). Given the clinical impact of anemia, and the otherwise 603 604 occult nature of the GI bleeding, the clinical assessment of the severity of HHT-related 605 GI bleeding is based primarily on anemia severity and hematologic support required to maintain the target hemoglobin. Though some patients are clinically identified as having 606 607 a "heavy burden" of GI telangiectases, to date endoscopic findings (number, size, 608 distribution of telangiectases) have not correlated well with severity of anemia. Future 609 studies are needed to determine if an endoscopic classification could replace or 610 complement a classification scheme based on anemia severity. A severity classification 611 is needed for HHT-related GI bleeding, as new systemic therapies reach clinical trials 612 and clinical care.

613

Esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) remains the diagnostic gold standard for upper GI telangiectases. Capsule endoscopy (CE) has an excellent safety profile but lacks the capability of assessing the stomach(54, 66). Limited data are available comparing CE to EGD in the setting of HHT(53, 55, 58) (**Table 6A**), but suggest the diagnostic yield for the small bowel is similar to EGD. As such, the role of CE remains complementary to EGD when anemia remains unexplained by the severity of epistaxis and gastric involvement, or when the EGD is negative.

621

622 Though Argon Plasma Coagulation (APC) is the first line of therapy for acutely bleeding 623 GI vascular lesions(67, 68) for in non-HHT patients, there are insufficient data 624 supporting its systematic and repeated use in HHT. The rate of recurring lesions is high 625 in non-HHT lesions, but has not been studied in HHT. Complications of repeated 626 treatments have not been assessed, and there is considerable variability in expertise 627 among endoscopists(67). Coagulation of bleeding lesions with APC at diagnostic endoscopy is appropriate but repeated sessions should be limited to severe patients 628 629 who continue to bleed despite systemic therapy. Small series have reported reduction in RBC transfusion requirement and improvement of hemoglobin after planned (capsule endoscopy driven) eradication of telangiectases with APC during double balloon enteroscopy(54, 69). Clinical trials are needed to explore the efficacy of other endoscopic therapeutics, such as Hemoclips, band ligation, Hybrid APC, etc., which may be particularly relevant for larger lesions that are felt to be at higher risk for severe bleeding.

- 637 There are small caser series and case of the use of systemic therapies for HHT-related 638 GI bleeding. Early studies and experience suggested benefit with hormonal therapy(70-639 though more recent studies suggest a better benefit-risk ratio for 72), antifibrinolytics(22) and anti-angiogenic therapies including bevacizumab(31, 33, 35, 640 641 45) and thalidomide (36, 73), with the 4 studies meeting evidence criteria reported in 642 **Table 6B.** For mild to moderate GI bleeding, tranexamic acid may prove useful although 643 its effect is probably weak, with studies showing improved nasal bleeding, but no significant improvement in anemia(22). For moderate to severe patients, who are 644 645 transfusion or IV iron dependent, the use of IV bevacizumab (see also Epistaxis section for additional background details) has shown significant reduction of transfusion 646 requirements in several uncontrolled case series, with a good safety profile(31, 33, 35). 647 648 Recurrence of GI bleeding after initial response to IV bevacizumab "induction" therapy is 649 common and there is experience with maintenance dosing; the potential long-term 650 benefits as well as the optimal treatment regimen remain to be defined. Other anti-651 angiogenic drugs (pazopanib, pomalidomide, doxycycline), and specific estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs, such as tamoxifen, raloxifene, or bazedoxifene) may be 652 useful agents(74-76) however evidence in HHT-related GI bleeding remains limited to 653 654 small numbers of cases.
- 655

636

Approximately 3% of HHT patients have *SMAD4* mutation and overlap syndrome with juvenile polyposis syndrome(77). These patients are at high risk of colorectal cancer(78-80) and should be screened aggressively starting from age 15 years. HHT patients without Juvenile Polyposis have colorectal cancer risks similar to the general population and should be screened accordingly. Patients with *SMAD4* mutation are also at risk for aortopathy and hyperlaxity and require appropriate screening(81).

662

663 **Recommendations**:

664 **GR1:** The expert panel recommends esophagogastroduodenoscopy as the first line 665 diagnostic test for suspected HHT-related bleeding. Patients who meet colorectal 666 cancer screening criteria and patients with SMAD4-HHT (genetically proven or 667 suspected) should also undergo colonoscopy. **Quality of Evidence: Low (Agreement** 668 **82%)**

669 Strength of Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 94%)

670 <u>Clinical considerations:</u> HHT-related bleeding is often suspected when anemia is 671 disproportionate to epistaxis severity. Investigation should begin with an EGD, the 672 diagnostic gold standard. In suspected or proven SMAD4-HHT, screening colonoscopy 673 is recommended, starting at age 15 years, repeated every three years if no polyps are 674 found OR every year along with EGD if colonic polyp(s) are found. Other HHT patients 675 (non-SMAD4) should be screened as per guidelines for the general population, with colonoscopy, or by a fecal immunochemical test (FIT-testing), though the latter may
have false positive results. In view of potential unusual complications during endoscopy
(such as massive epistaxis), consideration should be given to performing endoscopies
in experienced centers. In addition, clinicians should be aware of precautions required
during endoscopy for HHT patients with pulmonary AVMs, as detailed in **Table 3**.

681

682 **GR2:** The expert panel recommends considering capsule endoscopy for suspected 683 HHT-related bleeding, when esophagogastroduodenoscopy does not reveal significant 684 HHT-related telangiectasia. **Quality of Evidence: Low (Agreement 92%)**

685 Strength of Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 88%)

- 686 <u>Clinical considerations:</u> Despite recent progress, CE remains a costly, non-reusable 687 technology with limited availability in many centers. It has also been demonstrated to 688 inadequately evaluate the stomach, and hence can miss up to 50% of significant gastric 689 lesions. For these particular reasons, the use of CE should be reserved for 690 complementary testing after EGD.
- 691

692 **GR3:** The expert panel recommends that clinicians grade the severity of HHT-related GI bleeding and proposes the following framework:

- Mild HHT-related GI bleeding: Patient who meets their hemoglobin goals* with oral iron replacement.
- Moderate HHT-related GI bleeding: Patient who meets their hemoglobin goals with
 IV iron treatment.
- Severe HHT-related GI bleeding: Patient who does not meet their hemoglobin goals
 despite adequate iron replacement or requires blood transfusions.
- * Hemoglobin goals should reflect age, gender, symptoms and comorbidities.

701 Quality of Evidence: Low (expert consensus) (Agreement 96%)

702 Strength of Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 96%)

703 <u>Clinical considerations:</u> Since no clear correlation exists between number, size, 704 appearance, distribution of GI telangiectasia and the severity of HHT-related GI 705 bleeding, the expert panel proposes the above classification, based on the severity of 706 anemia, for grading patients with HHT-related GI bleeding, for future development.

707 Hemoglobin goals, rather than hemoglobin levels, have been specified, to reflect the 708 patients' individual physiological needs. This classification is not proposed for the classification of the acutely anemic during the initial diagnostic phase, but rather for 709 HHT patients who have had a significant period of iron therapy after diagnosis of HHT-710 related GI bleeding (three or more months). Need for regular, scheduled IV iron 711 712 infusions define patients in the moderate (or severe) GI bleeding category. Thus, an isolated dose of IV iron in an otherwise "mild" patient would not gualify as moderate GI 713 714 bleeding.

715

716 **GR4:** The expert panel recommends that endoscopic Argon Plasma Coagulation be

only used sparingly during endoscopy. Quality of Evidence: Low (expert consensus)
 (Agreement 88%)

718 (Agreement 88%)

719 Strength of Recommendation: Weak (Agreement 81%)

Clinical considerations: Given the multiplicity and the diffuse distribution of lesions in 720 721 HHT-related GI bleeding, the expert panel recommends that the use of APC should be 722 limited, generally to the initial endoscopic evaluation, to address spontaneously 723 bleeding lesions and a limited number (10 or less) of significant (1-3 mm) non-bleeding lesions. Repeated sessions of APC are discouraged to avoid repeated iatrogenic injury 724 725 to the intestinal mucosa, with possible short- and long-term complications. However, 726 APC, including via double balloon enteroscopy, can be considered as an adjunct to 727 systemic therapies for severe HHT-related GI bleeding, in the partial or non-responder.

728

729

GR5: The expert panel recommends that clinicians consider treatment of mild HHT related GI bleeding with oral antifibrinolytics. Quality of Evidence: Low (Agreement
 94%)

733 Strength of Recommendation: Weak (Agreement 90%)

Clinical considerations: Though the evidence for effectiveness of oral tranexamic acid is weak, it may lead to a reduced need for endoscopic interventions in HHT-related GI bleeding and appears to be a low risk intervention. Typically, the drug is started at a low dose and increased progressively at 3-4 times per day, to a total dose of approximately 4000-4500mg per day. Oral antifibrinolytics can be administered concurrently with systemic anti-angiogenic therapy, where indicated. Though low risk, tranexamic acid should be withheld in patients with a recent history of DVT or arterial thrombosis.

741 742

GR6: The expert panel recommends that clinicians consider treatment of moderate to severe HHT-related GI bleeding with intravenous bevacizumab or other systemic antiangiogenic therapy. **Quality of Evidence: Moderate (Agreement 94%)**

746 Strength of recommendation: Strong (Agreement 98%)

<u>Clinical considerations:</u> The standard initial dosing regimen for bevacizumab is 5 mg/kg
 intravenous (IV) every 2 weeks for 4-6 doses. Maintenance dosing of bevacizumab is
 being used routinely in HHT patients(82) although dosing intervals vary amongst
 centers from every 1-3 months, and the long-term safety has not been studied. Patients
 on bevacizumab should be routinely monitored for hypertension and other potential
 complications.

- 753
- 754
- 755

756 Anemia and Anticoagulation

757 Background:

758 Iron Deficiency Anemia

Anemia is a common complication in people with HHT, with an estimated prevalence of around 50%(61, 83). Anemia is typically diagnosed in adulthood and rarely in children with HHT(84). The primary etiology of anemia is iron deficiency secondary to chronic mucocutaneous bleeding (epistaxis and/or GI bleeding from telangiectases). The average age of onset of epistaxis is 12 years and epistaxis tends to worsen with age(9, 61). GI bleeding is less common than epistaxis, occurring in approximately 30% of older adults(56), and is not typically encountered in the pediatric population.

766

767 Manifestations of anemia depend on its severity and can range from fatigue to 768 exertional dyspnea and palpitations. Anemia results in high cardiac output and therefore 769 exacerbates HHT-associated high cardiac output states most commonly encountered 770 with significant liver VMs. Clinical features specific to iron deficiency anemia include a 771 craving to eat certain substances, referred to as pica (typically ice but can include starches, clay, etc.)(85), and findings of angular cheilitis and koilonychia on physical 772 examination(86). Iron deficiency can result in symptoms even in the absence of anemia, 773 774 such as exercise limitation, fatigue, restless leg syndrome, hair loss, myalgias and 775 decreased attention span(87-89). Correction of the iron deficiency leads to resolution of 776 these symptoms.

777

Screening for anemia typically involves the following laboratory tests: complete blood count (CBC), iron panel (serum iron, total iron binding capacity, transferrin saturation), and ferritin. A CBC alone could miss underlying iron deficiency without anemia. A low ferritin level is very sensitive and specific for iron deficiency(90, 91). However, as ferritin is an acute phase reactant, it can be normal or slightly elevated in patients with iron deficiency who have a coexisting inflammatory process(86). An iron panel will often help in discerning whether there is underlying iron deficiency in such cases.

785

While a healthy and balanced diet (per WHO guidelines) is likely to provide the required 786 787 daily allowance of iron, this will often be inadequate to replete total body iron stores in people with HHT who experience chronic bleeding and have developed iron deficiency 788 789 either with or without anemia. The initial approach to treatment of iron deficiency in the 790 HHT patients should be with oral iron replacement (with important and common 791 exceptions discussed below). Oral iron preparations come in varying strengths, which 792 are commercially listed in two ways: the total iron content and the amount of elemental 793 iron. Of these, the elemental iron content is the measure of 'absorbable iron' and we therefore use elemental iron content in these guidelines. Published guidelines for 794 795 treatment of iron deficiency anemia typically recommend oral replacement of 100-200 796 mg of elemental iron in three divided daily doses(92-94). Recent developments in the understanding of iron biology have suggested that lower doses of elemental iron 797 798 replacement may be more effective. Moretti et al.(95) demonstrated that the levels of 799 hepcidin increase acutely following intake of oral iron. This occurs with both higher 800 amounts of elemental iron per dose as well as multiple daily doses of oral iron, and 801 results in a decreased fractional absorption of iron from the GI tract(95). The optimal dose of daily elemental iron was identified to be 40-80 mg per dose, with either once daily dosing or every-other-day dosing(96).

804

805 The most common cause for poor adherence to oral iron replacement is GI intolerance (constipation, nausea, epigastric pain, diarrhea). This occurs more frequently with non-806 807 heme based oral iron preparations compared to heme-sourced iron, and is primarily 808 related to the amount of elemental iron per dose(92, 97). If oral iron replacement is 809 associated with constipation, the use of a daily stool softener or other such bowel 810 regimen should be considered to help with adherence. Various factors can affect 811 absorption of iron from the GI tract. Oral iron is best absorbed from an empty stomach in an acidic environment(98) so is frequently co-administered with Vitamin C. Oral iron 812 813 can be taken with food if needed, such as in people with GI intolerance, however foods 814 that can interfere with or inhibit iron absorption should be avoided, as well as tea, coffee 815 and milk(99). Many medications and supplements can affect iron absorption, such as 816 aluminum containing phosphate binders, antacids, H2-receptor antagonists, proton-817 pump inhibitors, calcium supplements, and cholestyramine; these should therefore not be taken at the same time as oral iron. 818

819

820 Intravenous iron replacement should be considered in people with HHT who do not tolerate oral iron despite dosing and interval adjustments, in people in whom oral iron is 821 ineffective in adequately treating iron deficiency anemia, and in people who do not 822 823 absorb oral iron due to comorbid conditions (e.g. inflammatory bowel disease, people 824 gastric bypass surgery, etc.). Intravenous iron can be considered over oral iron supplementation in the first line setting in patients who present with severe, 825 826 symptomatic iron deficiency anemia, and where blood transfusion is considered inappropriate, because of the immediate availability of considerable amounts of iron for 827 erythropoiesis with this approach compared to oral iron, particularly in the setting of 828 coexisting chronic bleeding. In patients who have failed a brief trial of oral iron or in 829 830 whom it is not expected to be effective, immediate initiation of intravenous iron is 831 reasonable.

832

833 Intravenous iron is generally well tolerated. Common side effects include nausea/vomiting/cramping, arthralgias, flushing, back pain, low blood pressure, 834 835 headache, fever, and dark urine. These are dose related and typically short lived when 836 they occur. Allergic/hypersensitivity reactions are rare and include bronchospasm, rash, 837 itching, low blood pressure, and anaphylaxis. Transient but significant worsening of epistaxis following iron infusion has been reported(100, 101). Adverse effects can be 838 839 minimized by slowing the rate of intravenous iron infusion. Premedication with a single dose of antihistamines and/or steroids can be helpful in patients with a history of or 840 concern for adverse effects like myalgias after intravenous iron infusions(102). 841 Intravenous iron should be avoided in the acute phase of infectious disease given 842 843 concern over potentiating severity of infections.

844

B45 Dosing of intravenous iron is dependent on the severity of iron deficiency and the
by preparation of intravenous iron used. Not all intravenous iron preparations are available
by in every country and considerations such as distance from the clinic, availability, history

of allergic reactions, cost and patient preference should factor into the decision
regarding choice of intravenous iron preparation. Unless chronic bleeding is
successfully halted through systemic therapies and/or procedural interventions,
repeated administrations of intravenous iron every few months is expected to prevent
recurrence of iron deficiency.

853

854 Transfusion of packed red blood cells (RBCs) is also required in some people with HHT, 855 typically when the hemoglobin needs to be urgently raised(65), or when aggressive iron 856 supplementation is not sufficient to compensate for rapid blood loss. The hemoglobin 857 value below which transfusion of RBCs is typically recommended in the general population is 7 g/dL. This transfusion threshold is applicable to some people with HHT 858 859 as well. In addition to acute, large volume blood loss, chronic recurrent bleeding can 860 result in severe anemia requiring RBC transfusions. When HHT patients have 861 comorbidities, such as severe cardiac disease or hypoxemia from pulmonary AVM-862 associated shunting, they may require maintenance of higher baseline hemoglobin 863 levels to maintain their arterial oxygen content. A higher hemoglobin threshold (such as 8-9 g/dL) may also be considered in HHT patients with poorly controlled chronic and 864 recurrent bleeding, or when there is a need to acutely increase hemoglobin levels to 865 866 prevent complications related to decreased oxygen delivery, such as during pregnancy 867 or prior to surgical procedures.

868

869 It is important to consider alternate causes of anemia in people with HHT, when appropriate. In situations where anemia is normocytic or macrocytic (normal or high 870 MCV), rather than the typical microcytic MCV seen in iron-deficiency, evaluation for an 871 872 alternate etiology for anemia should be pursued. People with HHT can develop a folate 873 deficiency as a result of chronically increased erythropoiesis due to chronic bleeding, or hemolysis(103). Finally, unrelated primary bone marrow processes, such as 874 myelodysplasia, should also be considered in the evaluation of anemia that persists 875 despite correction of iron deficiency, particularly in older patients. 876

877

878 Anticoagulation and Antiplatelet Therapy in HHT

Though HHT typically results in mucocutaneous bleeding and is recognized as a rare 879 bleeding disorder by the Center for Disease Control, it is important to recognize that 880 881 HHT does not protect against the development of thrombosis. On the contrary, people 882 with HHT may be at increased risk for thrombotic complications, with one large series reporting a prevalence of thrombotic events at 6%, higher than that for the age matched 883 general population(104, 105). Further, the risk for thrombosis was found to be 884 885 independent of comorbidities and therapeutic approaches to mitigate bleeding, but interestingly correlated with presence of iron deficiency and elevated levels of 886 circulating coagulation factor VIII(104). In addition, an increased risk for thrombotic 887 stroke has also been observed by the same group(106). Given these considerations, 888 889 people with HHT should receive appropriate pharmacological thromboprophylaxis 890 during periods of increased risk as any other patient would (e.g. prolonged immobility, 891 following major surgery or orthopedic surgery, etc.). This may prevent need for subsequent therapeutic anticoagulation, which would be associated with a higher risk 892 893 for bleeding complications. Also, therapeutic anticoagulation and/or antiplatelet therapy

894 should also not be automatically withheld in all people with HHT given concern over 895 potential increase in bleeding risk. Both anticoagulation and use of antiplatelet therapy can be well tolerated by the majority of HHT patients(107, 108). However, the decision 896 897 to pursue these therapies will need to be considered on an individual basis, taking into account the personal severity of bleeding and anemia, patient acceptance of possible 898 899 worsening of bleeds, and other comorbidities. While anticoagulation or antiplatelet 900 therapy in isolation is encouraged when indicated, the bleeding risk with combining 901 anticoagulation and antiplatelet therapy or with dual antiplatelet therapy in people with 902 HHT is considered to be significant. Therefore, these combinations should be avoided if 903 possible.

904 905

909

906 **Recommendations:**

907 **AR1:** The expert panel recommends that the following HHT patients be tested for iron deficiency and anemia: 908

- All adults, regardless of symptoms
- All children with recurrent bleeding and/or symptoms of anemia 910
- Quality of Evidence: High (Agreement 98%) 911

912 Strength of the Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 96%)

913

914 Clinical considerations: Testing for iron deficiency and anemia typically includes a CBC 915 and serum ferritin, with additional serum iron, total iron binding capacity, transferrin 916 saturation if the ferritin is not reduced. In situations where there is difficulty with interpretation of results or diagnosis of iron deficiency with or without anemia, input from 917 918 a hematologist should be considered. As severe epistaxis and/or GI bleeding is not routinely encountered in children with HHT, routine testing for iron deficiency and 919 920 anemia is not deemed necessary in asymptomatic children with HHT.

921 922

926 927

923 **AR2:** The expert panel recommends iron replacement for treatment of iron deficiency and anemia as follows: 924 925

- Initial therapy with oral iron
- Intravenous iron replacement for patients in whom oral is not effective, not absorbed or not tolerated, or presenting with severe anemia

928 Quality of Evidence: Moderate (Agreement 88%)

929 Strength of the Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 100%)

Clinical considerations: Iron replacement should typically be started with once daily 930 931 dosing of 35-65 mg of oral elemental iron, ideally 2 hours before or 1 hour after meals. If this is not tolerated, every-other-day dosing of oral iron or an alternate oral iron 932 preparation (such as a heme-iron preparation or a non-heme iron preparation with lower 933 elemental iron content) can be attempted. If initial dosing is inadequate for correction of 934 935 the iron deficiency, increasing the daily dose or twice daily dosing should be considered. The patient should be counseled about various dietary factors and medications which 936 937 can affect iron absorption. In general, an interval of 2-12 hours between iron supplements and these medications is preferred (www.RXfiles.ca Drug Comparison 938 939 Charts). Follow-up CBC, iron panel and/or ferritin 1 month after initiation of iron

replacement is recommended to assess response. An increase in hemoglobin of at 940 941 least 1.0 gram/dL is expected and, if not achieved, should be considered an inadequate 942 response. When oral iron supplementation is pursued in people with iron deficiency 943 without anemia, improvement in ferritin and transferrin saturation is expected after 1 month. For intravenous iron, routine monitoring of CBC and ferritin is necessary and 944 945 helpful in guiding prescription of dose intervals, understanding that ferritin levels may be 946 unreliable for 2 weeks post-infusion. In patients with chronic, recurrent bleeding, 947 regularly scheduled iron infusions, with interval adjusted based on follow-up bloodwork, 948 may be considered to maintain iron stores and prevent the development of severe 949 anemia. The dose of intravenous iron can be guided by the total iron deficit, which can be calculated using the Ganzoni formula(109). Alternatively, a total initial dose of 1 gram 950 951 of intravenous iron can be provided, as a single infusion or in divided doses based on 952 institutional protocols and preferences. Unless chronic bleeding is successfully halted through systemic therapies and/or procedural interventions, repeated administrations of 953 954 intravenous iron every few months is expected to prevent recurrence of iron deficiency. 955 A few considerations specific to the type of intravenous iron preparation warrant mention: a significantly higher incidence of hypophosphatemia (>20%) has been 956 reported in patients receiving multiple doses of ferric carboxymaltose(110, 111); 957 958 ferumoxytol can affect the quality of MRI imaging and therefore MRIs should be avoided for at least 4 weeks following infusion of ferumoxytol(112, 113). 959 960 961 962 **AR3:** The expert panel recommends RBC transfusions in the following settings: 963 Hemodynamic instability/shock 964 • Comorbidities that require a higher hemoglobin target 965

- Need to increase the hemoglobin acutely, such as prior to surgery or during 966 pregnancy 967
 - Inability to maintain an adequate hemoglobin despite frequent iron infusions
- 968 Quality of Evidence: Low (Agreement 92%)

Strength of the Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 96%) 969

970

971 Clinical considerations: Hemoglobin targets and thresholds for RBC transfusion should 972 be individualized in HHT, depending on patient symptoms, severity of HHT-related 973 bleeding, response to other therapies and iron supplementation, the presence of 974 comorbidities and the acuity of the care setting.

975

976 **AR4:** The expert panel recommends considering evaluation for additional causes of 977 anemia in the setting of an inadequate response to iron replacement. Quality of 978 Evidence: Low (Agreement 100%)

979 Strength of the Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 100%)

980

Clinical considerations: Evaluation for additional causes would typically include 981 measurement of folate, Vitamin B12, TSH and work-up for hemolysis, with referral to 982 983 hematology in unresolved cases.

- 984
- 985

AR5: The expert panel recommends that HHT patients receive anticoagulation
 (prophylactic or therapeutic) or antiplatelet therapy when there is an indication, with
 consideration of their individualized bleeding risks; bleeding in HHT is not an absolute
 contraindication for these therapies. Quality of Evidence: Low (Agreement 98%)
 Strength of the Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 98%)

991

992 <u>Clinical considerations:</u> When anticoagulation is pursued, unfractionated heparin, low 993 molecular weight heparin and vitamin K antagonists are preferred over direct-acting oral 994 anticoagulants given recent data suggesting higher bleeding rates with direct-acting oral 995 anticoagulants(114). For HHT patients with atrial fibrillation who do not tolerate 996 anticoagulation or are considered too high risk for anticoagulation can be considered for 997 alternate approaches to decreasing cardioembolic risk, such as left atrial appendage 998 closure(115).

999

AR6: The panel recommends avoiding the use of dual antiplatelet therapy and/or combination of antiplatelet therapy and anticoagulation, where possible, in patients with

1002 HHT. Quality of Evidence: Low (expert consensus) (Agreement 83%)

1003 Strength of the Recommendation: Weak (Agreement 92%)

1004 <u>Clinical considerations:</u> Ideally dual and combination therapies should be avoided or 1005 used only briefly, and patients should be monitored closely.

1006

1007

1008 1009

1010 Liver VMs in HHT

1011 Background:

1012 Liver VMs occur in 41–74% of HHT patients(116, 117), occurring in all genotypes, but 1013 the clinical presentation is typically more severe in patients with ACVRL1 mutation 1014 (HHT2)(12, 118, 119). The mean age of patients at diagnosis of liver VMs is 48 1015 years(12, 117, 119) with a female predominance of 4.5 to 1. Liver VMs in HHT typically 1016 present as diffuse small lesions throughout the liver, and rarely as discrete large AVMs. 1017 Three different and often concomitant types of intrahepatic shunting (hepatic artery to 1018 portal vein, hepatic artery to hepatic vein and/or portal vein to hepatic vein) can lead to 1019 different and potentially overlapping clinical features, including high-output cardiac failure (HOCF), portal hypertension, encephalopathy, biliary ischemia and mesenteric 1020 1021 ischemia(120, 121). Liver VMs in HHT may be associated with either diffuse or partial 1022 hepatocellular regenerative activity(122); the prevalence of focal nodular hyperplasia in 1023 patients with HHT is 100-fold greater than in general population(123).

1024

1025 HHT liver involvement is not associated with liver insufficiency(120, 121). Whereas only 8 to 14% of patients with liver VMs are symptomatic at baseline(116, 117, 124), 1026 prospective study has shown significant development of morbidity and mortality. The 1027 1028 incidence of fatal outcome and of morbidity was 1.1% and 3.6% per person-years, 1029 respectively(119, 124). HOCF represents the predominant reported complication associated with HHT, but complicated portal hypertension occurs at a rate comparable 1030 1031 to that of HOCF (1.4 and 1.2, per 100 person-years, respectively)(119). In patients with 1032 a high-output cardiac state due to liver VMs, the incidence of atrial fibrillation is1.6 per 1033 100 person-years(83, 119). Much rarer presentations of liver VMs in HHT include 1034 encephalopathy, mesenteric angina and ischemic cholangitis that can cause bilomas or 1035 more ominously lead to a catastrophic complication termed "hepatic disintegration" (8. 1036 121, 125-127).

The suspicion of liver involvement in HHT comes from history, physical examination, 1037 laboratory assessment of liver function tests, echocardiographic evaluation (with 1038 measurement of cardiac index and estimation of pulmonary hypertension)(128), and 1039 1040 screening for signs, symptoms and biomarkers of heart failure. Anicteric cholestasis is observed in one third of patients with liver VMs, with a direct correlation with the severity 1041 1042 of VMs and their complications(119, 124, 129). Doppler ultrasound (US) has been 1043 proposed as the preferred first-line investigation for the assessment of liver VMs due to 1044 its safety, tolerability, low costs and accuracy for the detection of liver VMs(8, 117, 121, 1045 130-132) and very good interobserver agreement for the presence/absence of liver VMs 1046 (Kappa = 0.85-0.93)(133) (Table7A). Doppler US also allows grading of severity of liver VMs (from 0.5 to 4) which correlates with patient outcome and has been shown to be a 1047 1048 predictor of clinical outcome(119). Abdominal computed tomography (CT) with a 1049 standardized protocol (multiphasic contrast-enhanced) provides detailed anatomic 1050 assessment and has the potential for reproducible results across centers, with excellent 1051 accuracy(116). However, CT findings do not correlate however with liver VMs 1052 severity(134) or clinical presentation(135), although CT has been recommended 1053 previously when expertise in Doppler US is lacking for diagnosing liver VMs(121). 1054 Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the liver provides excellent accuracy with both 1055 multiphase anatomic assessment and hemodynamic characterization of liver VMs(136).

1056 The abnormalities are better depicted on MR angiograms and dynamic MRI images, 1057 providing a map of anomalous vessels and analysis of filling kinetics; MRI has been proven to be as accurate as CT for liver VMs, and involves no ionizing radiation(137). 1058 1059 Moderate to good interobserver reproducibility for MR imaging has been demonstrated. In the case of pregnant patients, US is preferred to avoid ionizing radiation or 1060 gadolinium exposure to the fetus. We continue to recommend against liver biopsy, as 1061 1062 we did in the first International HHT Guidelines(8) (Table3), as a major and 1063 unnecessary bleeding risk.

1065 Echocardiographic evaluation is recommended at the time of liver VM diagnosis, to evaluate of the impact liver VMs on cardiac function and morphology, particularly 1066 1067 cardiac index and pulmonary artery pressures, and to provide a baseline for comparisons over time(121, 138, 139). In those with signs or symptoms of heart failure 1068 1069 and an intermediate or high probability of pulmonary hypertension, right-heart catheterization should be performed to accurately assess cardiac and pulmonary 1070 1071 hemodynamics(121, 138, 139). Right heart catheterization is also essential for 1072 diagnosing different forms of pulmonary hypertension, for example pre-capillary 1073 pulmonary arterial hypertension characterized by high pulmonary vascular resistance 1074 and normal pulmonary artery wedge pressure which can be associated with HHT(140). 1075

In patients diagnosed with liver VMs, follow-up with Doppler US and echocardiography 1076 1077 should help identify complications and disease progression. The assessment of prognosis of symptomatic liver VMs using available outcome predictors can assist in 1078 decision-making. Identified disease progression predictors include: stage 4 liver VMs at 1079 1080 baseline and ACVRL1 mutation(119). Clinical factors that can be used to predict low, moderate and high risk categories for significant disease from liver VMs include: age at 1081 presentation >47 years, female gender, hemoglobin level at presentation < 8 g/dL (or < 1082 5 mmol/L) and alkaline phosphatase level at presentation > 300 Ul/L(124). A 1083 1084 retrospective cohort (141) has demonstrated other worrisome features including mean pulmonary artery pressure (≥25 mmHg at catheterization), elevated bilirubin, weight 1085 loss, GI bleeding and any biliary ischemia, atrial fibrillation, high blood transfusion 1086 1087 requirement, right upper guadrant pain, and sepsis.

1088 Presently, no treatment is recommended for asymptomatic liver VMs. An intensive therapeutic approach, tailored to the type of complication present, is recommended for 1089 1090 symptomatic liver involvement in HHT(121). Patients with HOCF should have care 1091 supervised by a specialist experienced in managing HOCF; treatments include aggressive treatment of anemia, salt restriction and the use of diuretics, as needed. 1092 Management of atrial fibrillation in HOCF follows the same principles as in the general 1093 1094 population. Anticoagulation for stroke prevention should be considered based on individualized risk assessment, as discussed in the Anemia and Anticoagulation section. 1095 1096 Patients with pulmonary hypertension should be evaluated and treated by a physician 1097 with expertise.

1098

1064

1099

1100 Antibiotic treatment is administered in HHT patients with liver VMs and cholangitis. 1101 Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) with stenting is not an option as large duct obstruction is usually not present and ERCP may increase the risk of 1102 1103 infection, in ischemic ducts. Necrotizing cholangitis with hepatic necrosis is an ominous complication of liver VMs, requiring emergent liver transplantation. Management of 1104 1105 portal hypertension follows the same principles as in patients without HHT. The use of 1106 non-selective beta-blockers in patients with severe HOCF should be supervised by a 1107 cardiologist. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt placement may worsen 1108 circulation and precipitate cardiac failure. hyperdynamic Management of 1109 encephalopathy follows the same principles as in patients without HHT who have cirrhosis, including the use of lactulose and rifaximin. 1110

1111

1112 The reported response to first-line treatment in patients with symptomatic liver VMs in 1113 HHT is complete in 63%, partial in 21% and absent (with progression to death) in 1114 14%(119). These data support the recommendation to consider aggressive options only 1115 for otherwise intractable complications, after the assessment of response to first line treatment has been made, after 6-12 months(121). Outcomes of orthotopic liver 1116 transplantation (OLT) (Table 7B)for liver VMs in HHT are excellent with 82-92% 1117 1118 survival(127, 142). Liver VMs in HHT are included in MELD (Model for End Stage Liver Disease) exceptions: suggested MELD exception points for HHT include a score of 40 1119 to patients with acute biliary necrosis and 22 to patients with HOCF(121). Potential 1120 1121 morbidity and mortality rates associated with OLT are a cause for concern and the optimal timing for OLT in HHT with symptomatic liver involvement should be supported 1122 by predictors of outcome(119, 124, 141). Recurrence of liver VMs after OLT has been 1123 1124 demonstrated in only a small number of cases, many years post-OLT, and has been asymptomatic(143). Other surgical or interventional options for treating complicated liver 1125 1126 VMs such as hepatic embolization and/or banding of the hepatic arteries are associated with a high rate of serious complications including death and cholangiopathy and should 1127 1128 be reserved as a last resort when medical therapies fail and OLT is not an option(8, 1129 121, 144).

1130

1131 There is growing evidence for the role of intravenous bevacizumab in patients with severe liver VMs (Table 7B), primarily in those with HOCF(28). However, potential 1132 1133 adverse events (AE) related to bevacizumab need careful consideration: in 69 HHT 1134 patients who received bevacizumab treatment for a total of 63.8 person-years treatment. an average AE incidence rate of 50 per 100 person-years, including 1 fatal 1135 event probably related to bevacizumab, have been described(36). Furthermore, rates of 1136 1137 non or partial response to bevacizumab(28), and recurrence of symptoms/signs after drug withdrawal make this drug unsuitable to replace OLT for complicated liver VMs in 1138 HHT. Bevacizumab may offer a potential "bridging" role to OLT, and if a response is 1139 obtained with resolution/improvement of the liver VM complication, the option of OLT 1140 should be re-assessed. Bevacizumab complicates wound healing and transplant teams 1141 1142 should closely coordinate with HHT providers so that bevacizumab can be stopped long 1143 enough prior to OLT to minimize complications, while still minimizing the time off of therapy. The optimal OLT window is likely between 2 and 6 months after the last dose 1144 of bevacizumab. 1145

- 1146
- 1147

1148 Recommendations

LR1: The expert panel recommends that screening for liver VMs be offered to adults 1149

with definite or suspected HHT. Quality of Evidence: Low (Agreement 84%) 1150

Strength of Recommendation: Weak (Agreement 93%) 1151

Clinical considerations: The rationale for screening is based on the concept that 1152 awareness of liver VMs could improve subsequent patient management. In some cases, 1153 1154 documenting presence of liver VMs can help to clarify the diagnosis of HHT by establishing an additional Curaçao criterion. The imaging test of choice for liver VM 1155 1156 screening in HHT is the Doppler US due to its accuracy, safety, tolerability, low costs and operating characteristics. However, depending on local expertise and availability of 1157 Doppler US testing, as well as patient preference, patients may be screened clinically 1158 1159 (history, physical and blood work) or alternative imaging may be considered, such as 1160 multiphase abdominal CT or MRI.

- 1161
- 1162 LR2: The expert panel recommends diagnostic testing for liver VMs in HHT patients with symptoms and/or signs suggestive of complicated liver VMs (including heart failure, 1163
- pulmonary hypertension, abnormal cardiac biomarkers, abnormal liver function tests, 1164
- abdominal pain, portal hypertension or encephalopathy), using Doppler US, multiphase 1165
- contrast CT scan or contrast abdominal MRI for diagnostic assessment of liver VMs. 1166
- 1167 Quality of Evidence: High (Agreement 98%)
- Strength of Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 100%) 1168
- Clinical considerations: The choice of liver imaging modality should be informed by 1169 1170 patient characteristics, the risk/benefit balance, as well as local expertise and 1171 availability/cost. CT contrast and MRI contrast should be avoided in patients with 1172 chronic kidney dysfunction. Echocardiographic evaluation is also recommended at 1173 diagnosis of liver VMs to estimate their hemodynamic impact. These tests will be most informative when performed in a center with HHT expertise, and in the context of a 1174 1175 clinical assessment at an HHT Center of Excellence.
- 1176
- 1177 LR3: The expert panel recommends an intensive first-line management only for patients with complicated and/or symptomatic liver VMs, tailored to the type of liver VM 1178 1179 complication(s).
- 1180 The expert panel recommends that HHT patients with high-output cardiac failure and pulmonary hypertension be co-managed by the HHT Center of Excellence AND an HHT 1181
- cardiologist OR a pulmonary hypertension specialty clinic. Quality of Evidence: 1182 Moderate (Agreement 88%) 1183
- Strength of Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 88%) 1184
- 1185 Clinical considerations: Considering the complexity of liver VM complications, management by an expert team at an HHT Center of Excellence, with at least annual 1186 follow-up, is recommended. 1187
- 1188
- 1189 LR4: The expert panel recommends that clinicians estimate prognosis of liver VMs using available predictors, to identify patients in need of closer monitoring Quality of 1190 **Evidence: Moderate (Agreement 89%)** 1191

1192 Strength of Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 82%)

1193 <u>Clinical considerations:</u>

1194 Clinicians should assess prognosis and plan follow-up for liver VMs patients based on 1195 either identified predictors or high risk stigmata or worrisome features, as detailed 1196 above(119, 124, 141).

1197

1198 **LR5:** The expert panel recommends considering intravenous bevacizumab for patients 1199 with symptomatic high-output cardiac failure due to liver VMs who have failed to 1200 respond sufficiently to first-line management. **Quality of Evidence: Moderate** 1201 **(Agreement 98%)**

1202 Strength of Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 98%)

- 1203 <u>Clinical considerations:</u> The standard initial dosing regimen is 5 mg/kg intravenous (IV) 1204 every 2 weeks for 4-6 doses. Maintenance dosing of bevacizumab is being used 1205 routinely in HHT patients(82) though intervals vary from every 1-3 months, and the long-1206 term safety has not been studied. Patients receiving bevacizumab should be routinely 1207 monitored for hypertension and other typical adverse events.
- 1208
- 1209 **LR6:** The expert panel recommends referral for consideration of liver transplantation for 1210 patients with symptomatic complications of liver VMs, specifically refractory high-output 1211 cardiac failure, biliary ischemia or complicated portal hypertension. **Quality of**
- 1212 Evidence: Moderate (Agreement 83%)

1213 Strength of Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 92%)

- <u>Clinical considerations:</u> The best timing to list a symptomatic patient for OLT should be based on prognostic predictors, the severity of liver VMs complications, including pulmonary hypertension. Liver transplant can be undertaken in the presence of pulmonary hypertension if pulmonary vascular resistance, estimated by right heart catheterization, is < 3 Woods Units. Portal pressure measurement with hepatic venous pressure gradient is reserved for selected patients with complicated liver VMs when evaluated for OLT(121).
- 1222
- 1223
- 1224
- 1225
- 1226
- 1227
- 1228
- 1229
- 1230

1231

1232 Pediatric Care

1233 Background

1234 The previous guidelines regarding diagnosis and management of HHT(8) focused on screening and treatment of adults. While some manifestations such as telangiectasia 1235 1236 and epistaxis manifestations are age dependent and may be absent in young children 1237 with HHT, potentially serious and even life-threatening complications of visceral AVMs can occur at any age. Currently, the literature about diagnosis and management in 1238 children with HHT is limited, but protocols for screening and treatment of children with 1239 1240 HHT have been developed in HHT centers around the world. Complications described in the literature are mostly due to pulmonary arteriovenous malformations (AVMs) and 1241 1242 brain vascular malformations (VMs). Therefore, the focus of the pediatric HHT 1243 guidelines is on screening and management of pulmonary AVMs and brain VMs.

1244 Since establishing the diagnosis of HHT based on clinical criteria is less reliable in 1245 children than in adults(145), a different approach is required in this age group, with 1246 genetic testing playing a more important role than in adults(146-148). HHT is an autosomal dominant disease with age-related but high penetrance; therefore, every 1247 child of a parent with HHT has a 50% chance of inheriting the disease. Genetic testing 1248 1249 in children is usually performed in a stepwise approach in which the affected parent is tested first (see overall Background section). If a pathogenic variant has been identified 1250 in the index case or in other affected member of the family(8), genetic testing can be 1251 used to establish the diagnosis in children prior to screening for visceral AVMs. Equally 1252 important, genetic testing can identify non-affected children who can be released from 1253 1254 follow-up.

The prevalence of pulmonary AVMs varies with the type of HHT: pulmonary AVMs are 1255 found in about 50% of patients with HHT1 and in about 10% of patients with HHT2(12, 1256 118). While these estimates are based on studies in adults, data suggest that the 1257 prevalence of pulmonary AVM is comparable in children(149-152). This is supported by 1258 1259 one study that found a similar prevalence of pulmonary AVM in children with HHT1 as in their parents suggesting that the vast majority of pulmonary AVMs are present early in 1260 life(153). This has important implications for screening as the yield in genetically 1261 confirmed cases is high. Pulmonary AVMs are found in children with all types of HHT 1262 1263 and at any age. Pulmonary AVMs associated with low oxygen saturations (< 96% at sea level), as well as large pulmonary AVMs, can cause serious, sometimes life-threatening 1264 complications, including hemorrhage, brain abscess and stroke(151, 152, 154). For that 1265 1266 reason, screening children with HHT or at risk for HHT is indicated after birth, or at the time of presentation. Two screening protocols have been studied in children (Table8A); 1267 1268 at present both are seen as equivalent. The first screening approach ("Dutch protocol") 1269 uses a conservative screening strategy of oximetry and chest X-ray. As small pulmonary AVMs cannot be excluded in this setting, procedural antibiotic prophylaxis is 1270 1271 recommended to all subjects. Evidence from the Dutch cohort suggests that this protocol is sufficient to prevent pulmonary AVM related complications(155). 1272 Transthoracic contrast echocardiography (TTCE) is used in the second screening 1273

protocol and has a higher sensitivity as a screening test for pulmonary AVMs(156, 157). It requires an intravenous access and has not clearly been shown to detect additional pulmonary AVMs that would cause complications in childhood. TTCE has the advantage of being a non-radiating test. The use of a quantitative scoring system for analysis of TTCE can increase the specificity of the test and can be used to determine whether a CT-scan should be performed(158), as the diagnostic confirmatory test(158, 159).

Embolotherapy of pulmonary AVMs has a high success rate in children(154) (**Table 8B**). There are however no data to suggest that small pulmonary AVMs associated with normal oxygen saturation need to be treated in children. In rare cases, larger pulmonary AVMs with normal saturation can occur and treatment can be considered, especially in the case of symptoms. Growth of pulmonary AVMs over time has also been documented in children(160); therefore follow-up of children is important to capture these changes.

1288 Brain VM is a general term that encompasses three principal types of vascular lesions in HHT: nidus brain AVM, brain arteriovenous fistula (AVF), and capillary vascular 1289 malformation (CVM)(161). These vascular malformations are thought to have 1290 significantly different natural history risk for spontaneous brain hemorrhage, ranging 1291 from extremely low in CVM, to intermediate in brain AVM (as can be further risk-1292 stratified with detailed angio-architectural information, see CR6 below), to high in AVF. 1293 1294 Overall, brain VM are less common than pulmonary AVMs in HHT. The prevalence in children is not well defined; data from studies in adults suggest that brain VMs are found 1295 in 8-16% of patients with HHT1 and 1-2% of patients with HHT2(162-164), though the 1296 1297 AVF type appears to be over-represented in children(165, 166). Brain VMs can be 1298 present from birth and there are often no warning signs or symptoms prior to hemorrhage of a brain VM(167, 168). Clinical symptoms are subtle or absent in children 1299 1300 and case series from different centers have described brain hemorrhage in children prior to diagnosis or screening procedures(167, 169, 170). The purpose of imaging 1301 1302 screening of children with HHT is to identify if a brain VM is present and, to the extent 1303 possible, differentiate between the three common subtypes of brain VM. The most 1304 sensitive and specific non-invasive imaging modality to identify brain VM is MRI(171-1305 173).

1306 Observational studies suggest that treatment of brain VM is successful and can prevent brain hemorrhage(165, 174) (Table 8B). Brain VM with relatively high natural history 1307 risk for rupture include pial AVFs as well as nidus brain AVMs with specific angio-1308 architectural features or evidence of prior hemorrhage(161, 175-178). High risk features 1309 for future nidus brain AVM rupture, sometimes identifiable on MRI but more reliably 1310 identified on digital subtraction angiography (DSA), include but are not limited to: 1311 feeding artery aneurysms, nidus aneurysms, venous outflow stenoses, and deep 1312 1313 venous drainage. Intra-lesional microhemorrhage seen on brain MRI is an independent risk factor for future nidus brain AVM rupture(176). 1314

1315 It is important to appreciate that while the recommendations below are based on 1316 consensus of experts in the field, different approaches regarding pre-symptomatic

- 1317 genetic testing and screening procedures are used in different countries. Whenever1318 possible, these different strategies are mentioned in the recommendations.
- 1319

1320 **Recommendations**

1321 CR1: The expert panel advises that diagnostic genetic testing be offered for
1322 asymptomatic children of a parent with HHT. Quality of Evidence: High (Agreement
1323 96%)

1324 Strength of the Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 94%)

1325 Clinical considerations: If the disease-causing mutation is known in the family, the accuracy of genetic testing is very high (Therefore, it is recommended that an affected 1326 1327 family member should be tested first to determine a causative mutation, prior to testing 1328 an asymptomatic child). The established clinical diagnostic criteria (Curaçao criteria)(13) for HHT are less reliable in young children, because many symptoms of HHT have 1329 onset in late childhood or even adulthood (age related penetrance)(145). It is generally 1330 1331 accepted that for children to have pre-symptomatic testing for a genetic condition, there should be a clinical benefit to this testing. The value of this testing may be viewed 1332 1333 differently depending on the specifics of the routinely recommended organ screening 1334 protocol in a given country for children with HHT. The alternatives, pros and cons should be discussed especially with younger patients or - if applicable - their parents to 1335 1336 achieve the best result for the patient.

- 1337
- 1338

1347

1339 CR2: The expert panel recommends screening for pulmonary AVMs in asymptomatic
 1340 children with HHT or at risk for HHT at the time of presentation / diagnosis Quality of
 1341 Evidence: Moderate (Agreement 94%)

1342 Strength of the Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 94%)

1343 <u>Clinical considerations:</u> Primary screening may be performed with either chest X-ray 1344 and pulse oximetry OR transthoracic contrast echocardiography (TTCE). Primary 1345 screening with CT of the chest is not recommended, though CT remains the 1346 confirmatory diagnostic test when screening tests are positive.

1348 **CR3:** The expert panel recommends that large pulmonary AVMs and pulmonary AVMs 1349 associated with reduced oxygen saturation be treated in children to avoid serious 1350 complications. **Quality of Evidence: Moderate (Agreement 98%)**

1350 Strength of the Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 98%)

- Clinical considerations: Criteria for embolotherapy are not different from those used in 1352 adults, which means that pulmonary AVMs with feeding arteries ≥3 mm in diameter 1353 qualify for treatment. Follow-up after treatment of PAVMs is advised; intervals are not 1354 well defined but may vary according to size and number of pulmonary AVMs. Follow-up 1355 after treatment can be done with low-dose CT, TTCE, and /or saturation measurement. 1356 Protocols vary between centers. Follow-up is indicated, because recanalization and 1357 reperfusion of treated pulmonary AVMs can occur and / or small pulmonary AVMs can 1358 1359 increase in size.
- 1360

1361 **CR4:** The expert panel recommends to repeat pulmonary AVM screening in asymptomatic children with HHT or at risk for HHT; typically at 5 year intervals. **Quality**

1363 of Evidence: Low (Agreement 92%)

1364 Strength of the Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 86%)

Clinical considerations: Growth of pulmonary AVMs occurs in children with HHT but is 1365 slow in most cases. One study suggests that pulmonary AVMs in children may double in 1366 1367 size in 5 years(160). The recommended interval for repeat screening varies between 1368 centers and evidence to support a specific time interval is limited. Many centers repeat 1369 screening at 5 year intervals, but whether pulmonary AVMs occur de novo in this time 1370 interval in children is not entirely clear(179). If screening has been performed with oximetry and chest X-ray, a 5 year interval is advisable, because the presence of small 1371 1372 pulmonary AVMs is not excluded in cases with normal oxygen saturation. In children 1373 with borderline screening results, either based on imaging or oximetry, screening should 1374 be repeated sooner. Methods for screening and re-screening do not differ.

- 1375
- 1376 CR5: The expert panel recommends screening for brain VM in asymptomatic children
 1377 with HHT or at risk for HHT at the time of presentation / diagnosis. Quality of
 1378 Evidence: Low (Agreement 86%)

1379 Strength of the Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 86%)

- 1380 Clinical considerations: The purpose of imaging screening of children with HHT is to identify if a brain VM is present and, to the extent possible, differentiate between the 1381 1382 three common subtypes of brain VM. The most sensitive and specific non-invasive 1383 imaging modality to identify brain VM is MRI and contrast enhanced MRI has a higher sensitivity than non-contrast MRI. Screening with MRI in infants and young children 1384 1385 generally requires sedation or anesthesia. The outcome of screening can result in a "wait and see" approach, because not all brain VMs need treatment. The decision 1386 whether to treat or not, is based on the risks of complications of treatment versus the 1387 1388 risk of bleeding of the brain VM. Whether or not to screen the child should be a shared 1389 decision among clinicians, caregivers and the child (where possible). There are currently important differences in clinical practice with regards to brain VM screening 1390 amongst countries: in some countries asymptomatic children with HHT are screened for 1391 1392 brain VM with an MRI as early in life as possible, but in other countries asymptomatic children are not routinely screened for the presence of brain VM. Patient representatives 1393 1394 felt strongly that children should be screened for brain VMs citing anecdotal evidence of 1395 disastrous outcomes in unscreened patients.
- 1396
- 1397 **CR6:** The expert panel recommends that brain VMs with high risk features be treated.

1398 Quality of Evidence: Low (Agreement 100%)

1399 Strength of the Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 98%)

<u>Clinical considerations:</u> Given the need to balance natural history risk with treatment
 risk, the expert panel recommends that people with HHT who have brain VM be referred
 for evaluation at a center with multidisciplinary (neurology, neurosurgery,
 neurointerventional radiology, radiation therapy) expertise in neurovascular disease
 management. Treated brain VMs require close follow-up; the follow-up for small
 (untreated) brain VMs is not well defined.

1406

1407

1408

1409

1410 **Pregnancy and Delivery**

1411 Background:

1412 A pregnant woman with HHT should be assessed for their risk of pregnancy and 1413 delivery related complications and have access to, as needed, to a multidisciplinary maternal-featl medicine team that includes HHT experts. At the initial obstetrical visit, 1414 1415 pregnant patients should have a thorough review of their diagnosis history and past evaluations as well as recent status, symptoms and concerns. In addition, given that 1416 1417 offspring are at 50% risk of inheriting the pathogenic mutation, pre-pregnancy 1418 consultation with an obstetrician is recommended, for consideration of options before 1419 and during and after pregnancy for genetic diagnosis.

1420 The term "high-risk pregnancy" is a label used to describe situations in which a pregnant 1421 woman, her fetus, or both, are at higher risk when compared to a "typical" pregnancy for complications during pregnancy, labor & delivery or post-partum. Many pregnant 1422 women with HHT are labeled as "high-risk", as there is 1% overall risk of complication in 1423 1424 pregnancy in patients with HHT(180). However, it is possible to stratify this risk. Risk 1425 stratification can be based upon the results of a patient's AVM screening and/or 1426 treatment. Unscreened patients and patients with known but untreated pulmonary AVMs 1427 of significant size (>2-3 mm) are at highest risk.

1428

1429 The physiologic changes of pregnancy to the circulatory system include an increase in cardiac output by 30-50% and an increased blood volume by 40% by 28 weeks. 1430 1431 Pregnancy also results in high progesterone levels, which may increase venous distensibility(181). This collective effect of these factors may result in enlargement 1432 and/or rupture of untreated pulmonary AVMs during pregnancy(182). Recent studies 1433 have estimated a risk of about 17% for non-fatal complications(183) and 2% for 1434 mortality(180). Hemothorax, hemoptysis, ischemic stroke, and pulmonary deterioration 1435 have all been reported(180, 182, 183). Pulmonary AVMs should be screened for and 1436 1437 treated prior to pregnancy(183). If a HHT patient becomes pregnant and pulmonary 1438 AVMs have not been excluded, screening should be performed either with TTCE or with chest CT. TTCE using agitated saline is considered safe during pregnancy(184). Chest 1439 CT requires radiation, but the fetal dose is minimal(185) and can be delayed until after 1440 organogenesis as is discussed below. No IV contrast is required, and a low-dose non-1441 contrast protocol is adequately sensitive for detecting and characterizing pulmonary 1442 AVMs. 1443

1444 If a pregnant patient with HHT is diagnosed with pulmonary AVMs, the decision to 1445 embolize and subject the fetus to ionizing radiation and periprocedural complications 1446 should be weighed against the risk of no treatment. The feeding artery size threshold at 1447 which to embolize asymptomatic pregnant patients has not been established but it 1448 should likely follow recommendations for the general population of 2-3 mm. Pregnant 1449 patients who are symptomatic from pulmonary AVM (e.g. hemorrhagic or neurologic 1450 complication), should undergo diagnostic CT and immediate treatment with 1451 embolization, regardless of gestational age.

1452 In asymptomatic pregnant women, diagnostic chest CT imaging and treatment with 1453 embolization should be delayed until after organogenesis is complete (12 weeks). This timing is supported by the observation that 85-90% pulmonary AVM complications occur 1454 in the second or third trimesters(182, 186). Thus, screening and treatment of 1455 asymptomatic pulmonary AVMs should typically occur between 12-20 weeks of 1456 gestational age. The estimated fetal dose for a maternal chest CT is less than 0.5 mGy. 1457 1458 and estimated fetal dose for pulmonary embolization is about 1-2 mGy(187). Fetal radiation doses below 50 mGy are considered negligible (The American College of 1459 1460 Obstetricians and Gynecologists) and there are no known health effects associated with fetal radiation at these levels of exposure. Considering the high risk of non-fatal 1461 1462 pulmonary AVM related complications during pregnancy (17%)(183) and mortality 1463 (2%)(180), the benefit of embolization is favored over no treatment, in most cases.

Pregnant women with HHT who screen negative for pulmonary AVMs have similar pregnancy risk as their non-HHT counterparts. After initial evaluation at a tertiary center, they may be advised that they are suitable candidates for management outside of tertiary level care with careful attention to known complications such as worsening epistaxis and anemia. Patients should be counselled that they are not at higher risk of miscarriage than the general population(183), outcomes are generally good, but they need to be educated regarding signs and symptoms of severe complications.

Given the absence of evidence that pregnancy increases the size of brain VMs or the 1471 1472 likelihood of hemorrhage, a diagnosis of pregnancy is not an indication for screening for 1473 brain VMs. A retrospective series from 1995(182) did not include any cases of 1474 intracranial hemorrhage among 161 pregnancies in 47 affected women. A second 1475 cohort study from the same institution in 2008(180) (both retrospective and prospective) followed up on 484 pregnancies in 197 non screened HHT women. There was one case 1476 1477 of subarachnoid hemorrhage during the second trimester of pregnancy and another 1478 case of hemorrhage in the third trimester due to a brain AVM (0.4% rate of bleeding). A 1479 third retrospective case series published in 2014(183) analyzed 244 pregnancies in 87 1480 women with one case of intracranial hemorrhage (0.4%) in the postpartum period in a 1481 previously unscreened patient. These published risks of brain AVM hemorrhage during 1482 pregnancy appear similar to the hemorrhage rate of brain VMs in non-pregnant patients 1483 with HHT, which is estimated at 0.4-1.0% per year(178, 188).

1484

1485 In cases of known, asymptomatic brain VMs, no intervention is typically required during 1486 pregnancy, due to the low risk of hemorrhage(189, 190). There is no conclusive evidence of an increased risk of first hemorrhage during pregnancy from brain VM(191). 1487 1488 However, some higher-risk situations should be recognized, including patients with 1489 high-flow AV fistulae, patients with brain AVM and recent (< 2 years) clinical bleed, patients with brain AVM and history of bleeding during a previous pregnancy, and 1490 1491 patients with complex brain VM with a neurosurgical opinion of higher bleeding risk. If a brain VM ruptures during pregnancy, the re-bleed rate in the 2nd/3rd trimester and 1492 postpartum and is high ~27-30%(192, 193). Mortality from a brain VM bleed in 1493 pregnancy is ~28%, which is higher than in the non-pregnant state(194). Even 1494

considering these higher-risk situations, there is no evidence justifying treating
unruptured and asymptomatic brain VMs in a pregnant person, given the risks of
radiosurgery, embolization and surgical resection, but a multi-disciplinary team should
make decisions on a case by case basis as to whether any intervention is required.

1500 Pregnant women with a known brain VM may labor and attempt to undergo a 1501 spontaneous vaginal delivery. There are no reports of pregnant people with HHT having 1502 a brain VM bleed during labor. This supports the recommendations for vaginal delivery 1503 as is done in pregnant people with brain VMs who do not have HHT. There may be 1504 cases in which the opinion of the multidisciplinary team is that the patient should undergo a caesarean section. This might include patients presenting with brain VM 1505 1506 symptoms in pregnancy, or patients with prior hemorrhage from brain VMs. In all 1507 patients with brain VM, diligent management of blood pressure is imperative, to avoid 1508 swings in either direction. Modification of general anesthesia to avoid hypertension is 1509 prudent(194).

1510 The prevalence of spinal VMs in the HHT population is very low, although higher than 1511 the general population. Routine screening for spinal VMs is not recommended due to the rarity of spinal VMs in the thoracolumbar spine in asymptomatic people with HHT. 1512 1513 Pregnant women with HHT who have never had a spinal MRI should not have one just 1514 because pregnancy is diagnosed. Unenhanced MRI only excludes medium or large spinal VMs and gadolinium is contraindicated in pregnancy. Lomax et. al(194) mentions 1515 that pregnancy may exacerbate the symptoms of spinal VM. In a case of a known spinal 1516 1517 VM, an anesthesiologist should be consulted to address anesthetic options on a case 1518 by case basis. The prevalence of spinal VMs in HHT is 0.5%. Spinal VMs are 1519 predominantly symptomatic in males and the pediatric population(195), are generally 1520 perimedullary (rarely in the dural space), and usually involve the thoracic spine, with a minority extending into the lumbar region (196). Since the majority of spinal VM in 1521 patients with HHT are located perimedullary, this should not affect epidural anesthesia. 1522

1523 There are two large studies of pregnancy in HHT and neither reported complications from epidural or spinal anesthesia(180, 183). In one study there were 92 1524 spinal/epidurals in 185 deliveries, and in the other study, there were 484 pregnancies; 1525 no spinal hemorrhages were reported. Likewise, there are no case reports of patients 1526 1527 with HHT, who are asymptomatic of spinal VM, developing complications from spinal VM secondary to spinal/epidural anesthesia. There is no evidence for routine screening. 1528 1529 and no evidence to deny an unscreened pregnant person an epidural. Epidural 1530 anesthesia can safely be offered, and patients should be counseled that the risk of 1531 complication with an epidural is theoretical. It is prudent to have an epidural/spinal 1532 anesthetic performed by an experienced anesthetist.

- 1533
- 1534
- 1535 **Recommendations**

1536 **PR1:** The expert panel recommends that clinicians discuss pre-conception and pre-

1537 natal diagnostic options including pre-implantation genetic diagnosis with HHT affected

- 1538 individuals. Quality of Evidence: Very Low (Agreement 86%)
- 1539 Strength of the Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 83%)
- 1540 <u>Clinical Considerations:</u> If the causative familial pathogenic variant is identified during 1541 genetic testing of a parent, then it can be screened for in the future off-spring. Available 1542 options vary internationally and are detailed below. The discussion will be influenced by 1543 local legislation pertaining to pre-implantation diagnosis and termination of pregnancy.
- **Pre-implantation genetic diagnosis** where there is the option to transfer non-
- 1545affected embryos. The course of action desired should be discussed as part of the1546pretest counselling.
- Post-conception options include Chorionic Villus Sampling (CVS) and
 Amniocentesis. These invasive diagnostic options carry a small risk of miscarriage
 (1% and <0.5% respectively). Given the risks, a discussion about what path the
 pregnant person would take once results were available is imperative. If there is no
 consideration of termination of pregnancy based on the HHT status of the fetus, then
 these tests may be reserved for other indications, such as fetal anomalies or other
 screen positive results.
- Post-delivery: parents can be offered genetic testing on cord blood of the infant at time of delivery. While concerns exist for the testing of asymptomatic children for adult onset conditions for which there is no potential benefit of testing in childhood, childhood AVM screening is recommended in HHT (see pediatric section), with treatment in selected cases,
- 1559
- PR2: The expert panel recommends testing with unenhanced MRI in pregnant women
 with symptoms suggestive of brain VMs. Quality of Evidence: Very Low (Agreement
- 1562 **98%)**

1563 Strength of the Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 92%)

- 1564 <u>Clinical Considerations:</u> MRI, without gadolinium, should be planned in second trimester 1565 for symptomatic patients. Patients with previous cerebral hemorrhage likely have a 1566 higher risk for re-bleeding in pregnancy, especially during 2nd and 3rd trimester. 1567 Asymptomatic patients do not require routine screening during pregnancy, as there no 1568 conclusive evidence of an increased risk of first hemorrhage during pregnancy from 1569 brain VM(191).
- 1570
- **PR3:** The expert panel recommends that pregnant women with HHT who have not beenrecently screened and/or treated for pulmonary AVM should be approached as follows:
- In asymptomatic patients, initial pulmonary AVM screening should be performed using either agitated saline transthoracic contrast echocardiography (TTCE) or lowdose non-contrast chest CT, depending on local expertise. Chest CT, when performed, should be done early in the second trimester.

- In patients with symptoms suggestive of pulmonary AVM, diagnostic testing should
 be performed using low-dose non-contrast chest CT. This testing can be performed
- 1579 at any gestational age, as clinically indicated.
- Pulmonary AVMs should be treated starting in the second trimester unless otherwise clinically indicated.

1582 Quality of Evidence: Moderate (Agreement 88%)

1583 Strength of the Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 83%)

<u>Clinical Considerations:</u> The technique for pulmonary angiography and embolization for pregnant patients(187) is similar to that in non-pregnant patients, with additional measures to reduce radiation exposure to the fetus. This includes avoidance of fluoroscopy over the abdomen and pelvis, use of low-dose fluoroscopy mode and/or pulsed fluoroscopy, minimizing digital subtraction angiography (DSA) runs, and use of tight collimation. For both CT and angiography, abdominal shielding is not helpful, and may in fact increase scattered radiation to the fetus, and is therefore avoided.

1591

- 1592 **PR4:** The expert panel recommends that pregnant women with HHT be managed at a
- 1593 tertiary care center by a multi-disciplinary team, if they have untreated pulmonary AVMs 1594 and/or brain VMs OR have not been recently screened for pulmonary AVMs.

1595 Quality of Evidence: Very Low (Agreement 94%)

1596 Strength of the Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 85%)

1597

1598 <u>Clinical Considerations:</u> Pregnant women with untreated pulmonary AVMs, and those 1599 who have not been screened, should be considered high risk for hemorrhagic and 1600 neurologic complications, and be managed accordingly by a high-risk team with HHT 1601 expertise. Pregnant women with untreated brain AVMs should be assessed for high-risk 1602 features, and managed accordingly.

1603

- 1604 **PR5:** The expert panel recommends not withholding an epidural because of a diagnosis 1605 of HHT, and that screening for spinal vascular malformations is not required.
- 1606 Quality of Evidence: Low (Agreement 98%)

1607 Strength of the Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 92%)

- 1608 <u>Clinical Considerations:</u> Patients should meet with an anesthetist during early third
- 1609 trimester to discuss anesthesia options and review the only theoretical but
- 1610 unsubstantiated risk of complications from spinal VM during epidural anesthesia.
- 1611
- 1612 **PR6:** The expert panel recommends that women with known, non-high risk brain VMs
- 1613 can labor and proceed with vaginal delivery. Patients may require an assisted second
- 1614 stage on a case by case basis. Quality of Evidence: Moderate (Agreement 94%)

1615 Strength of the Recommendation: Strong (Agreement 94%)

- 1616 <u>Clinical Considerations.</u> If a brain VM has not previously ruptured, patients may proceed
- 1617 with mode of delivery based on obstetrical indications and discussion with their
- 1618 obstetrical care provider. A vaginal delivery is not contra-indicated in this case. Patients
- 1619 with "high risk" brain VMs should be considered for Cesarean section, OR epidural, to

- 1620 allow passive descent of the presenting part, with consideration for an assisted second
- 1621 stage. Diligent management of blood pressure is imperative, in these higher risk cases,
- 1622 and obtaining the opinion a multi-disciplinary neuro vascular team is prudent.
- 1623

1624 Acknowledgements:

- 1625 Many thanks to Nicole Schaefer and Sandy Medeiros, for their contributions to
- 1626 Guidelines development and the Guidelines Conference.
- 1627

1628	Refe	rences
1629	11010	
1630	1.	Dakeishi M, Shioya T, Wada Y, Shindo T, Otaka K, Manabe M, et al. Genetic
1631	1.	epidemiology of hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia in a local community in the
1632		northern part of Japan. Hum Mutat. 2002;19(2):140-8.
1633	2.	Bideau A, Plauchu H, Brunet G, Robert J. Epidemiological investigation of Rendu-Osler
1634	۷.	disease in France: its geographical distribution and prevalence. Popul. 1989;44(1):3-22.
1635	3.	Latino GA, Brown D, Glazier RH, Weyman JT, Faughnan ME. Targeting under-diagnosis
	З.	
1636		in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: a model approach for rare diseases? Orphanet
1637	4	J Rare Dis. 2014;9:115.
1638	4.	Donaldson JW, McKeever TM, Hall IP, Hubbard RB, Fogarty AW. The UK prevalence of
1639		hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia and its association with sex, socioeconomic
1640	_	status and region of residence: a population-based study. Thorax. 2014;69(2):161-7.
1641	5.	Grosse SD, Boulet SL, Grant AM, Hulihan MM, Faughnan ME. The use of US health
1642		insurance data for surveillance of rare disorders: hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia.
1643	_	Genet Med. 2014;16(1):33-9.
1644	6.	Li S, Wang SJ, Zhao YQ. Clinical features and treatment of hereditary hemorrhagic
1645		telangiectasia. Medicine (Baltimore). 2018;97(31):e11687.
1646	7.	Pierucci P, Lenato GM, Suppressa P, Lastella P, Triggiani V, Valerio R, et al. A long
1647		diagnostic delay in patients with Hereditary Haemorrhagic Telangiectasia: a
1648		questionnaire-based retrospective study. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2012;7:33.
1649	8.	Faughnan ME, Palda VA, Garcia-Tsao G, Geisthoff UW, McDonald J, Proctor DD, et al.
1650		International guidelines for the diagnosis and management of hereditary haemorrhagic
1651		telangiectasia. J Med Genet. 2011;48(2):73-87.
1652	9.	Plauchu H, de Chadarevian JP, Bideau A, Robert JM. Age-related clinical profile of
1653		hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia in an epidemiologically recruited population. Am J
1654		Med Genet. 1989;32(3):291-7.
1655	10.	Porteous ME, Burn J, Proctor SJ. Hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia: a clinical
1656		analysis. J Med Genet. 1992;29(8):527-30.
1657	11.	OS AA, Friedman CM, White RI, Jr. The natural history of epistaxis in hereditary
1658		hemorrhagic telangiectasia. Laryngoscope. 1991;101(9):977-80.
1659	12.	Lesca G, Olivieri C, Burnichon N, Pagella F, Carette MF, Gilbert-Dussardier B, et al.
1660		Genotype-phenotype correlations in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: data from the
1661		French-Italian HHT network. Genet Med. 2007;9(1):14-22.
1662	13.	Shovlin CL, Guttmacher AE, Buscarini E, Faughnan ME, Hyland RH, Westermann CJ, et
1663		al. Diagnostic criteria for hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia (Rendu-Osler-Weber
1664		syndrome). Am J Med Genet. 2000;91(1):66-7.
1665	14.	McDonald J, Wooderchak-Donahue W, VanSant Webb C, Whitehead K, Stevenson DA,
1666		Bayrak-Toydemir P. Hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: genetics and molecular
1667		diagnostics in a new era. Front Genet. 2015;6:1.
1668	15.	Wooderchak-Donahue WL, McDonald J, O'Fallon B, Upton PD, Li W, Roman BL, et al.
1669		BMP9 mutations cause a vascular-anomaly syndrome with phenotypic overlap with
1670		hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. Am J Hum Genet. 2013;93(3):530-7.
1671	16.	Hernandez F, Huether R, Carter L, Johnston T, Thompson J, Gossage JR, et al.
1672		Mutations in RASA1 and GDF2 identified in patients with clinical features of hereditary
1673		hemorrhagic telangiectasia. Hum Genome Var. 2015;2:15040.
1674	17.	Balshem H, Helfand M, Schunemann HJ, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Brozek J, et al. GRADE
1675		guidelines: 3. Rating the quality of evidence. J Clin Epidemiol. 2011;64(4):401-6.
1676	18.	Chandler J CM, McKenzie J, Boutron I, Welch V (editors). Cochrane Methods. Cochrane
1677	10.	Database of Systematic Reviews. 2016;10.

1678 19. Whitehead KJ, Sautter NB, McWilliams JP, Chakinala MM, Merlo CA, Johnson MH, et 1679 al. Effect of Topical Intranasal Therapy on Epistaxis Frequency in Patients With 1680 Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 1681 2016;316(9):943-51. 1682 20. Gaillard S. Dupuis-Girod S. Boutitie F. Riviere S. Moriniere S. Hatron PY. et al. 1683 Tranexamic acid for epistaxis in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia patients: a 1684 European cross-over controlled trial in a rare disease. J Thromb Haemost. 1685 2014;12(9):1494-502. 1686 21. Geisthoff UW, Seyfert UT, Kubler M, Bieg B, Plinkert PK, Konig J. Treatment of epistaxis in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia with tranexamic acid - a double-blind placebo-1687 1688 controlled cross-over phase IIIB study. Thromb Res. 2014;134(3):565-71. 1689 22. Zaffar N, Ravichakaravarthy T, Faughnan ME, Shehata N. The use of anti-fibrinolytic 1690 agents in patients with HHT: a retrospective survey. Ann Hematol. 2015;94(1):145-52. Kuan EC, Peng KA, Thompson CF, Suh JD, Wang MB. Sinonasal guality of life 1691 23. 1692 outcomes following laser treatment of epistaxis related to hereditary hemorrhagic 1693 telangiectasia. Lasers Med Sci. 2017;32(3):527-31. 1694 24. Boyer H, Fernandes P, Duran O, Hunter D, Goding G. Office-based sclerotherapy for 1695 recurrent epistaxis due to hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: a pilot study. Int Forum 1696 Allergy Rhinol. 2011;1(4):319-23. 1697 25. Boyer H, Fernandes P, Le C, Yueh B. Prospective randomized trial of sclerotherapy vs 1698 standard treatment for epistaxis due to hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. Int Forum 1699 Allergy Rhinol. 2015;5(5):435-40. 1700 26. Hanks JE, Hunter D, Goding GS, Jr., Boyer HC. Complications from office sclerotherapy 1701 for epistaxis due to hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT or Osler-Weber-Rendu). 1702 Int Forum Allergy Rhinol. 2014;4(5):422-7. 1703 Rotenberg B, Noyek S, Chin CJ. Radiofrequency ablation for treatment of hereditary 27. 1704 hemorrhagic telangiectasia lesions: "How I do it". Am J Rhinol Allergy. 2015;29(3):226-7. 1705 28. Dupuis-Girod S, Ginon I, Saurin JC, Marion D, Guillot E, Decullier E, et al. Bevacizumab 1706 in patients with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia and severe hepatic vascular malformations and high cardiac output. JAMA. 2012;307(9):948-55. 1707 Thompson AB, Ross DA, Berard P, Figueroa-Bodine J, Livada N, Richer SL. Very low 1708 29. 1709 dose bevacizumab for the treatment of epistaxis in patients with hereditary hemorrhagic 1710 telangiectasia. Allergy Rhinol (Providence). 2014;5(2):91-5. 1711 30. Chavan A, Schumann-Binarsch S, Schmuck B, Oltmer F, Geisthoff U, Hoppe F, et al. 1712 Emerging role of bevacizumab in management of patients with symptomatic hepatic 1713 involvement in Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia. Am J Hematol. 1714 2017;92(11):E641-E4. 1715 31. Iver VN, Apala DR, Pannu BS, Kotecha A, Brinjikji W, Leise MD, et al. Intravenous 1716 Bevacizumab for Refractory Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia-Related Epistaxis 1717 and Gastrointestinal Bleeding. Mayo Clin Proc. 2018;93(2):155-66. 1718 Epperla N. Kapke JT, Karafin M, Friedman KD, Foy P. Effect of systemic bevacizumab 32. 1719 in severe hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia associated with bleeding. Am J 1720 Hematol. 2016;91(6):E313-4. 1721 Al-Samkari H, Kritharis A, Rodriguez-Lopez JM, Kuter DJ. Systemic bevacizumab for the 33. 1722 treatment of chronic bleeding in hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia. J Intern Med. 1723 2019;285(2):223-31. 1724 34. Rosenberg T, Fialla AD, Kjeldsen J, Kjeldsen AD. Does severe bleeding in HHT patients 1725 respond to intravenous bevacizumab? Review of the literature and case series. 1726 Rhinology. 2019;57(4):242-51.

- 35. Guilhem A, Fargeton AE, Simon AC, Duffau P, Harle JR, Lavigne C, et al. Intra-venous
 bevacizumab in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT): A retrospective study of
 46 patients. PLoS One. 2017;12(11):e0188943.
- Buscarini E, Botella LM, Geisthoff U, Kjeldsen AD, Mager HJ, Pagella F, et al. Safety of
 thalidomide and bevacizumab in patients with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia.
 Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2019;14(1):28.
- 1733 37. Dupuis-Girod S, Ambrun A, Decullier E, Fargeton AE, Roux A, Breant V, et al. Effect of
 1734 Bevacizumab Nasal Spray on Epistaxis Duration in Hereditary Hemorrhagic
 1735 Telangectasia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2016;316(9):934-42.
- 1736 38. Riss D, Burian M, Wolf A, Kranebitter V, Kaider A, Arnoldner C. Intranasal submucosal bevacizumab for epistaxis in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Head Neck. 2015;37(6):783-7.
- Peng HL, Yi YF, Zhou SK, Xie SS, Zhang GS. Thalidomide Effects in Patients with
 Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia During Therapeutic Treatment and in Fli-EGFP
 Transgenic Zebrafish Model. Chin Med J (Engl). 2015;128(22):3050-4.
- Lebrin F, Srun S, Raymond K, Martin S, van den Brink S, Freitas C, et al. Thalidomide
 stimulates vessel maturation and reduces epistaxis in individuals with hereditary
 hemorrhagic telangiectasia. Nat Med. 2010;16(4):420-8.
- 1745 41. Fang J, Chen X, Zhu B, Ye H, Zhang W, Guan J, et al. Thalidomide for Epistaxis in
 1746 Patients with Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia: A Preliminary Study. Otolaryngol
 1747 Head Neck Surg. 2017;157(2):217-21.
- 1748 42. Invernizzi R, Quaglia F, Klersy C, Pagella F, Ornati F, Chu F, et al. Efficacy and safety of 1749 thalidomide for the treatment of severe recurrent epistaxis in hereditary haemorrhagic 1750 telangiectasia: results of a non-randomised, single-centre, phase 2 study. Lancet 1751 Haematol. 2015;2(11):e465-73.
- Hosman A, Westermann CJ, Snijder R, Disch F, Mummery CL, Mager JJ. Follow-up of
 Thalidomide treatment in patients with Hereditary Haemorrhagic Telangiectasia.
 Rhinology. 2015;53(4):340-4.
- 1755 44. Baysal M, Umit EG, Kirkizlar HO, Ozdover AC, Demir AM. Thalidomide for the
 1756 Management of Bleeding Episodes in Patients with Hereditary Hemorrhagic
 1757 Telangiectasia: Effects on Epistaxis Severity Score and Quality of Life. Turk J Haematol.
 1758 2019;36(1):43-7.
- Faughnan ME, Gossage JR, Chakinala MM, Oh SP, Kasthuri R, Hughes CCW, et al.
 Pazopanib may reduce bleeding in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. Angiogenesis.
 2018.
- 46. Levine CG, Ross DA, Henderson KJ, Leder SB, White RI, Jr. Long-term complications of septal dermoplasty in patients with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2008;138(6):721-4.
- 47. Lesnik GT, Ross DA, Henderson KJ, Joe JK, Leder SB, White RI, Jr. Septectomy and
 septal dermoplasty for the treatment of severe transfusion-dependent epistaxis in
 patients with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia and septal perforation. Am J Rhinol.
 2007;21(3):312-5.
- 1769 48. Richer SL, Geisthoff UW, Livada N, Ward PD, Johnson L, Mainka A, et al. The Young's procedure for severe epistaxis from hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. Am J Rhinol Allergy. 2012;26(5):401-4.
- 177249.Ulso C, Vase P, Stoksted P. Long-term results of dermatoplasty in the treatment of1773hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia. J Laryngol Otol. 1983;97(3):223-6.
- 1774 50. Lund VJ, Darby Y, Rimmer J, Amin M, Husain S. Nasal closure for severe hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia in 100 patients. The Lund modification of the Young's procedure: a 22-year experience. Rhinology. 2017;55(2):135-41.

1777 51. Rimmer J. Lund VJ. A modified technique for septodermoplasty in hereditary 1778 hemorrhagic telangiectasia. Laryngoscope. 2014;124(1):67-9. Sabba C, Pasculli G, Lenato GM, Suppressa P, Lastella P, Memeo M, et al. Hereditary 1779 52. 1780 hemorrhagic telangiectasia: clinical features in ENG and ALK1 mutation carriers. J 1781 Thromb Haemost. 2007;5(6):1149-57. 1782 53. van Tuyl SA, Letteboer TG, Rogge-Wolf C, Kuipers EJ, Snijder RJ, Westermann CJ, et 1783 al. Assessment of intestinal vascular malformations in patients with hereditary 1784 hemorrhagic teleangiectasia and anemia. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2007;19(2):153-1785 8. 54. Greve E, Moussata D, Gaudin JL, Lapalus MG, Giraud S, Dupuis-Girod S, et al. High 1786 1787 diagnostic and clinical impact of small-bowel capsule endoscopy in patients with 1788 hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia with overt digestive bleeding and/or severe 1789 anemia. Gastrointest Endosc. 2010;71(4):760-7. Canzonieri C, Centenara L, Ornati F, Pagella F, Matti E, Alvisi C, et al. Endoscopic 1790 55. 1791 evaluation of gastrointestinal tract in patients with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia 1792 and correlation with their genotypes. Genet Med. 2014;16(1):3-10. 1793 Kjeldsen AD, Kjeldsen J. Gastrointestinal bleeding in patients with hereditary 56. 1794 hemorrhagic telangiectasia. Am J Gastroenterol. 2000;95(2):415-8. 1795 Iver VN, Brinjikji W, Apala D, Pannu BS, Kotecha A, Leise MD, et al. Impact of Age on 57. 1796 Outcomes in Hospitalized Patients with Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia. Adv 1797 Hematol. 2018:2018:4798425. 58. 1798 Ingrosso M, Sabba C, Pisani A, Principi M, Gallitelli M, Cirulli A, et al. Evidence of small-1799 bowel involvement in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: a capsule-endoscopic 1800 study. Endoscopy. 2004;36(12):1074-9. Chamberlain SM, Patel J, Carter Balart J, Gossage JR, Jr., Sridhar S. Evaluation of 1801 59. 1802 patients with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia with video capsule endoscopy: a 1803 single-center prospective study. Endoscopy. 2007;39(6):516-20. 1804 60. Kjeldsen AD, Vase P, Green A. Hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia: a populationbased study of prevalence and mortality in Danish patients. J Intern Med. 1805 1806 1999;245(1):31-9. 1807 61. Kasthuri RS, Montifar M, Nelson J, Kim H, Lawton MT, Faughnan ME, et al. Prevalence 1808 and predictors of anemia in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. Am J Hematol. 2017. Pasculli G, Resta F, Guastamacchia E, Di Gennaro L, Suppressa P, Sabba C. Health-1809 62. 1810 related quality of life in a rare disease: hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT) or 1811 Rendu-Osler-Weber disease. Qual Life Res. 2004;13(10):1715-23. 1812 63. Geisthoff UW, Heckmann K, D'Amelio R, Grunewald S, Knobber D, Falkai P, et al. 1813 Health-related quality of life in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. Otolaryngol Head 1814 Neck Surg. 2007;136(5):726-33; discussion 34-5. Donaldson JW, McKeever TM, Hall IP, Hubbard RB, Fogarty AW. Complications and 1815 64. 1816 mortality in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: A population-based study. Neurology. 1817 2015;84(18):1886-93. 1818 65. Brinjikji W, Wood CP, Lanzino G, Cloft HJ, Misra S, Kallmes DF, et al. High Rates of 1819 Bleeding Complications among Hospitalized Patients with Hereditary Hemorrhagic 1820 Telangiectasia in the United States. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2016;13(9):1505-11. 1821 66. Nam SJ, Lee HS, Lim YJ. Evaluation of Gastric Disease with Capsule Endoscopy. Clin 1822 Endosc. 2018;51(4):323-8. Becq A, Rahmi G, Perrod G, Cellier C. Hemorrhagic angiodysplasia of the digestive 1823 67. 1824 tract: pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management. Gastrointest Endosc. 2017;86(5):792-1825 806. 1826 68. Kwan V, Bourke MJ, Williams SJ, Gillespie PE, Murray MA, Kaffes AJ, et al. Argon 1827 plasma coagulation in the management of symptomatic gastrointestinal vascular lesions:

18292006;101(1):58-63.183069.Chetcuti Zammit S, Sanders DS, McAli1831Endoscopy in Patients with Hereditary18322018;35(4):300-1.183370.Longacre AV, Gross CP, Gallitelli M, H1834and management of gastrointestinal ble1835telangiectasia. Am J Gastroenterol. 200183671.Van Cutsem E. Georges Brohee Prize.1837bleeding gastrointestinal vascular malfe183810.183972.Haq AU, Glass J, Netchvolodoff CV, Be		experience in 100 consecutive patients with long-term follow-up. Am J Gastroenterol.
18292006;101(1):58-63.183069.Chetcuti Zammit S, Sanders DS, M1831Endoscopy in Patients with Heredit18322018;35(4):300-1.183370.Longacre AV, Gross CP, Gallitelli N1834and management of gastrointestina1835telangiectasia. Am J Gastroenterol.183671.Van Cutsem E. Georges Brohee Pr1837bleeding gastrointestinal vascular m183810.183972.Haq AU, Glass J, Netchvolodoff CV		
1830	69.	Chetcuti Zammit S, Sanders DS, McAlindon ME, Sidhu R. The Impact of Small Bowel
1831		Endoscopy in Patients with Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia. Turk J Haematol.
18292006;101(1):58-63.183069.Chetcuti Zammit S, Sanders DS, McAlindon ME1831Endoscopy in Patients with Hereditary Hemorrha18322018;35(4):300-1.183370.Longacre AV, Gross CP, Gallitelli M, Henderson1834and management of gastrointestinal bleeding in1835telangiectasia. Am J Gastroenterol. 2003;98(1):5183671.Van Cutsem E. Georges Brohee Prize. Oestroge1837bleeding gastrointestinal vascular malformations183810.183972.Haq AU, Glass J, Netchvolodoff CV, Bowen LM.1840and danazol. Ann Intern Med. 1988;109(2):171.		2018;35(4):300-1.
	70.	Longacre AV, Gross CP, Gallitelli M, Henderson KJ, White RI, Jr., Proctor DD. Diagnosis
18292006;101(1):58-63.183069.Chetcuti Zammit S, Sanders DS, McAlindon I1831Endoscopy in Patients with Hereditary Hemo18322018;35(4):300-1.183370.Longacre AV, Gross CP, Gallitelli M, Henders1834and management of gastrointestinal bleeding1835telangiectasia. Am J Gastroenterol. 2003;98(183671.Van Cutsem E. Georges Brohee Prize. Oestr1837bleeding gastrointestinal vascular malformati183810.183972.Haq AU, Glass J, Netchvolodoff CV, Bowen I1840and danazol. Ann Intern Med. 1988;109(2):12		and management of gastrointestinal bleeding in patients with hereditary hemorrhagic
	71	Van Cutsem E. Georges Brohee Prize. Oestrogen-progesterone, a new therapy of
	,	bleeding gastrointestinal vascular malformations. Acta Gastroenterol Belg. 1993;56(1):2-
		•••
	72	Haq AU, Glass J, Netchvolodoff CV, Bowen LM. Hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia
	12.	
1841	72	Wang XY, Chen Y, Du Q. Successful treatment of thalidomide for recurrent bleeding due
	73.	0
1842		to gastric angiodysplasia in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. Eur Rev Med
1843	74	Pharmacol Sci. 2013;17(8):1114-6.
1844	74.	Albiñana V, Recio-Poveda, L., Zarrabeitia, R., Botella, L.M. Current and emerging
1845		pharmacotherapies for hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. Expert Opinion on Orphan
1846		Drugs 2017;Volume 5(8):665-75.
1847	75.	Ruiz-Llorente L, Gallardo-Vara E, Rossi E, Smadja DM, Botella LM, Bernabeu C.
1848		Endoglin and alk1 as therapeutic targets for hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia.
1849		Expert Opin Ther Targets. 2017;21(10):933-47.
1850	76.	Zacharski LR, Dunbar SD, Newsom WA, Jr. Hemostatic effects of tamoxifen in
1851		hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. Thromb Haemost. 2001;85(2):371-2.
1852	77.	Gallione CJ, Repetto GM, Legius E, Rustgi AK, Schelley SL, Tejpar S, et al. A combined
1853		syndrome of juvenile polyposis and hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia associated
1854		with mutations in MADH4 (SMAD4). Lancet. 2004;363(9412):852-9.
1855	78.	Aretz S, Stienen D, Uhlhaas S, Stolte M, Entius MM, Loff S, et al. High proportion of
1856		large genomic deletions and a genotype phenotype update in 80 unrelated families with
1857		juvenile polyposis syndrome. J Med Genet. 2007;44(11):702-9.
1858	79.	Gallione C, Aylsworth AS, Beis J, Berk T, Bernhardt B, Clark RD, et al. Overlapping
1859		spectra of SMAD4 mutations in juvenile polyposis (JP) and JP-HHT syndrome. Am J
1860		Med Genet A. 2010;152A(2):333-9.
1861	80.	Schwenter F, Faughnan ME, Gradinger AB, Berk T, Gryfe R, Pollett A, et al. Juvenile
1862		polyposis, hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia, and early onset colorectal cancer in
1863		patients with SMAD4 mutation. J Gastroenterol. 2012;47(7):795-804.
1864	81.	Heald B, Rigelsky C, Moran R, LaGuardia L, O'Malley M, Burke CA, et al. Prevalence of
1865	•	thoracic aortopathy in patients with juvenile Polyposis Syndrome-Hereditary
1866		Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia due to SMAD4. Am J Med Genet A. 2015;167A(8):1758-62.
1867	82.	Al-Samkari H, Albitar HA, Olitsky SE, Clancy MS, Iyer VN. Systemic bevacizumab for
1868	02.	high-output cardiac failure in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: an international
1869		survey of HHT centers. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2019;14(1):256.
1870	83.	Shovlin CL, Awan I, Cahilog Z, Abdulla FN, Guttmacher AE. Reported cardiac
	03.	
1871		phenotypes in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia emphasize burdens from
1872		arrhythmias, anemia and its treatments, but suggest reduced rates of myocardial
1873	0.4	infarction. Int J Cardiol. 2016;215:179-85.
1874	84.	Chaturvedi SS, N., Clancy, M.S., Kasthuri, R.S. Presentation and outcomes of venous
1875		thromboembolism in adults with HHT. Thromb Res. 2018 Sep;169:41-43. doi:
1876		10.1016/j.thromres.2018.07.004. Epub 2018 Jul 4.

1878 craving in patients with iron-deficiency anemia: a case-control study in France. Am J 1879 Med. 2005;118(2):185-8. 1880 86. Lopez A, Cacoub P, Macdougall IC, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Iron deficiency anaemia. Lancet. 1881 2016:387(10021):907-16. 1882 Vaucher P, Druais PL, Waldvogel S, Favrat B. Effect of iron supplementation on fatigue 87. 1883 in nonanemic menstruating women with low ferritin: a randomized controlled trial. CMAJ. 1884 2012;184(11):1247-54. 1885 88. Trost LB, Bergfeld WF, Calogeras E. The diagnosis and treatment of iron deficiency and its potential relationship to hair loss. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2006;54(5):824-44. 1886 1887 Haas JD, Brownlie Tt. Iron deficiency and reduced work capacity: a critical review of the 89. research to determine a causal relationship. J Nutr. 2001;131(2S-2):676S-88S; 1888 1889 discussion 88S-90S. 1890 90. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Ali M, Willan A, McIlroy W, Patterson C. Laboratory diagnosis of 1891 iron-deficiency anemia: an overview. J Gen Intern Med. 1992;7(2):145-53. 1892 91. Mast AE, Blinder MA, Gronowski AM, Chumley C, Scott MG. Clinical utility of the soluble 1893 transferrin receptor and comparison with serum ferritin in several populations. Clin 1894 Chem. 1998;44(1):45-51. 1895 92. Hallberg L, Ryttinger L, Solvell L. Side-effects of oral iron therapy. A double-blind study 1896 of different iron compounds in tablet form. Acta Med Scand Suppl. 1966;459:3-10. 1897 93. Finnamore H, Le Couteur J, Hickson M, Busbridge M, Whelan K, Shovlin CL. 1898 Hemorrhage-adjusted iron requirements, hematinics and hepcidin define hereditary 1899 hemorrhagic telangiectasia as a model of hemorrhagic iron deficiency. PLoS One. 1900 2013;8(10):e76516. Peyrin-Biroulet L, Williet N, Cacoub P. Guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of iron 1901 94. 1902 deficiency across indications: a systematic review. Am J Clin Nutr. 2015;102(6):1585-94. 1903 95. Moretti D, Goede JS, Zeder C, Jiskra M, Chatzinakou V, Tjalsma H, et al. Oral iron 1904 supplements increase hepcidin and decrease iron absorption from daily or twice-daily 1905 doses in iron-depleted young women. Blood. 2015;126(17):1981-9. Stoffel NU, Cercamondi CI, Brittenham G, Zeder C, Geurts-Moespot AJ, Swinkels DW, 1906 96. 1907 et al. Iron absorption from oral iron supplements given on consecutive versus alternate 1908 days and as single morning doses versus twice-daily split dosing in iron-depleted women: two open-label, randomised controlled trials. Lancet Haematol. 1909 1910 2017;4(11):e524-e33. 1911 DeLoughery TG. Safety of Oral and Intravenous Iron. Acta Haematol. 2019;142(1):8-12. 97. 1912 98. Finnamore HE, Whelan K, Hickson M, Shovlin CL. Top dietary iron sources in the UK. Br 1913 J Gen Pract. 2014;64(621):172-3. 1914 99. Nelson M, Poulter J. Impact of tea drinking on iron status in the UK: a review. J Hum 1915 Nutr Diet. 2004;17(1):43-54. 1916 100. Shovlin CL, Gilson C, Busbridge M, Patel D, Shi C, Dina R, et al. Can Iron Treatments 1917 Aggravate Epistaxis in Some Patients With Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia? 1918 Laryngoscope. 2016;126(11):2468-74. 1919 101. Shovlin CL, Patel T, Jackson JE. Embolisation of PAVMs reported to improve 1920 nosebleeds by a subgroup of patients with hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia. ERJ 1921 Open Res. 2016;2(2). 1922 102. Laman CA, Silverstein SB, Rodgers GM. Parenteral iron therapy: a single institution's 1923 experience over a 5-year period. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2005;3(6):791-5. Thielemans L. Lavton DM. Shovlin CL. Low serum haptoglobin and blood films suggest 1924 103. 1925 intravascular hemolysis contributes to severe anemia in hereditary hemorrhagic 1926 telangiectasia. Haematologica. 2019;104(4):e127-e30.

Kettaneh A, Eclache V, Fain O, Sontag C, Uzan M, Carbillon L, et al. Pica and food

1877

85.

4007	101	Liver VA Mension DA Mark III. Jackson JE Kulinskeve E. Leffer MA, et al. Leve
	104.	Livesey JA, Manning RA, Meek JH, Jackson JE, Kulinskaya E, Laffan MA, et al. Low
		serum iron levels are associated with elevated plasma levels of coagulation factor VIII
		and pulmonary emboli/deep venous thromboses in replicate cohorts of patients with
1928serum iron levels are associated with elevand pulmonary emboli/deep venous thror1929and pulmonary emboli/deep venous thror1930hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia. T1931105.Shovlin CL, Sulaiman NL, Govani FS, Jac1932hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia (H1933thromboembolism. Thromb Haemost. 2001934106.Shovlin CL. Circulatory contributors to the1935telangiectasia. Front Genet. 2015;6:101.1936107.Edwards CP, Shehata N, Faughnan ME.1938108.Devlin HL, Hosman AE, Shovlin CL. Antip1939hemorrhagic telangiectasia. N Engl J Med		hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia. Thorax. 2012;67(4):328-33.
	105.	Shovlin CL, Sulaiman NL, Govani FS, Jackson JE, Begbie ME. Elevated factor VIII in
		hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT): association with venous
	100	
1928serum iron levels are associated with ele1929and pulmonary emboli/deep venous thron1930hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia.1931105.Shovlin CL, Sulaiman NL, Govani FS, Ja1932hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia (I1933thromboembolism. Thromb Haemost. 2001934106.Shovlin CL. Circulatory contributors to the1935telangiectasia. Front Genet. 2015;6:101.1936107.Edwards CP, Shehata N, Faughnan ME.1937can tolerate anticoagulation. Ann Hemato1938108.Devlin HL, Hosman AE, Shovlin CL. Antij		Shovlin CL. Circulatory contributors to the phenotype in hereditary hemorrhagic
		0
	107.	Edwards CP, Shehata N, Faughnan ME. Hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia patients
		can tolerate anticoagulation. Ann Hematol. 2012;91(12):1959-68.
	108.	Devlin HL, Hosman AE, Shovlin CL. Antiplatelet and anticoagulant agents in hereditary
		hemorrhagic telangiectasia. N Engl J Med. 2013;368(9):876-8.
1940	109.	Ganzoni AM. [Intravenous iron-dextran: therapeutic and experimental possibilities].
1941		Schweiz Med Wochenschr. 1970;100(7):301-3.
1942	110.	Adkinson NF, Strauss WE, Macdougall IC, Bernard KE, Auerbach M, Kaper RF, et al.
1943		Comparative safety of intravenous ferumoxytol versus ferric carboxymaltose in iron
1944		deficiency anemia: A randomized trial. Am J Hematol. 2018;93(5):683-90.
1945	111.	Wolf M, Chertow GM, Macdougall IC, Kaper R, Krop J, Strauss W. Randomized trial of
1946		intravenous iron-induced hypophosphatemia. JCI Insight. 2018;3(23).
1947	112.	Vasanawala SS, Nguyen KL, Hope MD, Bridges MD, Hope TA, Reeder SB, et al. Safety
1948		and technique of ferumoxytol administration for MRI. Magn Reson Med.
1949		2016;75(5):2107-11.
1950	113.	Rostoker G, Cohen Y. Magnetic resonance imaging repercussions of intravenous iron
1951		products used for iron-deficiency anemia and dialysis-associated anemia. J Comput
1952		Assist Tomogr. 2014;38(6):843-4.
1953	114.	Shovlin CL, Millar CM, Droege F, Kjeldsen A, Manfredi G, Suppressa P, et al. Safety of
1954		direct oral anticoagulants in patients with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia.
1955		Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2019;14(1):210.
1956	115.	Vorselaars VM, Velthuis S, Swaans MJ, Mager JJ, Snijder RJ, Rensing BJ, et al.
1957		Percutaneous left atrial appendage closure-An alternative strategy for anticoagulation in
1958		atrial fibrillation and hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia? Cardiovasc Diagn Ther.
1959		2015;5(1):49-53.
1960	116.	Memeo M, Stabile Ianora AA, Scardapane A, Buonamico P, Sabba C, Angelelli G.
1961		Hepatic involvement in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: CT findings. Abdom
1962		Imaging. 2004;29(2):211-20.
1963	117.	Buscarini E, Danesino C, Olivieri C, Lupinacci G, De Grazia F, Reduzzi L, et al. Doppler
1964		ultrasonographic grading of hepatic vascular malformations in hereditary hemorrhagic
1965		telangiectasia results of extensive screening. Ultraschall Med. 2004;25(5):348-55.
1966	118.	Letteboer TG, Mager JJ, Snijder RJ, Koeleman BP, Lindhout D, Ploos van Amstel JK, et
1967		al. Genotype-phenotype relationship in hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia. J Med
1968		Genet. 2006;43(4):371-7.
1969	119.	Buscarini E, Leandro G, Conte D, Danesino C, Daina E, Manfredi G, et al. Natural
1970		history and outcome of hepatic vascular malformations in a large cohort of patients with
1971		hereditary hemorrhagic teleangiectasia. Dig Dis Sci. 2011;56(7):2166-78.
1972	120.	Garcia-Tsao G, Korzenik JR, Young L, Henderson KJ, Jain D, Byrd B, et al. Liver
1973		disease in patients with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. N Engl J Med.
1974		2000;343(13):931-6.
1975	121.	European Association for the Study of the Liver. Electronic address eee. EASL Clinical
1976		Practice Guidelines: Vascular diseases of the liver. J Hepatol. 2016;64(1):179-202.

1977 122. Wanless IR, Gryfe A. Nodular transformation of the liver in hereditary hemorrhagic 1978 telangiectasia. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 1986;110(4):331-5. Buscarini E, Danesino C, Plauchu H, de Fazio C, Olivieri C, Brambilla G, et al. High 1979 123. 1980 prevalence of hepatic focal nodular hyperplasia in subjects with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia, Ultrasound Med Biol, 2004:30(9):1089-97. 1981 1982 124. Singh S, Swanson KL, Hathcock MA, Kremers WK, Pallanch JF, Krowka MJ, et al. 1983 Identifying the presence of clinically significant hepatic involvement in hereditary 1984 haemorrhagic telangiectasia using a simple clinical scoring index. J Hepatol. 1985 2014;61(1):124-31. 125. 1986 Blewitt RW, Brown CM, Wyatt JI. The pathology of acute hepatic disintegration in 1987 hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia. Histopathology. 2003;42(3):265-9. 1988 126. Dominguez IB, Annet L, Waignein F, Sempoux C, Geubel A. Extensive ischemic liver 1989 necrosis complicating hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: a rare indication for liver 1990 transplantation. Liver Int. 2005;25(3):677-9. 1991 127. Lerut J, Orlando G, Adam R, Sabba C, Pfitzmann R, Klempnauer J, et al. Liver 1992 transplantation for hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: Report of the European liver 1993 transplant registry. Ann Surg. 2006;244(6):854-62; discussion 62-4. 1994 128. Ginon I, Decullier E, Finet G, Cordier JF, Marion D, Saurin JC, et al. Hereditary 1995 hemorrhagic telangiectasia, liver vascular malformations and cardiac consequences. Eur 1996 J Intern Med. 2013;24(3):e35-9. 1997 129. Buscarini E, Buscarini L, Danesino C, Piantanida M, Civardi G, Quaretti P, et al. Hepatic 1998 vascular malformations in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: Doppler sonographic 1999 screening in a large family. J Hepatol. 1997;26(1):111-8. 2000 130. Caselitz M, Bahr MJ, Bleck JS, Chavan A, Manns MP, Wagner S, et al. Sonographic criteria for the diagnosis of hepatic involvement in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia 2001 2002 (HHT). Hepatology. 2003;37(5):1139-46. Schelker RC, Barreiros AP, Hart C, Herr W, Jung EM. Macro- and microcirculation 2003 131. 2004 patterns of intrahepatic blood flow changes in patients with hereditary hemorrhagic 2005 telangiectasia. World J Gastroenterol. 2017;23(3):486-95. Buonamico P, Suppressa P, Lenato GM, Pasculli G, D'Ovidio F, Memeo M, et al. Liver 2006 132. 2007 involvement in a large cohort of patients with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: 2008 echo-color-Doppler vs multislice computed tomography study. J Hepatol. 2009 2008;48(5):811-20. 2010 133. Buscarini E, Gebel M, Ocran K, Manfredi G, Del Vecchio Blanco G, Stefanov R, et al. 2011 Interobserver agreement in diagnosing liver involvement in hereditary hemorrhagic 2012 telangiectasia by Doppler ultrasound. Ultrasound Med Biol. 2008;34(5):718-25. 2013 134. Cavel A, Bleuzen A, Bertrand P, Patat F, Cottier JP. Comparison between Doppler 2014 ultrasonography and multiphase multidetector-row computed tomography in the 2015 detection of liver involvement in Rendu-Osler disease: An analysis of 62 patients. Diagn 2016 Interv Imaging. 2016;97(4):451-9. 2017 Wu JS, Saluja S, Garcia-Tsao G, Chong A, Henderson KJ, White RI, Jr. Liver 135. 2018 involvement in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: CT and clinical findings do not 2019 correlate in symptomatic patients. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2006;187(4):W399-405. 2020 Milot L, Kamaoui I, Gautier G, Pilleul F. Hereditary-hemorrhagic telangiectasia: one-step 136. 2021 magnetic resonance examination in evaluation of liver involvement. Gastroenterol Clin 2022 Biol. 2008;32(8-9):677-85. 2023 137. Scardapane A, Stabile Ianora A, Sabba C, Moschetta M, Suppressa P, Castorani L, et 2024 al. Dynamic 4D MR angiography versus multislice CT angiography in the evaluation of 2025 vascular hepatic involvement in hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia. Radiol Med. 2026 2012;117(1):29-45.

2030 139. Gincul R, Lesca G, Gelas-Dore B, Rollin N, Barthelet M, Dupuis-Girod S, et al. Evaluation of previously nonscreened hereditary hemorrhadic telandiectasia patients 2031 2032 shows frequent liver involvement and early cardiac consequences. Hepatology. 2033 2008;48(5):1570-6. 140. 2034 Trembath RC, Thomson JR, Machado RD, Morgan NV, Atkinson C, Winship I, et al. 2035 Clinical and molecular genetic features of pulmonary hypertension in patients with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. N Engl J Med. 2001;345(5):325-34. 2036 2037 141. Young LH, Henderson, K., Pollak, J.S., White, R.I. Jr, Ciarleglio, M.M., Deng, v., Garcia-2038 Tsao, G. Predictors of death in patients with HHT, liver vascular malformations and 2039 smptomatic heart failure. Hematology Reports. 2013;5:6. 2040 142. Dupuis-Girod S. Chesnais AL. Ginon I. Dumortier J. Saurin JC. Finet G. et al. Long-term 2041 outcome of patients with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia and severe hepatic 2042 involvement after orthotopic liver transplantation: a single-center study. Liver Transpl. 2043 2010;16(3):340-7. 2044 143. Dumortier J, Dupuis-Girod S, Valette PJ, Valent A, Guillaud O, Saurin JC, et al. 2045 Recurrence of Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia After Liver Transplantation: 2046 Clinical Implications and Physiopathological Insights. Hepatology. 2019;69(5):2232-40. 144. 2047 Chavan A, Luthe L, Gebel M, Barg-Hock H, Seifert H, Raab R, et al. Complications and 2048 clinical outcome of hepatic artery embolisation in patients with hereditary haemorrhagic 2049 telangiectasia. Eur Radiol. 2013;23(4):951-7. Pahl KS, Choudhury A, Wusik K, Hammill A, White A, Henderson K, et al. Applicability of 2050 145. 2051 the Curacao Criteria for the Diagnosis of Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia in the Pediatric Population. J Pediatr. 2018;197:207-13. 2052 Cymerman U, Vera S, Pece-Barbara N, Bourdeau A, White RI, Jr., Dunn J, et al. 2053 146. 2054 Identification of hereditary hemorrhadic telandiectasia type 1 in newborns by protein expression and mutation analysis of endoglin. Pediatr Res. 2000;47(1):24-35. 2055 Giordano P, Nigro A, Lenato GM, Guanti G, Suppressa P, Lastella P, et al. Screening for 2056 147. 2057 children from families with Rendu-Osler-Weber disease: from geneticist to clinician. J 2058 Thromb Haemost. 2006;4(6):1237-45. 2059 148. Bossler AD, Richards J, George C, Godmilow L, Ganguly A. Novel mutations in ENG 2060 and ACVRL1 identified in a series of 200 individuals undergoing clinical genetic testing 2061 for hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT): correlation of genotype with phenotype. 2062 Hum Mutat. 2006;27(7):667-75. 2063 149. Al-Saleh S, Mei-Zahav M, Faughnan ME, MacLusky IB, Carpenter S, Letarte M, et al. 2064 Screening for pulmonary and cerebral arteriovenous malformations in children with 2065 hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia. Eur Respir J. 2009;34(4):875-81. 2066 150. Curie A, Lesca G, Cottin V, Edery P, Bellon G, Faughnan ME, et al. Long-term follow-up in 12 children with pulmonary arteriovenous malformations: confirmation of hereditary 2067 2068 hemorrhagic telangiectasia in all cases. J Pediatr. 2007;151(3):299-306.

Vorselaars VM, Velthuis S, Sniider RJ, Vos JA, Mager JJ, Post MC. Pulmonary

hypertension in hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia. World J Cardiol. 2015;7(5):230-

2027

2028

2029

138.

7.

- 2069 151. Giordano P, Lenato GM, Suppressa P, Lastella P, Dicuonzo F, Chiumarulo L, et al.
 2070 Hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: arteriovenous malformations in children. J
 2071 Pediatr. 2013;163(1):179-86 e1-3.
- 2072152.Gefen AM, White AJ. Asymptomatic pulmonary arteriovenous malformations in children2073with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. Pediatr Pulmonol. 2017;52(9):1194-7.
- Latino GA, Al-Saleh S, Alharbi N, Edwards C, Faughnan ME, Ratjen F. Prevalence of
 pulmonary arteriovenous malformations in children versus adults with hereditary
 hemorrhagic telangiectasia. J Pediatr. 2013;163(1):282-4.

- 2077 154. Faughnan ME, Thabet A, Mei-Zahav M, Colombo M, Maclusky I, Hyland RH, et al.
 2078 Pulmonary arteriovenous malformations in children: outcomes of transcatheter
 2079 embolotherapy. J Pediatr. 2004;145(6):826-31.
- Hosman AE, de Gussem EM, Balemans WAF, Gauthier A, Westermann CJJ, Snijder
 RJ, et al. Screening children for pulmonary arteriovenous malformations: Evaluation of
 years of experience. Pediatr Pulmonol. 2017;52(9):1206-11.
- Al-Saleh S, Dragulescu A, Manson D, Golding F, Traubici J, Mei-Zahav M, et al. Utility of contrast echocardiography for pulmonary arteriovenous malformation screening in pediatric hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. J Pediatr. 2012;160(6):1039-43 e1.
- 157. Karam C, Sellier J, Mansencal N, Fagnou C, Blivet S, Chinet T, et al. Reliability of
 contrast echocardiography to rule out pulmonary arteriovenous malformations and avoid
 CT irradiation in pediatric patients with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia.
 Echocardiography. 2015;32(1):42-8.
- 2090 158. Fernandopulle N, Mertens L, Klingel M, Manson D, Ratjen F. Echocardiography Grading
 2091 for Pulmonary Arteriovenous Malformation Screening in Children with Hereditary
 2092 Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia. J Pediatr. 2018;195:288-91 e1.
- 2093 159. Inarejos Clemente EJ, Ratjen F, Manson DE. Utility of MDCT MIP Postprocessing
 2094 Reconstruction Images in Children With Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia. J
 2095 Comput Assist Tomogr. 2016;40(3):375-9.
- Ratjen A, Au J, Carpenter S, John P, Ratjen F. Growth of Pulmonary Arteriovenous
 Malformations in Pediatric Patients with Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia. J
 Pediatr. 2019;208:279-81.
- Krings T, Kim H, Power S, Nelson J, Faughnan ME, Young WL, et al. Neurovascular
 manifestations in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: imaging features and genotypephenotype correlations. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2015;36(5):863-70.
- 2102 162. Bayrak-Toydemir P, McDonald J, Markewitz B, Lewin S, Miller F, Chou LS, et al.
 2103 Genotype-phenotype correlation in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: mutations and 2104 manifestations. Am J Med Genet A. 2006;140(5):463-70.
- 2105 163. Kjeldsen AD, Moller TR, Brusgaard K, Vase P, Andersen PE. Clinical symptoms
 2106 according to genotype amongst patients with hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia. J
 2107 Intern Med. 2005;258(4):349-55.
- 2108 164. Velthuis S, Vorselaars VMM, van Gent MWF, Westermann CJJ, Snijder RJ, Mager JJ, et
 2109 al. Role of transthoracic contrast echocardiography in the clinical diagnosis of hereditary
 2110 hemorrhagic telangiectasia. Chest. 2013;144(6):1876-82.
- Krings T, Ozanne A, Chng SM, Alvarez H, Rodesch G, Lasjaunias PL. Neurovascular
 phenotypes in hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia patients according to age. Review
 of 50 consecutive patients aged 1 day-60 years. Neuroradiology. 2005;47(10):711-20.
- 2114166.Matsubara S, Mandzia JL, ter Brugge K, Willinsky RA, Faughnan ME. Angiographic and
clinical characteristics of patients with cerebral arteriovenous malformations associated
with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2000;21(6):1016-
20.
- 2118 167. Easey AJ, Wallace GM, Hughes JM, Jackson JE, Taylor WJ, Shovlin CL. Should
 2119 asymptomatic patients with hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT) be screened
 2120 for cerebral vascular malformations? Data from 22,061 years of HHT patient life. J
 2121 Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2003;74(6):743-8.
- 2122 168. Ganesan V, Robertson F, Berg J. Neurovascular screening in hereditary haemorrhagic
 2123 telangiectasia: dilemmas for the paediatric neuroscience community. Dev Med Child
 2124 Neurol. 2013;55(5):405-7.
- 169. Morgan T, McDonald J, Anderson C, Ismail M, Miller F, Mao R, et al. Intracranial
 hemorrhage in infants and children with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia (OslerWeber-Rendu syndrome). Pediatrics. 2002;109(1):E12.

- Saleh M, Carter MT, Latino GA, Dirks P, Ratjen F. Brain arteriovenous malformations in patients with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: clinical presentation and anatomical distribution. Pediatr Neurol. 2013;49(6):445-50.
- Mori H, Aoki S, Okubo T, Hayashi N, Masumoto T, Yoshikawa T, et al. Two-dimensional
 thick-slice MR digital subtraction angiography in the assessment of small to medium-size
 intracranial arteriovenous malformations. Neuroradiology. 2003;45(1):27-33.
- 2134 172. Mukherji SK, Quisling RG, Kubilis PS, Finn JP, Friedman WA. Intracranial arteriovenous
 2135 malformations: quantitative analysis of magnitude contrast MR angiography versus
 2136 gradient-echo MR imaging versus conventional angiography. Radiology.
 2137 1995;196(1):187-93.
- 2138 173. Gauvrit JY, Oppenheim C, Nataf F, Naggara O, Trystram D, Munier T, et al. Threedimensional dynamic magnetic resonance angiography for the evaluation of radiosurgically treated cerebral arteriovenous malformations. Eur Radiol.
 2141 2006;16(3):583-91.
- 2142 174. Meybodi AT, Kim H, Nelson J, Hetts SW, Krings T, terBrugge KG, et al. Surgical
 2143 Treatment vs Nonsurgical Treatment for Brain Arteriovenous Malformations in Patients
 2144 with Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia: A Retrospective Multicenter Consortium
 2145 Study. Neurosurgery. 2018;82(1):35-47.
- Hetts SW, Keenan K, Fullerton HJ, Young WL, English JD, Gupta N, et al. Pediatric
 intracranial nongalenic pial arteriovenous fistulas: clinical features, angioarchitecture,
 and outcomes. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2012;33(9):1710-9.
- 2149 176. Guo Y, Saunders T, Su H, Kim H, Akkoc D, Saloner DA, et al. Silent intralesional
 2150 microhemorrhage as a risk factor for brain arteriovenous malformation rupture. Stroke.
 2012;43(5):1240-6.
- Hetts SW, Cooke DL, Nelson J, Gupta N, Fullerton H, Amans MR, et al. Influence of patient age on angioarchitecture of brain arteriovenous malformations. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2014;35(7):1376-80.
- 2155 178. Kim H, Nelson J, Krings T, terBrugge KG, McCulloch CE, Lawton MT, et al. Hemorrhage
 2156 rates from brain arteriovenous malformation in patients with hereditary hemorrhagic
 2157 telangiectasia. Stroke. 2015;46(5):1362-4.
- Latino GA, Al-Saleh S, Carpenter S, Ratjen F. The diagnostic yield of rescreening for arteriovenous malformations in children with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. J Pediatr. 2014;165(1):197-9.
- 2161 180. Shovlin CL, Sodhi V, McCarthy A, Lasjaunias P, Jackson JE, Sheppard MN. Estimates
 2162 of maternal risks of pregnancy for women with hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia
 2163 (Osler-Weber-Rendu syndrome): suggested approach for obstetric services. BJOG.
 2164 2008;115(9):1108-15.
- 2165 181. Cunningham FG. Williams obstetrics. 25th edition. ed. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2018.
- 2166182.Shovlin CL, Winstock AR, Peters AM, Jackson JE, Hughes JM. Medical complications of2167pregnancy in hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia. QJM. 1995;88(12):879-87.
- 2168 183. de Gussem EM, Lausman AY, Beder AJ, Edwards CP, Blanker MH, Terbrugge KG, et
 2169 al. Outcomes of pregnancy in women with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. Obstet
 2170 Gynecol. 2014;123(3):514-20.
- 2171 184. Colletti PM, Lee KH, Elkayam U. Cardiovascular imaging of the pregnant patient. AJR
 2172 Am J Roentgenol. 2013;200(3):515-21.
- 2173 185. McCollough CH, Schueler BA, Atwell TD, Braun NN, Regner DM, Brown DL, et al.
 2174 Radiation exposure and pregnancy: when should we be concerned? Radiographics.
 2175 2007;27(4):909-17; discussion 17-8.
- Ference BA, Shannon TM, White RI, Jr., Zawin M, Burdge CM. Life-threatening
 pulmonary hemorrhage with pulmonary arteriovenous malformations and hereditary
 hemorrhagic telangiectasia. Chest. 1994;106(5):1387-90.

- 2179 187. Gershon AS, Faughnan ME, Chon KS, Pugash RA, Clark JA, Bohan MJ, et al.
 2180 Transcatheter embolotherapy of maternal pulmonary arteriovenous malformations during
 2181 pregnancy. Chest. 2001;119(2):470-7.
- 2182 188. Willemse RB, Mager JJ, Westermann CJ, Overtoom TT, Mauser H, Wolbers JG.
 2183 Bleeding risk of cerebrovascular malformations in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia.
 2184 J Neurosurg. 2000;92(5):779-84.
- 2185 189. Mohr JP, Overbey JR, von Kummer R, Stefani MA, Libman R, Stapf C, et al. Functional impairments for outcomes in a randomized trial of unruptured brain AVMs. Neurology.
 2187 2017;89(14):1499-506.
- 2188 190. Lasjaunias P. Cerebromedullary arteriovenous locations in children and adults with HHT.
 2189 Hematology Meeting Reports. 2007;1:43.
- 2190 191. Davidoff CL, Lo Presti A, Rogers JM, Simons M, Assaad NNA, Stoodley MA, et al. Risk
 2191 of First Hemorrhage of Brain Arteriovenous Malformations During Pregnancy: A
 2192 Systematic Review of the Literature. Neurosurgery. 2019;85(5):E806-E14.
- 2193 192. Gross BA, Du R. Hemorrhage from arteriovenous malformations during pregnancy.
 2194 Neurosurgery. 2012;71(2):349-55; discussion 55-6.
- 2195 193. Trivedi RÅ, Kirkpatrick PJ. Arteriovenous malformations of the cerebral circulation that 2196 rupture in pregnancy. J Obstet Gynaecol. 2003;23(5):484-9.
- 2197194.Lomax S, Edgcombe H. Anesthetic implications for the parturient with hereditary2198hemorrhagic telangiectasia. Can J Anaesth. 2009;56(5):374-84.
- 2199 195. Eli I, Gamboa NT, Joyce EJ, Park MS, Taussky P, Schmidt RH, et al. Clinical
 2200 presentation and treatment paradigms in patients with hereditary hemorrhagic
 2201 telangiectasia and spinal vascular malformations. J Clin Neurosci. 2018;50:51-7.
- 2202196.Brinjikji W, Nasr DM, Cloft HJ, Iyer VN, Lanzino G. Spinal arteriovenous fistulae in2203patients with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: A case report and systematic review2204of the literature. Interv Neuroradiol. 2016;22(3):354-61.

2205

Criteria	Description
Epistaxis	Spontaneous and recurrent
Telangiectases	Multiple, at characteristic sites:
	lips, oral cavity, fingers, nose
Visceral lesions	GI telangiectasia, pulmonary,
	hepatic, cerebral or spinal AVMs
Family history	A first degree relative with HHT
	according to these criteria

Table 1. Curaçao Criteria for clinical diagnosis of HHT.

Table 2. HHT genetic testing is recommended for people at risk of HHT, in the following situations:

1. To identify the causative mutation in a family with clinically confirmed HHT

2. To establish a diagnosis in relatives of a person with a known causative mutation, including:

a. Individuals who are asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic

b. Individuals who desire prenatal testing

3. To assist in establishing a diagnosis of HHT in individuals who do not meet clinical diagnostic criteria

Table 3: Currently recommended clinical recommendations from the first International HHT Guidelines (1). Listed below are the clinical recommendations with >=80% consensus at the first International HHT Guidelines AND which the 2019 International Guidelines Working Group agreed to maintain as current, and not reassess in 2019.

Diagnosis of HHT:
1: The expert panel recommends that clinicians diagnose HHT using the Curaçao Criteria (see
Table1) or by identification of a causative mutation
(Level of evidence: III, strength of recommendation: weak, 82% agreement).
2: The expert panel recommends that clinicians consider the diagnosis of HHT in patients with
one or more Curaçao criteria (see Table 1)
(Level of evidence: III, strength of recommendation: weak, 91% agreement).
3: The expert panel recommends that asymptomatic children of a parent with HHT be
considered to have possible HHT, unless excluded by genetic testing
(Level of evidence: III, strength of recommendation: weak, 87% agreement).

4: The expert panel recommends that clinicians refer patients for diagnostic genetic testing for HHT

- 1. To identify the causative mutation in a family with clinically confirmed HHT
- 2. To establish a diagnosis in relatives of a person with a known causative mutation, including:
 - a. Individuals who are asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic
 - b. Individuals who desire prenatal testing
- 3. To assist in establishing a diagnosis of HHT in individuals who do not meet clinical diagnostic criteria
- (Level of evidence: III, strength of recommendation: weak, 80% agreement)

5: The expert panel recommends that for individuals who test negative for ENG and ACVRL1 coding sequence mutations, SMAD4 testing should be considered to identify the causative mutation

(Level of evidence: III, strength of recommendation: weak, 93% agreement).

Epistaxis:

1: The expert panel recommends that physicians advise patients with HHT-related epistaxis to use agents that humidify the nasal mucosa to prevent epistaxis

(Level of evidence: III, strength of recommendation: weak, 94% agreement).

3: The expert panel recommends that clinicians refer HHT patients with epistaxis and who desire treatment to otorhinolaryngologists with HHT expertise for evaluation and treatment (Level of evidence: III, strength of recommendation: weak, 87% agreement).

4: The expert panel recommends that when considering nasal surgery for reasons other than epistaxis, the patient and clinician obtain consultation from an otorhinolaryngologists with expertise in HHT-related epistaxis

(Level of evidence: III, strength of recommendation: weak, 100% agreement).

5: The expert panel recommends that the treatment for acute epistaxis requiring intervention include packing with material or products that have a low likelihood of causing re-bleeding with removal (e.g., lubricated low-pressure pneumatic packing) (Level of evidence: III, strength of recommendation: weak, 93% agreement).

Brain VMs:

2: The expert panel recommends the use of MRI for brain VM screening in adults with possible or definite HHT using a protocol with and without contrast administration and using sequences that detect blood products, to maximize sensitivity

(Level of evidence: III, strength of recommendation: weak, 100% agreement).

4: The expert panel recommends that adults presenting with an acute hemorrhage secondary to a brain VM be considered for definitive treatment in a center with neurovascular expertise (Level of evidence: III, strength of recommendation: strong, 94% agreement).

5: The expert panel recommends that all other adults with brain VMs be referred to a center with neurovascular expertise to be considered for invasive testing and individualized management (Level of evidence: III, strength of recommendation: strong, 84% agreement).

6: The expert panel recommends that pregnant women with suspected or confirmed HHT harboring an asymptomatic brain VM during pregnancy have definitive treatment of their brain VM deferred until after delivery of their fetus. The expert panel recommends that the delivery of the fetus follow obstetrical principles

(Level of evidence: III, strength of recommendation: weak, 80% agreement).

Pulmonary AVMs:

1: The expert panel recommends that clinicians screen all patients with possible or confirmed HHT for pulmonary AVMs

(Level of evidence: III, strength of recommendation: strong, 96% agreement).

2: The expert panel recommends that clinicians use transthoracic contrast echocardiography as the initial screening test for pulmonary AVMs

(Level of evidence: II, strength of recommendation: weak, 96% agreement).

3: The expert panel recommends that clinicians treat pulmonary AVMs with transcatheter embolotherapy

(Level of evidence: II, strength of recommendation: strong, 96% agreement).

4: The expert panel recommends that clinicians provide the following long-term advice to patients with documented pulmonary AVMs (treated or untreated):

1. Antibiotic prophylaxis for procedures with risk of bacteremia

2. When IV access is in place, take extra care to avoid IV air

3. Avoidance of SCUBA diving

(Level of evidence: III, strength of recommendation: weak, 87% agreement).

5: The expert panel recommends that clinicians provide long-term follow-up for patients who have pulmonary AVMs, in order to detect growth of untreated pulmonary AVMs and also reperfusion of treated AVMs

(Level of evidence: II, strength of recommendation: strong, 100% agreement).

Liver VMs:

2: To clarify the diagnosis of HHT, the expert panel recommends screening for liver VMs, using Doppler US, in patients with 1 or 2 HHT diagnostic criteria and in whom genetic testing is either inconclusive or unavailable

(Level of evidence: III, strength of recommendation: strong, 78% agreement)

3: The expert panel recommends that liver biopsy be avoided in any patient with proven or suspected HHT

(Level of evidence: III, strength of recommendation: strong, 97% agreement).

4: The expert panel recommends that hepatic artery embolisation be avoided in patients with liver VMs as it is only a temporizing procedure associated with significant morbidity and mortality

(Level of evidence: III, strength of recommendation: strong, 94% agreement)

Citation	Participants	Intervention	Design and Methods	Primary Outcome Measures	Primary Outcome Results
Boyer H. et al. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol. 2015. (25)	N=17	Sclerotherapy versus Control ("standard treatment", defined as continuation of any treatment that the patient had previously undergone)	RCT (crossover) Treatment= 6 weeks, each Washout period: None	Epistaxis severity score (ESS).	Improved ESS scores (0.95 difference, 1-sided p = 0.027), The standard deviation of the difference scores was 1.82. Treatment order was not statistically significant.
Dupuis-Girod S. et al. JAMA 2016 (37)	N=80	Bevacizumab nasal spray (25mg, 50mg, or 75mg) for 4 weeks vs placebo nasal spray	RCT Phase II-III (placebo controlled) Treatment: doses 14 days apart for a total treatment duration of 4 weeks, resulting in a total dose of 75mg 150mg, and 225mg in each treatment group.	treatment compared with 3 month BEFORE beginning of treatment.	No statistical difference was observed in mean monthly epistiaxs duration among treatment groups and placebo (P = .57), with higher standard deviatio than expected in trial design.
Gaillard S. et al. J Thromb Haemost. 2014 ATERO. (20)	N=135	Oral tranexamic acid (3g per day) versus placebo	RCT (double-blind, placebo controlled crossover): Treatment: 3 months, each	Mean monthly epistaxis duration for last 2 months of the treatment compared with the last 2months on placebo.	The mean duration of epistaxis per month was significantly shorter with tranexamic acid than placebo (0.19 on the log scale; $SD = 0.07$; $P = 0.005$). Th difference corresponded to a decrease of 17.3% in the duration of epistaxis p month (95% Cl, $5.5-27.6$).
Geisthoff U.W. et al. Thromb Res.2014 (21)	N=22	Oral tranexamic acid (3g per day) versus placebo	RCT (double-blind, placebo controlled crossover): Treatment: 3 months, each. Washout period: None.	Delta Hemoglobin (final minus initial) for each treatment period	No significant difference in Delta Hemoglobin between tranexamic acid and placebo was detected (p=0.33, Mann–Whitney-U test). Post-hoc analysis: Mean Hemoglobin concentrations were significantly great for tranexamic acid versus placebo (p=0.013, Mann– Whitney-U test).
Riss D. et al. Head Neck. 2015 (38)	N=15	Single dose of Intranasal submucosal injection of bevacizumab	RCT (double-blind, placebo controlled, parallel group, stratified by age and epistaxis severity). Patients received a single intranasal submucosal injection of 100 mg of bevacizumab in 10 mL saline or placebo (10 mL saline). 5 mL were injected into each side of the nose.	The relation of the average daily post treatment epistaxis VAS score (range, 0-100) compared to the average daily pretreatment score in the month before the intervention (R = VAS-post/VAS-pre), for days 11 84.Patients recorded in a diary their daily epistaxis VAS scores ranging from 0 (best situation) to 100 (wors case).	Average daily VAS scores dropped from 18.8 (±16.5 SD) pretreatment to 13.4 (±11.6 SD) posttreatment in the bevacizumab group and from 20.5 (±13.4 SD to 19.7 (±12.6 SD) in the placebo group, though the relation of the average daily posttreatment VAS score compared to the average daily pretreatment score, did not show a statistically significant difference (p = .57).
Whitehead K. et al. JAMA 2016 (19)	N=121	Topical therapy with bevacizumab 1% (4 mg/d) OR estriol 0.1% (0.4 mg/d) OR tranexamic acid 10% (40 mg/d) nasal sprays	RCT Phase II (double-blind, placebo controlled, stratified by epistaxis frequency) 4 treatment groups (bevacizumab 1% (4 mg/d), estriol 0.1% (0.4 mg/d), transamic acid 10% (40 mg/d), or placebo (0.9% saline) for 12 weeks.		Epistaxis frequency was not significantly different between any of the active drug groups and the placebo group or between any of the therapeutic agent
Yaniv E. et al. Laryngoscope 2009	N=25	Oral antiestrogen, Tamoxifen 20mg once daily	RCT (double-blind, placebo controlled) Treatmer period=6 months. Washout period: None.	Frequency of epistaxis, duration of epistaxis, hemoglobin level	Epistaxis frequency was significantly less in the treatment groups ($P = .01$), as was epistaxis severity ($P = .049$) at 6 months. The was no significant difference n hemoglobin between groups at 6 months

Citation	Study Design	Intervention	Outcome of Interest	Outcome Results
Reh D.D. et al. Laryngoscope 2013	Prospective study (N=20)	topical lubricant	ESS	Mean ESS improved (p<0.0001) at 3mo.
Fernandez-L A. et al. Thromb	Prospective study (N=14)	oral tranexamic acid	Epistaxis	100% patients improved
Haemost 2007	Trospective study (N=14)		frequency&severity	100% patients improved
Zaffar N. et al.Ann Hematol. 2015 (22)	Retrospective study (N=29)	oral tranexamic acid	ESS	Mean ESS improved (p<0.001)
Jorgensen G. et al. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2011	Prospective study (N+30)	laser	Epistaxis duration	Epistaxis duration reduced (p<0.05) at 1.5 mo.& 6 mo.
Kuan E.C. et al.Lasers Med Sci 2017 (23)	Retrospective study (N=20)	laser	SNOT-22	Mean SNOT-22 improved at 1.5mo
Fiorella M.L. et al. ACTA otorhinolaryngologica italica 2012	Retrospective study (N=24)	laser (diode)	Epistaxis frequency&severity	Group improved
Poje G. et al. ENT-Ear, Nose & Throat Journal 2017	Retrospective study (N=17)	laser (diode)	Epistaxis frequency&severity	Group improved
Papaspyrou G. et al. ORL 2016	Retrospective study (N=38)	laser (Nd:YAG)	Need for recurrent intervention	Recurrent intervention in 18% at 3 years
Papaspyrou G. et al.Journal of Cranio- Maxillo-Facial Surgery 2017	Prospective study	laser (Nd:YAG) +/- APC	Need for recurrent intervention	Recurrent intervention in 20-33%% at 3-10 years
Abdelghany A. Clinical Otolaryngology 2013	Prospective study (N=16)	radiofrequency coblation	Epistaxis frequency&intensity	100% patients improved
Luk L. et al.Int Forum Allergy Rhinol 2014	Prospective cohort study (N=11)	radiofrequency coblation vs laser (KTP)	ESS	No significant difference in mean ESS, at 12mo.
Mortuaire G. et al. Rhinology 2013	Prospective study (N=16)	radiofrequency coblation	Epistaxis frequency&duration	Reduced mean epistaxis frequency (P<0.05) at 6mo.
Rotenberg B et al. Am J Rhinol Allergy 2015 (27)	Retrospective stufy (N=37)	radiofrequency coblation	ESS	Mean ESS improved (p=0.02) at 6 mo.
Boyer H. et al. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol 2011 (24)	Retrospective study (N=7)	sclerotherapy	Epistaxis frequency&severity	100% patients improved
Morais D. et al .Rhinology 2012	Retrospective study (N=45)	sclerotherapy	Epistaxis frequency&severity	95% patients improved
Pagella F. et al. Acta Oto- Laryngologica 2013	Retrospective study (N=26)	thermal coagulation (APC)	Epistaxis score	Mean score improved (P=0.005) at 12 mo.
Pagella F. et al. Am J Rhinol Allergy 2006	Prospective study (N=36)	thermal coagulation (APC)	Reported bleeding	100% reported reduction in bleeding at 6mo.
Al-Samkari H. et al. Blood 2018	Retrosepctive stydu (N=13)	IV bevacizumab	Epistaxis control	Epistaxis control (reduction in epistaxis grade to <2) was achieved in 85% of patients, from 0
Dupuis-Girod S. et al. JAMA 2012 (28)	Prospective study (N=25)	IV bevacizumab	Reported bleeding duration	patients at baseline (P<0.001) Mean duration of epistaxis, significantly decreased from 221 minutes per mo. at baseline to 43 minutes per mo. at 3 mo. (p= 0.008).
Epperla N. et al. American Journal of Hematology 2016 (32)	Retrospective study (N=5)	IV bevacizumab	blood transfusions	blood transfusions were reduced from baseline in 5/5 patients
lyer V. et al. Mayo Clin Proc 2018 (31)	Retrospective study (N=34)	IV bevacizumab	ESS	Significant reduction in ESS from baseline to 3mo (p<0.001)
Faughnan ME. et al. Angiogenesis 2019 (45)	Prospective study (N=7)	oral pazopanib	Epistaxis duration	6/7 patients had >50% decrease, from baseline to during treatment
Baysal M. et al. Turk J Hematol 2019 (44)	Retrospective study (N=6)	oral thalidomide	ESS	Mean ESS improved from pre-treatment (7.40+/-2.02) to post-treatment (3.10+/-1.79), significantly
Fang J. et al. Otolaryngology– Head and Neck Surgery 2017 (41)	Prospective study (N=7)	oral thalidomide	ESS	(p=0.028) Mean ESS improved from pre-treatment (5.03 +/- 2.05 to end treatment (0.90 +/- 0.84, p= 0.003) and to 3 mo. after end treatment (1.98 +/- 1.33, p= 0.006), respectively.
Invernizzi R. et al. Lancet Haematol 2015 (42)	Prospective, Phase II (N=31)	oral thalidomide	frequency, intensity, or duration of epistaxis.	All patients responded to therapy with a significant decrease in all epistaxis parameters (p<0.0001 for frequency, intensity, and duration)
Lebrin F. et al. Nature Medicine 2010 (40)	Prospective study	oral thalidomide	Epistaxis severity	Self-reported severity of epistaxis improved in 5/7 (71%) of patients after treatment
Peng H. et al. Chin Med J 2015 (39)	Prospective study (N=5)	oral thalidomide	ESS	Mean ESS improved from pre-treatment (6.966 +/- 3.093) to post-treatment (1.799 +/- 0.627) significantly (p = 0.009)
Ichimura K. et al. Auris Nasus Larynx 2012	Prospective study (N=7)	nasal closure	Epistaxis cessation	57% had cessation of epistaxis
Lund V. et al. Rhinology 2017 (50)	Retrospective study (N=100)	nasal closure	Epistaxis cessation	50% of patients responded: 94% had cessation of
Richer S. et al. Am J Rhinol Allergy 2012 (48)	Retrospective study (N=43)	nasal closure	Epistaxis cessation	epistaxis 84% of patients responded: 83% had cessation of epistaxis
Wirsching K. et al. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2017	Prospective study (N=20)	temporary nasal occlusion with tape	ESS	ESS decreased from pre-treatment median of 3.59 to post-treatment (at 3 mo.) median of 2.43, significantly (p = 0.01).
Harvey R. et al. Am J Rhinol Allergy 2008	Retrospective study (N=33)	septodermoplasty	Frequency of KTP laser	Number of KTP laser treatments decreased from 1.8: (+/-1.99) pre-septdermoplaty to 0.78 (+/-0.85) post- septodermoplasty, significantly (p=0.012).

Ichimura K. et al. Auris Nasus Larynx 2006	Retrospective study (N=15)	septodermoplasty	Patient satisfaction	100% of patients satisfied with procedure
Lesnik G. et al. Am J Rhinol Allergy 2007 (47)	Retrospective study (N=9, severe)	septodermoplasty plus septectomy	Epistaxis frequency, QOL and blood transfusions	All patients had improved self-reported QOL. blood transfusions were reduced from baseline 22.61/year to 9.57/year post-procedure (p < 0.05).
Levine C. et al. Am J Rhinol Allergy 2008 (46)	Retrospective study (N=106)	septodermoplasty	QOL	62% of patients responded: 86% patients had improved QOL at mean 3.75 years
Rimmer J. et al. Laryngoscope 2014 (51)	Prospective study (N=7)	septodermoplasty	Epistaxis frequency&severity	100% of patients reported reduction in epistaxis frequency and severity

,	agnostic procedures in adults with definite HHT.		
Citation	Population	Tests	Diagnostic Yields
Canzonieri C. et al. Genetics in Medicine 2014 (55)	Definite HHT, consecutive adults, 22 (13 male), mean age 59yrs (+/-9)	Esophagogastroduodenoscopy Capsule endoscopy Colonoscopy	82% 91%. 10%
Chamberlain SM et al. Endoscopy 2007 (59)	Definite HHT, consecutive adults with suspected GI bleeding, 32/38 complete (18 male), mean age 54yrs (+/-13)	Capsule endoscopy	Any GI telangiectasia=81%. Gastric=28%. Proximal small bowel=55%. Mid small bowel=59% Distal small bowel=63%
Chetcuti Zammit S et al. Turk J Hematol 2018 (69)	Definite HHT, consecutive adults with suspected GI bleeding, 10 patients (6 male), mean age 63yrs (+/- 14)	Capsule endoscopy (N=7)	Proximal small bowel=86%. Mid small bowel=11% Distal small bowel=33%
Greve E. et al. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy 2010 (54)	Definite HHT, consecutive adults with anemia and suspected GI bleeding, 30 patients (10 male), mean age 58yrs (+/-11)	Capsule endoscopy	Gastric=47%. Small bowel=87%
van Tuyl SA et al. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy 2007	Definite HHT, consecutive adults with anemia, 25 patients (13 male), mean age 49yrs (+/-15)dy	Esophagogastroduodenoscopy Capsule endoscopy Colonoscopy	67% 76% 32%

Table 68: Lower Quality Uncontrolled Clinical Trials for Treatment of GI Bleeding in HHT. All trials were performed in adults (Age 18+) and included only patients with HHT diagnosis.	Outcome Results	Reduced from 80% pre-treatment to 40% on treatment (trend, p=0.07)	Mean hemoglobin imprvoed by 4g/dL or by 45% from the pre- treatment period to the maintenance period (P<0.001). DRBC requirements decreased by 92% from the pretreatment period to	Significant reduction in RBC transfusions (p=0.007) in the entire group	(GI bleeders not reported separately)	6/7 patients had >50% decrease, from baseline to during treatment
rials were performed in adults (Age 18+)	Outcome of Interest	Requirement for any GI-endoscopic intervention	Change in hemoglobin. Reduction in pRBCs	Requirement for any GI-endoscopic	intervention	Epistaxis duration
of GI Bleeding in HHT.All t	Intervention	oral tranexamic acid	IV bevaciuzmab	IV bevaciuzmab		oral pazopanib
led Clinical Trials for Treatment	Study Design	Retrospective study (N=29, with 10 with GI bleeding)	Retrospective study (N=13, of whom 10 have GI bleeding)	Retro	19 with GI bleeding)	Prospective study (N=7)
Table 6B: Lower Quality Uncontrol	Citation	Zaffar N. et al. Ann Hematol 2015 (22)	Al-Samkari H. et al. Journal of Internal Medicine 2019 (33)	lyer V. et al. Mayo Clin Proc 2018 (31)		Faughnan ME et al. Angiogenesis 2019 (45)

Table 7A: Diagnostic accuracy of te (18 years+) and reported measures			definite HHT. All studies were in adults ent, for liver VMs.
Citation	Population	Tests	Operating Characteristics
Buonamico et al. 2008	Definite HHT (N=153)	US Doppler "colour spots". Using Multiphase CT as reference standard	Sensitivity=95.% Specificity=68% Diagnostic accuracy = 92%,
Buscarini et al. 2008	Definite HHT (N=110)	US Doppler	Sensitivity=97-99% Specificity=97-99% Moderate inter-observer agreement (Kendall's coefficient of concordance=0.26) for severity
Cavel et al. 2016	Confirmed or suspected HHT (N=62)	US Doppler versus Multiphase CT	Significant disagreement with kappa=0.376 and a Bhapkar critical probability of P=0.0053. Staging of liver involvement was significantly more severe with CT in cases of disagreement.
Milot et al. 2008	Definite HHT (N=23) versus Controls (N=23)	MRI liver	Hepatic artery diameter: greater in patients with HHT than in the controls: 8.69+/-1.63 mm versus 5.17+/-0.44 mm, respectively (P<0.05). Vascular abnormalities: 91% HHT vs 0% Controls Ischemic cholangitis: 39% HHT vs 0% Controls Good interobserver agreement for vascular abnormalities (0.62) Moderate interobserver agreement (0.42) with biliary iscehmia.
Scardapane A. et al. Radiol med 2012 (137)	Definite HHT (N=52)	Multiphase CT versus 4D-MRA	CT Diagnostic Yield=69% MRA Diagnostic Yield=69% No significant difference accuracy Kappa=0.9 (good) for type of shunt
Wu J. et al. American Journal of Roentgenology 2006 (135)	Definite HHT and symptomatic liver VMs (N=24)	Multiphase CT	Diffuse telangiectasias: 100%. Dilated hepatic artery: 100%. Cardiomegaly: 48%. Hepatic arteriovenous shunt: 54%. Arterioportal shunt: 25%. Agreement between CT and clinical type: 54%

IV bevacizumab Decrease in cardiac output (from high-output state)
IV bevacizumab Maintenance of improved cardiac output with different (maintenance length bevacizumab intervals, after induction dosing) IV bevacizumah Clinical symptom improvement
Liver transplant Survival post-transplant
Liver transplant Survival post-transplant
Double Clinical effectiveness measures defined in guing difference of hepatic arteries

Table 8A: Diagnostic accuracy of testing for Pulmonary AVMs in children with reported measures of diagnostic accuracy or agreement for pulmonary AVMs.	of testing for Pulmonary A ic accuracy or agreement f	VMs in children with definite HH or pulmonary AVMs.	of testing for Pulmonary AVMs in children with definite HHT. All studies were in children (<18 years) with tic accuracy or agreement for pulmonary AVMs.
Citation	Population	Tests	Operating Characteristics
Soysal N. et al. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg2017	Definite HHT (N=59)	High-resolution CT chest	Yield: pulmonary AVMs 25%
Al-Saleh S. et al. Eur Respir 2012	Definite HHT (N=75)	TTCE screening chest (reference standard)	Intraobserver and interobserver agreement for interpreting TTCE results were excellent (kappa = 0.97 and 0.92, respectively) Sensitivity=100% , Specificity=82% PPV=39% , NPV=100%
Karam C. et al. Echocardiography 2015	Definite HHT (N=93)	TTCE screening chest (reference standard)	Yield: Pulmonary AVMs 52%. Sensitivity=100%, Specificity= 95%, PPV=96%, NPV=100%
Fernandopulle N. et al. The Journal of Pediatrics 2018 (158)	Possible HHT (N=293)	TTCE screening chest (reference standard)	TTCE positve: 26%. Bubble timing was significantly associated with need for treatment (p=0.008) Shunt intensity was significantly associated with presence of CT-detectable PAVMs (p<0.001) and need for intervention (p=0.005)
Westermann C. et al. American Journal of Medical Genetics 2003	Definite HHT (N=112)	Screening with pulse oximetry and chest X-ray	Yield: Pulmonary AVMs 22%, of whom 48% had had serious complication
Hosman A. et al. Pediatric Pulmonology. 2017 (155)	Definite HHT (N=175)	Screening with pulse oximetry and chest X-ray	Yield: Pulmonary AVMs 22%, of whom 85% required embolization

Page 1 of 1

Table 8B: Lower Quality Uncontrolled Clinical Trials for Treatment of patients with HHT diagnosis.	Trials for Treatment o	of Pulmonary AVMs and Brain V	/Ms in HHT .All trials were perfo	Pulmonary AVMs and Brain VMs in HHT.All trials were performed in children (<18 years) and included only
Citation	Study Design	Intervention	Outcome of Interest	Outcome Results
Faughnan ME et al. The Journal of Pediatrics 2004 (154)	Definite HHT and pulmonary AVMs(N=42)	Transcatheter embolization of pulmonary AVMs	Reperfusion rate and safety	Reperfusion in 15% of embolized pulmonary AVMs No serious or long-term procedural complications
Meybodi AT et al. Neurosurgery 2018 (174)	Definite HHT and brain VMs (N=6 children treated)	Surgical management of brain VMs	Neurlogical outcomes	5/6 children: improved or stable mRS post-op and 1/6 had temporarily worsended mRS worsened post-op
Krings T. et al. Neuroradiology 2005	Definite HHT and brain VMs (N=25 children treated, including 14 with brain AVFs)	Embolization	Clinical outcomes	87% patients had stabilization of the disease, ameliorating the symptoms or even complete resolution.

Topic Group	Total Search Results Screened (Title / Abstract)	Results Reviewed in Full Text	Total Number of Studies Included
Anemia / iron deficiency and anticoagulation	189	47	20 (lower quality)
Liver VMs	240	60	43 (lower quality)
GI bleeding	332	28	20 (lower quality)
Epistaxis	293	121	8 (RCTs) 89 (lower quality)
Pregnancy	92	31	6 (lower quality)
Pediatrics	430	162	35 (lower quality)
Total	1,576	449	221



ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent



Section 1. Identifying Inform	ation		
Identifying inform	ation		
1. Given Name (First Name) David	2. Surname (Last Name) Poetker		3. Date 23-July-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Autho Marie Faughnan	or's Name
5. Manuscript Title International Guidelines for the Diagnos	is and Management of H	lereditary Hemorrhagi	c Telangiectasia
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you kn M20-1443	ow it)		
Section 2. The Work Under Co			
The Work Under Co	onsideration for Publ	ication	
Did you or your institution at any time receivany aspect of the submitted work (including statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of intere	but not limited to grants, d		
If yes, please fill out the appropriate info Excess rows can be removed by pressing		ve more than one enti	ty press the "ADD" button to add a row.
Name of Institution/Company	Grant	on-Financial Support?	Comments
ureHHT			Travel costs and lodging for guidelines meeting.

Section 3.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? \Box Yes \checkmark No

 Section 4.
 Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

 Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work?
 Yes

 Yes
 Yes



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Poetker reports grants from CureHHT, during the conduct of the study; .

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1. 1. Given Name (First Mary	Identifying Infor t Name)	mation 2. Surname (Last Nat Porteous	me) 3. Date 29-July-2020
4. Are you the corre	sponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan
	lelines for Diagnosis ifying Number (if you l		Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia
Section 2	The Work Under (Consideration for P	ublication

Did you or your institution **at any time** receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?

✓ No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes 🗸 No

Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? 🗌 Yes 🖌 No



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Porteous has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (Fi Marco	irst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Post	3. Date 19-May-2020
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan
5. Manuscript Titl International Gu		nosis and Management of I	Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia
6. Manuscript Ide M20-1443	ntifying Number (if you	know it)	
Section 2.			
Section 2.	The Work Under	Consideration for Pub	lication
	submitted work (includi		m a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation,

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes 🖌 No

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes 🗸 No

Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work?	Yes	\checkmark	No



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Post has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Penalties: Funds are coming in to you eryour institution due to you



Section 1. Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (First Name) Ivan	2. Surname (Last Name) Radovanovic	3. Date 05-August-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name
5. Manuscript Title International Guidelines for the Diagn	osis and Management of H	lereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia"
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you M20-1443	know it)	
Section 2. The Work Under	Consideration for Publ	ication
	ng but not limited to grants, d	n a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for ata monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation,
Section 3. Relevant financia	l activities outside the	submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?		Yes	\checkmark	No
---	--	-----	--------------	----

Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, penuing of issued, broadly relevant to the work? res \mathbf{v} no	e any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to	the work?	Yes	🖌 No
--	--	-----------	-----	------



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



formation	
2. Surname (Last Name) Ratjen	3. Date 19-May-2020
Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan
agnosis and Management of	Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia
ou know it)	
2	Ratjen

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution **at any time** receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?

🖌 No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? \checkmark Yes

If yes, please fill out the appropriate information below.

Name of Entity	Grant?	Personal Fees ?	Non-Financial Support?	Other?	Comments	
Vertex	\checkmark	\checkmark			PI for grants, consulting or honorarium for CF related activities	
Novartis		\checkmark			I have acted as a consultant for this company on CF related activities	
Boehringer Ingelheim		\checkmark			I have acted as a consultant for this company on CF related activities	
Roche		\checkmark			I have acted as a consultant for this company on CF related activities	
Genentech		\checkmark			I have acted as a consultant for this company on CF related activities	



Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? Yes 🗸 No

Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Ratjen reports grants and personal fees from Vertex, personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees from Roche, personal fees from Genentech, personal fees from Vertex. personal fees from Proteostasis outside the submitted work.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your

patent



Section 1. Identifying Inform	nation	
1. Given Name (First Name) Paul	2. Surname (Last Name) Rochon	3. Date 7/26/20
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes X No	
5. Manuscript Title "International Guidelines for the	Diagnosis and Managem	ent of Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia"
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you kn M20-1443	ow it)	
Section 2. The Work Under C		
Did you or your institution at any time receiv	but not limited to grants, data more	party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for nitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation,
Section 3. Relevant financial	activities outside the subm	tted work.
of compensation) with entities as descr	ibed in the instructions. Use one port relationships that were pre	you have financial relationships (regardless of amount e line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by esent during the 36 months prior to publication. ADD
Section 4. Intellectual Proper	ty Patents & Copyrights	
Do you have any patents, whether plan	ned, pending or issued, broadly	relevant to the work? Yes XNo

SAVE



Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

X No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.



Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

i nave no relevant financial disclosure related to this project.

aluation and Feedback

Plea



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Penalties: Funds are coming in to you eryour institution due to you



Section 1. Identify	ing Information	
1. Given Name (First Name) Josanna	2. Surname (Last Name) Rodriguez-Lopez	3. Date 28-July-2020
4. Are you the corresponding	author? Yes No	
5. Manuscript Title International Guidelines for	the Diagnosis and Management of Hereditary He	emorrhagic Telangiectasia
6. Manuscript Identifying Nun M20-1443	nber (if you know it)	

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution **at any time** receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?

🖌 No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? \checkmark Yes

If yes, please fill out the appropriate information below.

Name of Entity	Grant?	Personal Fees ?	Non-Financial Support?	Other?	Comments	
Bayer	\checkmark	\checkmark			consulting, site PI for trial	
Actelion	\checkmark	\checkmark			consulting, site PI for trial	

Section 4.

Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? Yes 🗸 No



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Rodriguez-Lopez reports grants and personal fees from Bayer, grants and personal fees from Actelion, outside the submitted work; .

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (Fi Carlo	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Sabbà	3. Date 29-July-2020
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name M.E. Faughnan
5. Manuscript Title Second Internati		e Diagnosis and Manager	ment of HHT
6. Manuscript Idei M20-1443	ntifying Number (if you	know it)	
Section 2.	The Work Under	Consideration for Pub	lication

Did you or your institution **at any time** receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?

🖌 No

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?	Yes
---	-----

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?	Y	'es	\checkmark	No
---	---	-----	--------------	----

Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? $\; [$	Yes	🖌 No	
---	-----	------	--



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Sabbà has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1. Identifying Inform	nation				
1. Given Name (First Name) Marcelo	2. Surname (Last Name) Serra	3. Date 18-May-2020			
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🗸 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan			
5. Manuscript Title "International Guidelines for the Diagn	osis and Management of H	lereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia"			
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you kr M20-1443	now it)				
Section 2. The Work Under Co	onsideration for Public	cation			
Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?					
Are there any relevant conflicts of intere	est? Yes 🖌 No				
Section 3. Relevant financial	activities outside the s	ubmitted work.			
Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to publication . Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes $$ No					

Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? $\; [$	'	Yes	\checkmark	No
			•	



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Serra has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1. Identifying Inform	ation				
1. Given Name (First Name) Claire	2. Surname (Last Name) Shovlin	3. Date 22-July-2020			
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name			
5. Manuscript Title "International Guidelines for the Diagno	osis and Management of H	lereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia			
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you kn	ow it)				
Section 2. The Work Under Co					
The Work Under Co	onsideration for Public	tation			
Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)?					
Are there any relevant conflicts of intere	est? Yes 🖌 No				
Section 3. Relevant financial a	activities outside the s	submitted work.			
of compensation) with entities as descri	bed in the instructions. Us port relationships that we	ether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount se one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by re present during the 36 months prior to publication .			

Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? 🗌 Yes 🖌 No



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Shovlin has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	nation				
1. Given Name (F Dennis		2. Surname (Last Name) Sprecher	3. Date 22-July-2020			
4. Are you the co	rresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan			
5. Manuscript Title "International Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia"						
6. Manuscript Ide M20-1443	entifying Number (if you k	xnow it)				
Costion 2						
Section 2.	The Work Under (Consideration for Pub	lication			
Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?						

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?	Yes	\checkmark	No
---	-----	--------------	----

Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

bo you have any patents, whether planned, penaing of issued, broadly relevant to the work.	Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? $[$	Yes	No
--	--	-----	----



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

I am a Board member of the advocacy group, and have no financial conflicts with these guidelines

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

3.

5.

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically.

Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency LiThe patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent

1



Section 1. Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (First Name)	2. Surname (Last Name)	3. Date
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes No	
5. Manuscript Title		
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you	know it)	
Section 2. The Work Under	Consideration for Publication	
Did you or your institution at any time		
Are there any relevant conflicts of inte	erest? Yes No	
Contion 2		
Section 3. Relevant financia	nl activities outside the submitted work.	
of compensation) with entities as des	s in the table to indicate whether you have financi cribed in the instructions. Use one line for each en eport relationships that were present during the erest? Yes No	tity; add as many lines as you need by
Section 4. Intellectual Prop	erty Patents & Copyrights	
Do you have any patents, whether pla	nned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the v	vork? Yes No



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://ww.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Povalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to you



Section 1			
Section 1. Identifying Inform	nation		
1. Given Name (First Name) Kevin	2. Surname (Last Name) Whitehead		3. Date 22-July-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Na Marie Faughnan	me
5. Manuscript Title International Guidelines for the Diagno	osis and Management of F	lereditary Hemorrhagic Tela	ingiectasia
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you k M20-1443	now it)		
Section 2. The Work Under C	Consideration for Publ	ication	
Did you or your institution at any time rect any aspect of the submitted work (includin statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of inter	g but not limited to grants, d		

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?		Yes	\checkmark	No
---	--	-----	--------------	----

Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work?		Yes	N/	0
	1 1			-



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Whitehead has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (Fi Ingrid	irst Name)	2. Surname (Last Nam WInship	e) 3. Date 19-May-2020
4. Are you the co	rresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Marie Faughnan
5. Manuscript Titl International Gu		oosis and Management o	of Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia
6. Manuscript Ide M20-1443	ntifying Number (if you	know it)	
Section 2.			
Section 2.	The Work Under	Consideration for Pu	blication
	-		rom a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for s, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation,

statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? ✓ Yes No

If yes, please fill out the appropriate information below. If you have more than one entity press the "ADD" button to add a row
Excess rows can be removed by pressing the "X" button.

Name of Institution/Company	Grant?	Personal Fees?	Non-Financial Support <mark>?</mark>	Other?	Comments	
Matty's Soldiers (HHT Support Group)	\checkmark				Funding toward study	

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to publication.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes

✓ No

Section 4. **Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights**

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work? ✓ No Yes



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. WInship reports grants from Matty's Soldiers (HHT Support Group), during the conduct of the study; .

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Povalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to you



Continue 1			
Section 1. Identifying Inform	nation		
1. Given Name (First Name) Meir	2. Surname (Last Name) Mei-Zahav		3. Date 23-July-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Na Marie Faughnan	me
5. Manuscript Title International Guidelines for the Diagno	osis and Management of F	Hereditary Hemorrhagic Tela	ngiectasia
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you k M20-1443	now it)		
Section 2. The Work Under C	Consideration for Publ	ication	
Did you or your institution at any time rec any aspect of the submitted work (includin statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of inter	g but not limited to grants, d		

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were **present during the 36 months prior to publication**.

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?		Yes	\checkmark	No
---	--	-----	--------------	----

Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work?	Yes	\checkmark	No



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

I have no disclosures

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

5. Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Penalties: Funds are coming in to you eryour institution due to you



Section 1.		
Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (First Name) ROBERTO	2. Surname (Last Name) ZARRABEITIA	3. Date 19-May-2020
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name MARIE FAUGHNAN
5. Manuscript Title INTERNATIONAL GUIDELINES FOR THE	DIAGNOSIS AND MANAG	EMENT OF HEREDITARY HEMORRHAGIC TELANGIECTASIA
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you l M20-1443	know it)	
Section 2. The Work Under (Consideration for Publ	ication
		n a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for lata monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation,
Are there any relevant conflicts of inte	rest? Yes 🖌 No	
Section 3. Relevant financia	l activities outside the	submitted work.
of compensation) with entities as desc	ribed in the instructions. U	hether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount Jse one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by ere present during the 36 months prior to publication .

Section 4. Intellectual Property -- Patents & Copyrights

Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?

Do you have any patents, whether planned, pending or issued, broadly relevant to the work?		Yes	١o
	1 1		

🖌 No

Yes



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. ZARRABEITIA has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback