

#### Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

### 1. Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

### 2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

### 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

### 4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation		
1. Given Name (Fin Pengxiang	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Li		3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 06-March-2012
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Na Jalpa A. Doshi	ame
5. Manuscript Title Impact of the Me		ge Gap on Medication Use	among Patients with Hyper	rtension and Hyperlipidemia

6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you know it)

M11-2255

## Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

The Work Under Consideration for Publication						
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
1. Grant			$\checkmark$	Pfizer	Grant Support: By investigator-initiated grants from the Penn- Pfizer Alliance and the American Heart Association	×
1. Grant			$\checkmark$	American Heart Association	Grant Support: By investigator-initiated grants from the American Heart Association	×
						ADD
2. Consulting fee or honorarium	$\checkmark$					×
						ADD
3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes	$\checkmark$					×
						ADD



The Work Under Consideration for Publication							
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**		
<ol> <li>Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like</li> </ol>	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	
5. Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	
<ol> <li>Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support</li> </ol>	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	
7. Other	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	

\* This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

\*\* Use this section to provide any needed explanation.

### Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work							
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments		
1. Board membership	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	
2. Consultancy		$\checkmark$		Eli Lilly		×	
2. Consultancy		$\checkmark$		Endo Pharmaceuticals		×	
2. Consultancy		$\checkmark$		Oxford Outcomes		×	



Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work							
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments		
						ADD	
3. Employment	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	
4. Expert testimony	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	
5. Grants/grants pending	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	
6. Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	
7. Payment for manuscript preparation	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	
<ol> <li>Patents (planned, pending or issued)</li> </ol>	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	
9. Royalties	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	
10. Payment for development of educational presentations	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	
11. Stock/stock options	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	
<ol> <li>Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed**</li> </ol>	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	
13. Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	

\* This means money that your institution received for your efforts.

\*\* For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line.



### Section 4. Other relationships

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

SAVE

Hide All Table Rows Checked 'No'

#### **Evaluation and Feedback**

Please visit <u>http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback</u> to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



#### Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

### 1. Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

### 2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

### 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

### Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1.	Identifying Inform	nation		
1. Given Name (Fin Henry	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Bergquist		3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 07-August-2008
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Na Jalpa A. Doshi	me
5. Manuscript Title Impact of the Me		e Gap on Medication Use	among Patients with Hyper	tension and Hyperlipidemia

6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you know it)

M11-2255

## Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

The Work Under Consideration for Publication						
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
1. Grant			$\checkmark$	Pfizer	Grant Support: By investigator-initiated grants from the Penn- Pfizer Alliance and the American Heart Association	×
1. Grant			$\checkmark$	American Heart Association	Grant Support: By investigator-initiated grants from the American Heart Association	×
						ADD
2. Consulting fee or honorarium	$\checkmark$					×
						ADD
3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes	$\checkmark$					×
						ADD



The Work Under Consideration for Publication							
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**		
<ol> <li>Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like</li> </ol>	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	
5. Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	
<ol> <li>Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support</li> </ol>	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	
7. Other	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	

\* This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

\*\* Use this section to provide any needed explanation.

### Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work						
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
1. Board membership	$\checkmark$					×
						ADD
2. Consultancy	$\checkmark$					×
						ADD
3. Employment	$\checkmark$					×
						ADD



Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work						
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments	
4. Expert testimony	$\checkmark$					×
						ADD
5. Grants/grants pending	$\checkmark$					×
						ADD
<ol><li>Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus</li></ol>	$\checkmark$					×
						ADD
7. Payment for manuscript preparation	$\checkmark$					×
						ADD
8. Patents (planned, pending or issued)	$\checkmark$					×
						ADD
9. Royalties	$\checkmark$					×
						ADD
10. Payment for development of educational presentations	$\checkmark$					×
						ADD
11. Stock/stock options	$\checkmark$					×
						ADD
<ol> <li>Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed**</li> </ol>	$\checkmark$					×
						ADD
13. Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	$\checkmark$					×

\* This means money that your institution received for your efforts.

\*\* For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line.



## Section 4. Other relationships

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Hide All Table Rows Checked 'No'

S	A	V	

#### **Evaluation and Feedback**

Please visit <u>http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback</u> to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.

#### How to write a referee report

Written by Olivia S. Mitchell

Referee reports are solicited by journal editors to determine whether a paper submitted for publication is either (a) suitable for the journal to which it has been submitted, (b) possibly suitable after a revision, or (c) unsuitable.

Your task as a referee is to prepare a referee report evaluating a current working paper. For the purposes of this class, we ask you to maintain the view that you might be asked to send it to the author with your comments on what the paper does, whether it is interesting, and whether it does it well. You also must accompany the report with a cover letter to the editor with your recommendation (publish as is, major revise/resubmit, minor revise/resubmit, or reject) as well as a frank comment about the paper's value.

#### **Referee Report**

Select an unpublished working paper relevant to this class that is not on the course reading list. Make sure the paper has not been published using EconLit and check the author's website as well. Clear the paper title with us, the course instructors. Our preferred source is the working papers listed at the National Bureau of Economic Research website. Assume you are refereeing this paper for the *American Economic Review*. If you are not familiar with the AER's author submission instructions, take a look on the website.

Read the paper carefully for correctness and clarity of exposition. Then write the report following this outline:

- 1. The report should begin with the words "Referee Report" followed by the AER Manuscript Number (make one up) and Manuscript Title. Pretend you don't know who wrote it!
- 2. Summarize, in 1-2 paragraphs, the paper's question, its importance and to whom, its modeling approach, and empirical methodology and data if any. Summarize key findings as well. This section should be written neutrally without any value judgments.
- 3. Next, address the big evaluation questions of whether this manuscript makes an important contribution to its chosen area. In 2-3 pages, outline any problems you might have with the arguments, be they mathematical, statistical, or interpretive. You should be critical, and also be constructive where possible. Provide suggestions for improvement in the modeling, empirical work, presentation and interpretation of results, and other aspects of the paper as relevant.<sup>1</sup>
- 4. Detailed comments follow, with indications of pages as relevant.
- 5. Be sure that your accept/revise/reject decision is not stated in the report itself, only the letter to the editor.

#### Cover letter to Editor

In the cover letter, provide your recommendation on the manuscript. Take into account that only about 7% of papers submitted to the AER are published. Accordingly the Accept category will only be relevant for about 2% of manuscripts; the Revise/Resubmit decision should only be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See the Berkeley website (<u>http://are.berkeley.edu/courses/ARE251/2004/assignments/RRGuidelines.pdf</u>) for some ideas on what questions to raise in this section.

granted if the author is highly likely to be able to carry out the revisions successfully; and the Reject decision will be the bulk of the papers.

#### Evaluation by us

Your submission will be evaluated by us, based on its demonstrated understanding of the paper, the strength of your arguments and exposition, and helpfulness.



#### Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

### 1. Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

### 2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

### 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

### 4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1.	Identifying Inform	nation	
1. Given Name (Fi Jalpa		2. Surname (Last Name) Doshi	3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 13-March-2012
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	✓ Yes No	

5. Manuscript Title

Impact of the Medicare Part D Coverage Gap on Medication Use among Patients with Hypertension and Hyperlipidemia

6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you know it) M11-2255

## Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

The Work Under Consideration for Publication								
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**			
1. Grant			$\checkmark$	Pfizer		×		
1. Grant			$\checkmark$	American Heart Association		×		
						ADD		
2. Consulting fee or honorarium	$\checkmark$					×		
						ADD		
3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes			$\checkmark$	Pfizer		×		
3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes			$\checkmark$	American Heart Association		×		
						ADD		
<ol> <li>Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like</li> </ol>	$\checkmark$					×		
						ADD		
5. Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript	$\checkmark$					×		



The Work Under Consideration for Publication							
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**		
						ADD	
<ol> <li>Provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support</li> </ol>	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	
7. Other	$\checkmark$					×	
						ADD	

\* This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

\*\* Use this section to provide any needed explanation.

## Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work								
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments			
1. Board membership	$\checkmark$					×		
						ADD		
2. Consultancy		$\checkmark$		Bristol Myers Squibb		×		
2. Consultancy		$\checkmark$		Eli Lilly		×		
2. Consultancy		$\checkmark$		Forest		×		
2. Consultancy		$\checkmark$		Shire		×		
2. Consultancy		$\checkmark$		Amgen		×		
						ADD		
3. Employment	$\checkmark$					×		
						ADD		
4. Expert testimony	$\checkmark$					×		



Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work								
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments			
						ADD		
5. Grants/grants pending			$\checkmark$	Abbott	Unrestricted educational grant ended in June 2009	×		
						ADD		
<ol><li>Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus</li></ol>	$\checkmark$					×		
						ADD		
7. Payment for manuscript preparation	$\checkmark$					×		
						ADD		
<ol> <li>Patents (planned, pending or issued)</li> </ol>	$\checkmark$					×		
						ADD		
9. Royalties	$\checkmark$					×		
						ADD		
10. Payment for development of educational presentations	$\checkmark$					×		
						ADD		
11. Stock/stock options		$\checkmark$		Merck & Co., Inc.		×		
						ADD		
12. Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed**	$\checkmark$					×		
						ADD		
13. Other (err on the side of full disclosure)	$\checkmark$					×		
						ADD		

\* This means money that your institution received for your efforts.

\*\* For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line.



### Section 4. Other relationships

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Hide All Table Rows Checked 'No'

S	Α	V	Ε	

#### **Evaluation and Feedback**

Please visit <u>http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback</u> to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



#### Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

### 1. Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

### 2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

### 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

### Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1.	Identifying Infor	mation	
1. Given Name (Fi J Sanford	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Schwartz	3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 04-May-2012
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Jalpa Doshi
5. Manuscript Title Effect of Medica		p on Medication Use Amor	ng Patients with Hypertension and Hyperlipdemia

6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you know it)

M11-2255

## Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

The Work Under Consideration for Publication							
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**		
1. Grant			$\checkmark$	Penn-Pfizer Research Alliance	Unrestricted research grant	×	
						ADD	

\* This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

\*\* Use this section to provide any needed explanation.

### Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

#### Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work



Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work								
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments			
Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Entity	Comments			
2. Consultancy		✓		Abbott	Advise/critique health policy & comparative outcomes research activities	×		
2. Consultancy		$\checkmark$		Allergan	Advise/critique health policy & comparative outcomes research activities	×		
2. Consultancy		$\checkmark$		Amgen	Advise/critique health policy & comparative outcomes research activities	×		
2. Consultancy		$\checkmark$		ВСВСА	Scientific advisory board assessment of medical interventions	×		
2. Consultancy		$\checkmark$		DCI	Advise/critique health policy & comparative and outcomes research	×		
2. Consultancy		$\checkmark$		GE Healthcare	Scientific advisory board health outcomes outcomes /comparative effectiveness research	×		
2. Consultancy		$\checkmark$		Genentech	Advise/critique health policy & comparative and outcomes research	×		
2. Consultancy		$\checkmark$		Johnson & Johnson	Advise/critique health policy & outcomes research activities	×		
2. Consultancy		$\checkmark$		Merck	Independent external academic working group develop guidelines for assessment medical interventions	×		
2. Consultancy		$\checkmark$		Pfizer	Advise/critique health policy & outcomes research activities	×		
2. Consultancy		$\checkmark$		Sanofi-Aventis	Advise/critique health policy & outcomes research activities	×		



2. Consultancy	$\checkmark$		Schering-Plough	Independent external academic working group develop guidelines for assessment medical interventions	×
2. Consultancy	$\checkmark$		Shire	Advise/critique health policy & outcomes research activities	×
2. Consultancy	$\checkmark$		UBC	Advise/critique novel methods comparative effectiveness research and guidelines for CER conduct	×
					ADD
5. Grants/grants pending		$\checkmark$	Penn-Pfizer Research Alliance	Unrestricted research grant studying factors influencing statin adherence in patients with previous AMI or revascularization	×
5. Grants/grants pending		$\checkmark$	Penn-Pfizer Research Alliance	Unrestricted research grant informing critical research policy issues in comparative effectiveness research	×
5. Grants/grants pending		$\checkmark$	Penn-Pfizer Research Aalliance	Unrestricted research grant studying adoption, choice and utilization of biologics in RA in Medicare beneficiaries	×
					ADD

\* This means money that your institution received for your efforts.

\*\* For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line.

## Section 4. Other relationships

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):



At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Show All Table Rows SAVE

#### **Evaluation and Feedback**

Please visit <u>http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback</u> to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.