

Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

1. Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party — that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1. Identifying Info	rmation	
1. Given Name (First Name) Arlene	2. Surname (Last Name) Ash	3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 28-December-2012
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes Vo	Corresponding Author's Name Chyke Doubeni
5. Manuscript Title Screening colonoscopy and risk of in control study	ncident late-stage colorectal	cancer diagnosis in average-risk adults: a nested case-
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if yo M12-1665	u know it)	

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

The Work Under Consideration for Publication							
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**		
1. Grant			✓	NIH-1RC2 CA 148576		×	
						ADD	
3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes			\checkmark	NIH-1RC2 CA 148576		×	
						ADD	

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

^{**} Use this section to provide any needed explanation.



A	
Section 3.	Dolovant financial activ

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work							
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments		
2. Consultancy		✓		Verisk Health	I consult ~30 hours per month on developing and testing risk adjustment models	×	
						ADD	
5. Grants/grants pending			✓	NIH, Commonwealth Foundation	> 50% of my salary comes from grant funding	×	
						ADD	

Section 4.	Other relationships
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of encing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
✓ No other rela	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
Yes, the follow	wing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
	anuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements rnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
	Show All Table Rows SAVE

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts.

^{**} For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line.



Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

1. Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party — that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	nation	
1. Given Name (Fi Kenneth	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Adams	3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 01-January-2013
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Name Chyke Doubeni
5. Manuscript Title Screening colon control study		dent late-stage colorectal	cancer diagnosis in average-risk adults: a nested case-
6. Manuscript Ide M12-1665	ntifying Number (if you l	know it)	

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

The Work Under Consideration for Publication						
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
1. Grant			✓	National Institutes of Health	Research funding	×
						ADD
2. Consulting fee or honorarium	✓					×
						ADD
3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes	✓					×
						ADD
 Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like 	√					×
						ADD
5. Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	✓					×
						ADD



The Work Under Consideration for Publication						
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support	✓					×
						ADD
7. Other	✓					×
						ADD

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work							
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments		
1. Board membership	✓					×	
						ADD	
2. Consultancy	✓					×	
						ADD	
3. Employment		✓		HealthPartners Institute for Education and Research	salary	×	
3. Employment	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
4. Expert testimony	✓					×	
						ADD	
5. Grants/grants pending			\checkmark	NIH, CDC	All of my research is federally supported	×	

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

^{**} Use this section to provide any needed explanation.



Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work						
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
						ADD
Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for manuscript preparation	✓					×
						ADD
Patents (planned, pending or issued)	✓					×
						ADD
9. Royalties	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for development of educational presentations	✓					×
						ADD
11. Stock/stock options	✓					×
						ADD
12. Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed**	√					×
						ADD
Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	✓					×
						ADD

Section 4. Other relationships

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts.

^{**} For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line.



At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Hide All Table Rows Checked 'No'

SAVE

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1. Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (First Name) Jessica	2. Surname (Last Name) Chubak	3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 28-December-2012
4. Are you the corresponding author?	☐ Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Name Chyke Doubeni
5. Manuscript Title Screening colonoscopy and risk of inc control study	ident late-stage colorectal	cancer diagnosis in average-risk adults: a nested case-
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you M12-1665	know it)	

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

The Work Under Consideration for Publication							
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**		
1. Grant			✓			×	
						ADD	
3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes			✓			×	
						ADD	

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

^{**} Use this section to provide any needed explanation.



_						-
S	0	7	ш	0	n	224
	ш.	ч.	91	w		_

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work										
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments					
3. Employment			✓	Group Health	I am an employee of one of the HMO study sites	×				
						ADD				
5. Grants/grants pending			✓		I am involved in other federal grants of CRC screening	×				
						ADD				

Section 4.	Other relationships
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of ncing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
✓ No other rela	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
Yes, the follow	ving relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
	nuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. rnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
	Show All Table Rows SAVE

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts.

^{**} For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line.



Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1. Identifying Infor	nation	
Given Name (First Name) Douglas	2. Surname (Last Name) Corley	3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 07-January-2013
4. Are you the corresponding author?		orresponding Author's Name Dubeni, Chyke
5. Manuscript Title "Screening colonoscopy and risk of incontrol study."	cident late-stage colorectal cand	cer diagnosis in average-risk adults: a nested case-
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you I M12-1665	(now it)	

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

The Work Under Consideration for Publication								
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**			
1. Grant			✓	National Cancer Institute		×		
						ADD		
2. Consulting fee or honorarium	✓					×		
						ADD		
3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes	✓					×		
						ADD		
 Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like 	✓					×		
						ADD		
5. Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	✓					×		
						ADD		



The Work Under Consideration for Publication										
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**					
Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support	√					×				
						ADD				
7. Other	✓					×				
						ADD				

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work									
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments				
1. Board membership	✓					×			
						ADD			
2. Consultancy	✓					×			
						ADD			
3. Employment	✓					×			
						ADD			
4. Expert testimony	✓					×			
						ADD			
5. Grants/grants pending	✓					×			
						ADD			
Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	✓					×			

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

^{**} Use this section to provide any needed explanation.



Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work								
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments			
						ADD		
Payment for manuscript preparation	✓					×		
						ADD		
Patents (planned, pending or issued)	✓					×		
						ADD		
9. Royalties	✓					×		
						ADD		
Payment for development of educational presentations	✓					×		
						ADD		
11. Stock/stock options	✓					×		
						ADD		
12. Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed**		✓		American Gastroenterological Association, International Society for Diseases of the Esophagus		×		
						ADD		
Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	✓					×		
						ADD		

Section 4. Other relationships

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts.

^{**} For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line.



At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Hide All Table Rows Checked 'No'

SAVE

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (Fi Sheila	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Weinmann	3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 07-January-2013
4. Are you the corresponding author?		☐ Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Name Chyke Doubeni
5. Manuscript Title Screening colon control study		ident late-stage colorectal	cancer diagnosis in average-risk adults: a nested case-
6. Manuscript Ide M12-1665	ntifying Number (if you l	know it)	

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

The Work Under Consideration f	or Publ	lication				
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
1. Grant			\checkmark	National Cancer Institute UC2CA148576		×
1. Grant			V	National Institutes of Health K01-CA127118, K01-CA127118-S1, U01-CA151736		×
						ADD
2. Consulting fee or honorarium	\checkmark					×
						ADD
3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes			\checkmark	National Cancer Institute UC2CA148576		×
						ADD
4. Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript			\checkmark	National Cancer Institute UC2CA148576		×



The Work Under Consideration for Publication										
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**					
						ADD				
Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support	✓					×				
						ADD				
7. Other	\checkmark					×				
						ADD				

Section 3.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work									
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments				
1. Board membership	✓					×			
						ADD			
2. Consultancy	\checkmark					×			
						ADD			
3. Employment	\checkmark					×			
						ADD			
4. Expert testimony	\checkmark					×			
						ADD			
5. Grants/grants pending	\checkmark					×			
						ADD			

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

^{**} Use this section to provide any needed explanation.



Relevant financial activities outs	ide the	submit	ted work			
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for manuscript preparation	✓					×
						ADD
Patents (planned, pending or issued)	✓					×
						ADD
9. Royalties	✓					X
						ADD
10. Payment for development of educational presentations	✓					×
						ADD
11. Stock/stock options	✓					×
						ADD
 Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed** 	✓					×
						ADD
Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	✓					×
* This means money that your institution		fau	Sa unha			ADD

Section 4. Other relationships

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts.

^{**} For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line.



At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Hide All Table Rows Checked 'No'

SAVE

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.

NIH CONFLICT OF INTEREST RULES: INFORMATION FOR REVIEWERS OF NIH APPLICATIONS AND R&D CONTRACT PROPOSALS

The NIH peer review system relies on the professionalism of each reviewer to identify any conflict of interest (COI) or apparent COI that may affect or appear to affect the integrity of the NIH peer review process. The NIH COI rules for initial peer review are derived from federal regulations governing the Scientific Peer Review of Research Grant Applications and Research and Development Contract Projects (42 CFR Part 52h at http://grants.nih.gov/grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/fed_reg_peer_rev_20040115.pdf), and NIH policy Guide Notice (NOT-OD-11-120 at http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-11-120.html). Federal employees participating in peer review are subject to a comprehensive set of statutes and regulations governing their conduct in addition to NIH policy regarding their selection and use in the peer review process.

Non-federal reviewers - You are personally responsible for:

- identifying and certifying on the pre-meeting and post-meeting Conflict of Interest Certification Forms that you:
 - have identified any application or proposal with which you have a COI or appearance of COI (see "Managing COI or Appearance of COI", below).
 - recused yourself from the review of any application or proposal pending review in the Scientific Review Group where your participation constitutes a real or apparent COI. In addition, the NIH may determine that a particular situation involves a COI and require that the potential reviewer not be involved in the review of the application(s) or proposal(s) in question.
- certifying that you have read the Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Rules and will maintain the confidentiality of the peer review process (see the Confidentiality Agreement).

Federal reviewers - You must:

- certify on the pre-meeting and post-meeting Conflict of Interest Certification Forms that you have received information regarding the COI rules applicable to Federal employees and will/did recuse yourself from any evaluation in relation to which you have/had an actual or apparent conflict of interest, or as otherwise required by NIH policy regarding the selection and use of federal employee reviewers.
- certify that you have read the Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Rules and will maintain the confidentiality of the peer review process (see the Confidentiality Agreement).

Managing COI or Appearance of COI

1. Individuals Participating with Major Professional Roles

An individual considered to be participating in a project with a major professional role contributes to the scientific development or execution of the project in a substantive, measureable way, whether or not compensation is requested. Even where a Federal employee's participation in the review would not violate government ethics rules, if s/he is identified as someone who will participate in a project with a major professional role, s/he may not serve as a fully participating member of the SRG where the application in question is reviewed (i.e., "out of the SRG" or "may not serve"). In addition, an individual with a primary appointment in the same component of a multi-component organization as an individual listed on the application with a major professional role may not participate in the review of that application ("out of the room" or "may not review").

Individuals participating with major professional roles include:

- the Program Director/Principal Investigator (PD/PI) or one of multiple PDs/PIs;
- individuals listed on a single-project application as Senior/Key Personnel, Other Significant Contributors, collaborators{1}, and consultants{1};
- individuals with leadership roles in multi-site or multi-component applications or projects, such as Project/Site/Core Directors, Senior/Key Personnel, Other Significant Contributors, collaborators{1}, and consultants{1} at the level of the overall application. Senior/Key Personnel, Other Significant Contributors, collaborators and consultants listed under individual

^{1} A consultant or collaborator who has received or could receive a direct financial benefit of any amount deriving from an application under review, or has received or could receive a financial benefit from the applicant institution or PD/PI that in the aggregate exceeds \$10,000/year, is considered to be participating with a major professional role.

NIH/OER/OEP (Approved 9/20/2011 for implementation by January 25, 2012)

components of multi-site or multi-component applications (e.g., research projects or cores) are not considered to be participating with leadership roles;

- a sponsor or mentor for an applicant or candidate whose application for a fellowship or career development award is undergoing review;
- the originators and planning group members for a conference/meeting grant application; and
- members of an Advisory Board (AB) for a single project or single institution program, for a multi-component consortium or network, or for individual(s) with a leadership role in a multi-site or multi-component project. The SRO will determine whether the level of participation by the AB member is sufficient to eliminate his/her participation on the SRG.

2. Professional Relationships

An SRG member, including a Federal employee (where participation in the review would not violate government ethics rules), may serve on the SRG but may not participate in the review of an application ("out of the room"), in the absence of a waiver granted by the DDER if the reviewer:

- within the preceding three years, has collaborated with, co-authored a publication(s){2} with, and/or mentored or trained the PD/PI, one of multiple PDs/PIs, or an individual named on the application as participating with a major professional role;
- is in collaboration, is negotiating collaboration, or is preparing an application(s) or publication(s) with the PD/PI, with one of multiple PDs/PIs, or with an individual named in the application as participating with a major professional role;
- writes a reference letter for an applicant or candidate to accompany a fellowship or career award application and that application is the one in question (however, other SRG members who are from the SRG member's institution, NIH IC, or agency may review the application);
- writes a letter of general support or enthusiasm for the application in question but plays no substantive role in the proposed work:
- is named as a speaker in a conference/meeting grant application;
- participates with a leadership role in another multi-site or multi-component application or project (Application "X") and the PD/PI or one of multiple PDs/PIs on the application or project in the SRG (Application "Y") also participates with a leadership role in the same multi-site or multi-component application or project (Application "X");
- serves as a member of an AB for a component within a multi-component consortium or network, or another project by the same applicant(s), unless the SRO determines that the level of participation by the AB member is sufficiently minor as to allow his/her participation in the review of the application:
- serves as a member of a DSMB for the project or investigator(s), unless the SRO determines that the level of participation by the DSMB member is sufficiently minor as to allow his/her participation in the review of the application; or
- has a primary professional appointment in the same organizational component/school of a multi-component academic institution, hospital, health center, or research institute as that of a named individual listed on the application or project as participating with a major professional role. Situations involving a secondary appointment of a named individual and an SRG member at the same component of a multi-component academic institution, hospital, health center or research institute will be assessed by the SRO on a case-by-case basis for COI.

3. Applicants to an RFA

Unless a deviation from a limitation set forth in this Section B is granted by the DDER, an investigator who participates with a major professional role on an application submitted in response to an RFA, or a Federal employee subject to one of the above-stated limitations in relation to an application submitted in response to an RFA, may not serve as a reviewer of that application or other applications submitted in response to the same RFA.

4. SRG Membership

An SRG that meets regularly may not be objective as a group about evaluating the work of one of its members. In such a case, a member's application or an application that lists the member as participating with a major professional role will be reviewed by another qualified SRG to ensure a competent and objective review. In addition, an application that is from an individual who serves regularly on a recurring SEP, or lists such an individual as participating with a major professional role, may create an appearance of COI for review by that SEP. The SRO will monitor such situations for potential COI.

5. Exceptions

Multi-component Institutions. For non-Federal reviewers, the DDER has determined that separate organizational components/schools of multi-component academic institutions, hospitals, health centers, and research institutions, as well as

^{2} See Discussion 5., "Individuals Participating with Minor Professional Roles", concerning co-authorship of a review article, position paper, or professional group or conference report.

NIH/OER/OEP (Approved 9/20/2011 for implementation by January 25, 2012)

different NIH ICs{3} and Federal agencies, are sufficiently independent that an employee of one component serving on an SRG can review an application from another component, if the reviewer has no responsibilities at the institution that would significantly affect the other component and any other real or apparent COI is resolved. For example:

- the separate campuses of the California State system are considered separate components in the same way that the separate campuses of the University of California system are so noted in 42 CFR Part 52h (http://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/fed_reg_peer_rev_20040115.pdf);
- the separate affiliates of the Harvard system are considered separate components;
- the Johns Hopkins Bayview Medical Center and the School of Arts and Sciences, Homewood Campus, are considered separate components:
- the Johns Hopkins Schools of Arts and Sciences and of Engineering, Homewood Campus, are considered separate components; but
- the Departments of Biology and Chemistry within the School of Arts and Sciences of the same academic institution are not considered separate components

A Federal employee who has, under government ethics rules, a covered relationship with or financial interest in an applicant institution may not participate in the review of an application even if the institution is a multi-component institution.

Applicant Institution. The DDER has determined that an SRG member who is named in an application but has no other affiliation with the applicant institution may participate in the review of other applications from that applicant institution, provided that any other real or apparent COI described in Part B of this announcement is resolved. Federal reviewers must also ensure any real or apparent COI under government ethics rules is resolved by appropriate officials consistent with agency delegations of authority.

Individuals Participating with Minor Professional Roles. An individual listed in an application as participating with a minor professional role does not contribute to the scientific development or execution of the project in a substantive, measureable way and may review the application provided that any other real or apparent COI described in Part B of this announcement is resolved. Federal reviewers also must ensure that any real or apparent COI under government ethics rules is resolved by appropriate officials consistent with agency delegations of authority. Further, an SRG member from the same institution as that of an individual listed with a minor professional role may review the application, provided that any other real or apparent COI is resolved. Similarly, unless there is another unresolved real or apparent COI, an SRG member may review an application if s/he:

- supplies a resource or service to the applicant, and that resource or service is freely available to anyone in the scientific community:
- donates data, specimens or other resources to a central repository or consortium effort to which an individual(s) named on the application also donates data, specimens or other resources;
- is from the institution of the applicant, originators, planning group members, or proposed speakers for/on a conference/meeting grant application;
- co-authored a review article, position paper, professional group or conference report with the PD/PI, one of multiple PDs/PIs, or an individual listed on the application as participating with either a major or minor role;
- is from an institution that is part of a multicenter network (e.g., accrual sites for a multi-center clinical trial) or consortium (e.g., Genome Wide Association Study) that includes the applicant institution, where the SRG member is not involved in the work of the network or consortium;
- is in collaboration with an individual named in the application as a collaborator of or consultant for a PD/PI, as a collaborator of or consultant for one of multiple PDs/PIs, or as a collaborator or consultant of other individuals named in the application as participating with a major professional role{1};
- participates with a minor professional role in a multi-site or multi-component application or project (Application "X") and the PD/PI or one of multiple PDs/PIs on an application or project in the SRG (Application "Y") also participates with a minor role in the other multi-site or multi-component application or project (Application "X"). Key Personnel listed on individual components of multi-site or multi-component applications are considered to participate with a minor role in that application; or
- has a primary appointment in the same organizational component/school of a multi-component academic institution, hospital, health center, or research institute as an individual listed in an application as participating with a minor professional role.

Mail Reviewers. COI or the appearance of COI for Mail Reviewers is managed only for those applications that they have been asked to evaluate, not for all applications pending review in the SRG.

Howard Hughes Medical Institute (HHMI). HHMI peer reviewers serving on SRGs may review applications from other HHMI investigators provided they do not work at the same component/school of a multi-component academic institution and no other COI or appearance of COI exists.

REV6241J

^{3} Members of the NIH Intramural Research Program (IRP) may not participate in the review of an application involving another member of the NIH IRP participating with a major professional role in an application for an allocation from the NIH Common Fund, regardless of IC affiliation, unless a deviation is granted by the DDER.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (Fi Joe	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Webster	3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 02-January-2013
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Name Chyke Doubeni, M.D.
5. Manuscript Title Screening colon control study.		ident late-stage colorectal	cancer diagnosis in average-risk adults: a nested case-
6. Manuscript Ide M12-1665	ntifying Number (if you l	know it)	

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

The Work Under Consideration	or Pub	lication				
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
1. Grant	✓					×
						ADD
2. Consulting fee or honorarium	✓					×
						ADD
Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes	✓					×
						ADD
 Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like 	V					×
						ADD
Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	✓					×
						ADD
Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support	✓					×



The Work Under Consideration for Publication									
Ту	/pe	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**			
							ADD		
7. Other		\checkmark					×		
							ADD		

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

Relevant financial activities out	side the	submitt	ted work			
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
1. Board membership	✓					×
						ADD
2. Consultancy	√					X
						ADD
3. Employment	✓					X
						ADD
4. Expert testimony	✓					X
						ADD
5. Grants/grants pending	✓					X
						ADD
Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for manuscript preparation	✓					×

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

^{**} Use this section to provide any needed explanation.



	• 1 41	1				
Relevant financial activities outs	side the					
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
						ADD
Patents (planned, pending or issued)	✓					×
						ADD
9. Royalties	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for development of educational presentations	✓					×
						ADD
11. Stock/stock options	✓					×
						ADD
 Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed** 	✓					×
						ADD
Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	\checkmark					×
						ADD
* This means money that your institution ** For example, if you report a consultanc				ravel related to that consul	tancy on this line.	

Section 4.	Other relationships
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of ncing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
✓ No other rela	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
Yes, the follow	wing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
	anuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements.

Hide All Table Rows Checked 'No'

SAVE



Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1.	Identifying Inforr	mation	
1. Given Name (Fi Robert	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Greenlee	3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 02-January-2013
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Name Chyke Doubeni
5. Manuscript Title Screening colon control study		dent late-stage colorectal	cancer diagnosis in average-risk adults: a nested case-
6. Manuscript Ide M12-1665	ntifying Number (if you k	now it)	

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

The Work Under Consideration f	or Publ	ication				
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
1. Grant			/	Group Health Research Institute (subaward of study funds originating from NIH/ NCI)		×
						ADD
2. Consulting fee or honorarium	✓					×
						ADD
3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes	✓				only through study grant listed above.	×
						ADD
4. Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like	✓				only through study grant listed above	×
						ADD
5. Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	✓					×



The Work Under Consideration for Publication										
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**					
						ADD				
Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support	✓					×				
						ADD				
7. Other	\checkmark					×				
						ADD				

Section 3.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work								
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments			
1. Board membership	√					×		
						ADD		
2. Consultancy	✓					×		
						ADD		
3. Employment	✓					×		
						ADD		
4. Expert testimony	✓					×		
						ADD		
5. Grants/grants pending	✓					×		
						ADD		

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

^{**} Use this section to provide any needed explanation.



Relevant financial activities outs	ide the	submit	ted work			
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
6. Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for manuscript preparation	√					×
						ADD
Patents (planned, pending or issued)	✓					×
						ADD
9. Royalties	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for development of educational presentations	\checkmark					×
						ADD
11. Stock/stock options	✓					X
						ADD
 Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed** 	√					×
						ADD
Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	✓					×
						ADD
* This means money that your institution	received	for vour ef	forts.			

Section 4. Other relationships

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

^{**} For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line.



At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Hide All Table Rows Checked 'No'

SAVE

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1. Identifying Infor	mation		
1. Given Name (First Name) noel	2. Surname (Last Name) weiss		ective Date (07-August-2008) nuary-2013
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🗸 No	Corresponding Author's Name doubeni	
5. Manuscript Title screening colonoscopy			
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you	know it)		

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

The Work Under Consideration (or Publ	ication				
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
1. Grant			✓	NCI		×
						ADD
2. Consulting fee or honorarium	✓					×
						ADD
Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes	✓					×
						ADD
 Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like 	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	✓					×
						ADD
Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support	✓					×



The Work Under Consideration for Publication							
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**		
						ADD	
7. Other	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work						
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
1. Board membership	✓					×
						ADD
2. Consultancy	✓					×
						ADD
3. Employment	✓					×
						ADD
4. Expert testimony	✓					×
						ADD
5. Grants/grants pending	√					×
						ADD
Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for manuscript preparation	✓					×

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

^{**} Use this section to provide any needed explanation.



Relevant financial activities out	side the	submitt	ted work			
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
						ADD
Patents (planned, pending or issued)	✓					×
						ADD
9. Royalties	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for development of educational presentations	✓					×
						ADD
11. Stock/stock options	✓					×
						ADD
 Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed** 	√					×
						ADD
Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	✓					×
* This means money that your institution ** For example, if you report a consultance				ravel related to that consult	tancy on this line.	ADD

Section 4.	Other relationships
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of encing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest wing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
	anuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements rnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Hide All Table Rows Checked 'No'

SAVE



Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

1. Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Buist 1



Section 1. Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (First Name) Diana	2. Surname (Last Name) Buist	3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 28-December-2012
4. Are you the corresponding author?	☐ Yes 🗸 No	Corresponding Author's Name C Doubeni
5. Manuscript Title Screening colonoscopy and risk of inc control study	ident late-stage colorectal	cancer diagnosis in average-risk adults: a nested case-
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you	know it)	

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

The Work Under Consideration for Publication						
Туре	No		Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
1. Grant			✓	NIH		×
						ADD

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work

Buist 2

^{**} Use this section to provide any needed explanation.



Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work						
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts.

Section 4.	Other relationships
	r relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of uencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
	elationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest lowing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
	manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statement ournals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
	Show All Table Rows SAVE

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.

Buist 3

^{**} For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

1. Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation		
1. Given Name (Fi Aimee	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Kroll-Desrosiers		3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 02-January-2013
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	☐ Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Na Chyke A. Doubeni, MD	me
5. Manuscript Title Screening colon control study.		ident late-stage colorectal	cancer diagnosis in average	e-risk adults: a nested case-
6. Manuscript Ide M12-1665	ntifying Number (if you l	know it)		

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

The Work Under Consideration	or Pub	lication				
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
1. Grant	✓					×
						ADD
2. Consulting fee or honorarium	✓					×
						ADD
Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes	✓					×
						ADD
 Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like 	V					×
						ADD
Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	✓					×
						ADD
Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support	✓					×



The Work Under Consideration for Publication							
	Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
							ADD
7. Other		✓					×
							ADD

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

Relevant financial activities out	side the	submit	ted work			
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
1. Board membership	✓					×
						ADD
2. Consultancy	✓					X
						ADD
3. Employment	✓					×
						ADD
4. Expert testimony	✓					×
						ADD
5. Grants/grants pending	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for manuscript preparation	✓					×

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

^{**} Use this section to provide any needed explanation.



Relevant financial activities out	side the	submit	ted work			
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
						ADD
Patents (planned, pending or issued)	✓					×
						ADD
9. Royalties	\checkmark					×
						ADD
Payment for development of educational presentations	✓					×
						ADD
11. Stock/stock options	✓					×
						ADD
12. Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed**	✓					×
						ADD
13. Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	✓					×
						ADD
* This means money that your institution ** For example, if you report a consultand				ravel related to that consult	ancy on this line.	
Section 4. Other relationsh	nips					
Are there other relationships or activ	ities that	readers c	ould perceive	to have influenced, or th	at give the appearance o	of

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work? No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Hide All Table Rows Checked 'No'

SAVE



Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

1. Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (Fi Aruna	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Kamineni	3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 02-January-2013
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Name Chyke Doubeni
5. Manuscript Title Screening colon control study		ident late-stage colorectal	cancer diagnosis in average-risk adults: a nested case-
6. Manuscript Ide	ntifying Number (if you	know it)	

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

The Work Under Consideration f	for Pub	lication				
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
1. Grant			\checkmark	National Cancer Institute		×
						ADD
2. Consulting fee or honorarium	✓					×
						ADD
3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes			\checkmark	National Cancer Institute		×
						ADD
4. Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like	✓					×
						ADD
5. Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	\checkmark					×
						ADD



The Work Under Consideration for Publication							
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**		
Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support	✓					×	
						ADD	
7. Other	✓					×	
						ADD	

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work								
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments			
1. Board membership	✓					×		
						ADD		
2. Consultancy	✓					×		
						ADD		
3. Employment	✓					×		
						ADD		
4. Expert testimony	✓					×		
						ADD		
5. Grants/grants pending	✓					×		
						ADD		
Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	✓					×		

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

^{**} Use this section to provide any needed explanation.



Relevant financial activities outs	ide the	submit	ted work			
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
						ADD
Payment for manuscript preparation	√					×
						ADD
Patents (planned, pending or issued)	✓					×
						ADD
9. Royalties	✓					×
						ADD
10. Payment for development of educational presentations	✓					×
						ADD
11. Stock/stock options	✓					×
						ADD
12. Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed**	√					×
						ADD
Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	✓					×
						ADD
* This means money that your institution ** For example, if you report a consultance				ravel related to that consul	tancy on this line.	

Section 4. Other relationships

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

 \checkmark No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):



At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Hide All Table Rows Checked 'No'

SAVE

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

1. Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (Fi Robert	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Fletcher	3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 01-April-2013
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Name Chyke Doubeni
5. Manuscript Title Screening colon control study		ident late-stage colorectal	cancer diagnosis in average-risk adults: a nested case-
6. Manuscript Ide M12-1665	ntifying Number (if you l	know it)	

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

The Work Under Consideration f	or Publ	ication				
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
1. Grant	✓					×
						ADD
2. Consulting fee or honorarium		✓		SEARCH	An NCI funded study	×
						ADD
3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes		✓		SEARCH	Reimbursed for research meetings	×
						ADD
4. Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	✓					×
						ADD
Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support	✓					×



The Work Under Consideration for Publication							
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**		
						ADD	
7. Other	✓					×	
						ADD	

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

Relevant financial activities out	side the	submitt	ted work			
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
1. Board membership	✓					×
						ADD
2. Consultancy	√					X
						ADD
3. Employment	✓					X
						ADD
4. Expert testimony	✓					X
						ADD
5. Grants/grants pending	✓					X
						ADD
Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for manuscript preparation	✓					×

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

^{**} Use this section to provide any needed explanation.



Relevant financial activities outs	ide the	submitt	ted work			
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
						ADD
Patents (planned, pending or issued)	✓					×
						ADD
9. Royalties		√		UpToDate	Electronic textbook	×
						ADD
Payment for development of educational presentations	✓					×
						ADD
11. Stock/stock options	\checkmark					×
						ADD
12. Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed**		✓		Canadian Medical Association Journal	Oversight Committee	×
						ADD
13. Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	✓					×
						ADD
* This means money that your institution ** For example, if you report a consultanc				ravel related to that consul	tancy on this line.	
Section 4. Other relationsh	·					

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

√ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

Other relationships

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Hide All Table Rows Checked 'No'

SAVE



Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation		
1. Given Name (Fi Carolyn	irst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Rutter		3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 02-January-2013
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Nan Chyke A. Doubeni	ne
5. Manuscript Title Screening colon control study		ident late-stage colorectal (cancer diagnosis in average-	risk adults: a nested case-
6. Manuscript Ide	ntifying Number (if you l	know it)	_	

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

The Work Under Consideration f	or Publ	ication				
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
1. Grant			✓	NCI		×
						ADD
2. Consulting fee or honorarium	✓					×
						ADD
Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes	✓					×
						ADD
 Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like 	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	✓					×
						ADD
Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support	✓					×



The Work Under Consideration for Publication								
Ту	pe No			Name of Entity	Comments**			
		'				ADD		
7. Other	✓					×		
						ADD		

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work							
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments		
1. Board membership	✓					×	
						ADD	
2. Consultancy	√					×	
						ADD	
3. Employment	✓					×	
						ADD	
4. Expert testimony	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
5. Grants/grants pending			√	NCI	U54-CA-163261	×	
						ADD	
Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	\checkmark					×	
						ADD	
Payment for manuscript preparation	✓					×	

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

^{**} Use this section to provide any needed explanation.



Relevant financial activities outs	ide the	submitt	ted work			
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
						ADD
Patents (planned, pending or issued)	✓					×
						ADD
9. Royalties	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for development of educational presentations	✓					×
						ADD
11. Stock/stock options	✓					×
						ADD
 Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed** 	√					×
						ADD
Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	✓					×
* This means money that your institution ** For example, if you report a consultance				ravel related to that consult	tancy on this line.	ADD

Section 4.	Other relationships
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of ncing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest wing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
	anuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. rnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Hide All Table Rows Checked 'No'

SAVE



Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

1. Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation		
1. Given Name (Fi Andrew	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Williams	3. Effective Date 02-January-2013	
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Name Chyke Doubeni	
5. Manuscript Title Screening colon control study		ident late-stage colorectal	cancer diagnosis in average-risk adults: a nes	ted case-
6. Manuscript Ide M12-1665	ntifying Number (if you l	know it)		

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

The Work Under Consideration f	or Publ	ication				
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
1. Grant			✓	NCI		×
						ADD
2. Consulting fee or honorarium	\checkmark					×
						ADD
3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes			\checkmark	NCI		×
						ADD
 Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like 	√					×
						ADD
Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	✓					×
						ADD
Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support	✓					×



The Work Under Consideration for Publication								
Ту	/pe	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**		
							ADD	
7. Other		\checkmark					×	
							ADD	

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

Relevant financial activities out	side the	submit	ted work			
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
1. Board membership	✓					×
						ADD
2. Consultancy	✓					×
						ADD
3. Employment	✓					×
						ADD
4. Expert testimony	✓					×
						ADD
5. Grants/grants pending	√					×
						ADD
Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for manuscript preparation	✓					×

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

^{**} Use this section to provide any needed explanation.



Dalaman (Command and Command	ا دا	h *44	a damenta			
Relevant financial activities out	side the	submit	ted work			
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
						ADD
Patents (planned, pending or issued)	✓					×
						ADD
9. Royalties	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for development of educational presentations	\checkmark					×
						ADD
11. Stock/stock options	✓					×
						ADD
12. Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed**	✓					×
						ADD
Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	✓					×
* This means money that your institution ** For example, if you report a consultance				ravel related to that consult	tancy on this line.	ADD

Section 4. Other relationships

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Hide All Table Rows Checked 'No'

SAVE



Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

1. Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Johnson 1



Section 1. Identifying Info	rmation	
1. Given Name (First Name) Eric	2. Surname (Last Name) Johnson	3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 02-January-2013
4. Are you the corresponding author?	☐ Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Name Chyke Doubeni
5. Manuscript Title Screening colonoscopy and risk of in control study.	cident late-stage colorectal	cancer diagnosis in average-risk adults: a nested case-
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you M12-1665	ı know it)	

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

The Work Under Consideration for Publication						
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
1. Grant			✓	National Cancer Institute	Primary grant SEARCH GO.	×
						ADD
2. Consulting fee or honorarium	✓					×
						ADD
3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes	✓					×
						ADD
 Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like 	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	✓					×
						ADD

Johnson 2



The Work Under Consideration for Publication						
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support	✓					×
						ADD
7. Other	\checkmark					×
						ADD

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work						
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
1. Board membership	✓					×
						ADD
2. Consultancy	✓					×
						ADD
3. Employment	✓					×
						ADD
4. Expert testimony	✓					×
						ADD
5. Grants/grants pending	√					×
						ADD
Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	✓					×

Johnson 3

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

^{**} Use this section to provide any needed explanation.



Relevant financial activities outs	side the	submit	ted work			
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
						ADD
Payment for manuscript preparation	✓					×
						ADD
Patents (planned, pending or issued)	✓					×
						ADD
9. Royalties	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for development of educational presentations	✓					×
						ADD
11. Stock/stock options	✓					×
						ADD
 Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed** 	√					×
						ADD
Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	\checkmark					×
						ADD
* This means money that your institution					a de le	

Section 4. Oth

Other relationships

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

 \checkmark No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

Johnson 4

 $^{^{**}}$ For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line



At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Hide All Table Rows Checked 'No'

SAVE

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.

Johnson 5



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

1. Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation		
1. Given Name (Fi Kathryn	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Richert-Boe		3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 10-January-2013
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	☐ Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Na	ame
5. Manuscript Title Screening colon control study.		ident late-stage colorectal	cancer diagnosis in average	e-risk adjults: a nested case-
6. Manuscript Ide M12-1665	ntifying Number (if you l	know it)		

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

The Work Under Consideration f	or Publ	ication				
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
1. Grant			✓	NCI		×
						ADD
2. Consulting fee or honorarium	✓					×
						ADD
3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes			\checkmark	included in the grant		×
						ADD
 Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like 	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript			\checkmark	included in the grant		×
						ADD
Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support			✓	included in the grant		×



The Work Under Consideration for Publication										
Ту	pe No			Name of Entity	Comments**					
		'				ADD				
7. Other	✓					×				
						ADD				

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

Relevant financial activities out	side the	submit	ted work			
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
1. Board membership	✓					×
						ADD
2. Consultancy	✓					X
						ADD
3. Employment	✓					×
						ADD
4. Expert testimony	✓					×
						ADD
5. Grants/grants pending	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for manuscript preparation	✓					×

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

^{**} Use this section to provide any needed explanation.



	• 1 41	1				
Relevant financial activities outs	side the					
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
						ADD
Patents (planned, pending or issued)	✓					×
						ADD
9. Royalties	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for development of educational presentations	✓					×
						ADD
11. Stock/stock options	✓					×
						ADD
 Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed** 	✓					×
						ADD
Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	\checkmark					×
						ADD
* This means money that your institution ** For example, if you report a consultanc				ravel related to that consul	tancy on this line.	

Section 4.	Other relationships
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of encing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest wing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
	anuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements.

nals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Hide All Table Rows Checked 'No'

SAVE



Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1. Identifying Infor	mation	
Given Name (First Name) Vincent	2. Surname (Last Name) Doria-Rose	3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 10-January-2013
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Name Chyke Doubeni
5. Manuscript Title Screening colonoscopy and risk of inc control study	ident late-stage colorectal	cancer diagnosis in average-risk adults: a nested case-
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you l M12-1665	know it)	

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

The Work Under Consideration for Publication									
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**				
1. Grant	√				Work supported by a grant from my employer (NCI)	×			
						ADD			
2. Consulting fee or honorarium	✓					×			
						ADD			
Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes	✓					×			
						ADD			
 Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like 	✓					×			
						ADD			
Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	✓					×			
						ADD			



The Work Under Consideration for Publication									
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**				
Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support	√					×			
						ADD			
7. Other	✓					×			
						ADD			

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work								
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments			
1. Board membership	✓					×		
						ADD		
2. Consultancy	✓					×		
						ADD		
3. Employment	\checkmark					×		
						ADD		
4. Expert testimony	✓					×		
						ADD		
5. Grants/grants pending	✓					×		
						ADD		
Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	✓					×		

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

^{**} Use this section to provide any needed explanation.



Relevant financial activities outs	side the	submit	ted work			
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
						ADD
Payment for manuscript preparation	✓					×
						ADD
Patents (planned, pending or issued)	✓					×
						ADD
9. Royalties	✓					×
						ADD
Payment for development of educational presentations	✓					×
						ADD
11. Stock/stock options	✓					×
						ADD
12. Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed**	√					×
						ADD
Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	✓					×
						ADD
* This means money that your institution	received	for your ef	forts.			

Section 4.

Other relationships

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

^{**} For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line.



At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Hide All Table Rows Checked 'No'

SAVE

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.